SENIOR LAWYERS SECTION
MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

January 7, 2026

This meeting was conducted via Zoom. The following Executive Committee members and WSBA personnel were present at this meeting: Carole Grayson, Bill Cameron, Jenny Rydberg, Al Armstrong, Joe Gordon, Laurin Schweet, WSBA Sections Program Specialist Carolyn MacGregor, WSBA Education Programs Lead Rachel Matz, and BOG Liaison Lester Parvin Price, Jr., and WSBA Sections Program Coordinator Noah Baetge. Not able to be present were Chair David Sprinkle and New Member Liaison Ruth Apahidean.

CHAIR'S WELCOME

Carole welcomed all to the meeting, noting that she would be chairing this gathering since David had been in an auto accident involving a deer and could not be present. David was not injured in the mishap. Carole also said that Ruth had also indicated she could not be with us this morning, as she is attending a CLE.

SECRETARY'S REPORT

Al's Minutes of our December 3, 2025 meeting were approved by Motion.

TREASURER'S REPORT

Joe reported that he has not yet received financial information from the WSBA. He expects more information later this month.

WSBA UPDATE

Carolyn stated that there will be a Sections Team Discussion Series meeting next week at noon on January 12; this will be addressing marketing tips for section recruitment. The meeting will feature breakout rooms in the second hour. Invitations have already been sent.

Carolyn also said that next week's BOG meeting will be held next week at the Bar offices and all are invited to attend, either by Zoom or in-person.

The section elections are almost upon us again. Carolyn said that next week the sections will be receiving their "set-up" form, on which the various WSBA sections will inform the

Bar about the status of their respective nominating committees, open section positions and related matters.

BOG LIAISON REPORT

Parvin stated that there have been no BOG meetings since our E.C. meeting last month. The next BOG meeting, as Carolyn also noted, is next week.

OUR DECEMBER 12 CLE—RETROSPECTIVE

Laurin stated that she thought our CLE went well, and Rachel agreed. The consensus was that our CLE program was well-moderated and presented. Carole congratulated Laurin on a fine performance as moderator. Laurin mentioned that sometimes-unappreciated challenges of moderating a program such as a CLE—e.g. what to do if a presenter finishes too early, for instance. Laurin made sure she had some questions ready to ask presenters at the conclusion of their talks should she need to fill up some time. Carole said she not only appreciated the presentations but the slides were very informative.

Rachel said we had approximately 25 attendees, but that number included the presenters as well as other viewers.

Rachel indicated that if we desire to present another CLE for May or June, we should start planning soon. Jenny asked if it is worth all our effort to present two CLEs a year if the attendance continues to be so meager. Jenny said she couldn't recall a CLE she had attended during her practice days where the attendance was under 100 people, observing that our current attendance figures of 20 or so attendees doesn't seem to be educating very many people, especially given how much work we put into these productions. Jenny added that she joined our Executive Committee in order to help provide services to longtime legal practitioners. Carole agreed that producing these CLEs is challenging sometimes, but she is also proud of the accomplishments of our Section apart from our CLE efforts, such as Senior Lawyers taking the lead in getting the Bar to allow individual sections to amend their respective bylaws allowing inactive attorneys to hold section Executive Committee appointments. Jenny said she agreed with this.

Rachel observed that in recent years, CLE attendees either want short, remotely-attended CLEs, or destination CLEs offering many credits in one practice area. Bill said our efforts may be worthwhile if we get on-demand purchases for these programs later. Jenny suggested that we consider teaming up with other sections in presenting CLE programs.

Parvin suggested that we identify four sections that have large in-person CLE attendance, then decide if any of these other sections' programs might be compatible with programs that would be of interest to our Section members. If that is the case, we can approach that section with a proposal to share CLE presentation efforts. Parvin asked Rachel if she could provide this information. Rachel said she would look into it. She would also have a chat

with WSBA Education Programs Lead Sally Romeo regarding these issues.

Carolyn suggested that we consider partnering with the Real Property, Probate and Trust section; she figured that this section would have more individuals who would be interested in those areas that would be of interest to senior lawyers. She also suggested that we re-visit our survey results garnered when we asked our membership what they wanted out of our Section.

It was decided to review our CLE attendance records, including on-demand figures, going back six or seven years.

Laurin suggested that we put this matter on for discussion at our February meeting, and Carole agreed.

OTHER BUSINESS

Carole said she would be attending our meetings remotely during February and March from the sunny shores of Mexico's west coast.

ADJOURNMENT

Carole adjourned the meeting.

NEXT MEETING

Our next meeting will take place on a remote attendance basis on February 4, 2026 at 10:30 am.