



Established by Washington Supreme Court APR 28
Administered by the WSBA
Steve Crossland, Chair

## LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN (LLLT) BOARD AGENDA for December 10, 2018

Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Avenue – Suite 600 Seattle, Washington 98101 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.

- 1. Call to Order/Preliminary Matters (1:00 p.m.)
  - Introductions
  - Outreach Update
  - Approval of Meeting Minutes ACTION
- 2. Consumer, Money, and Debt Committee Report (Nancy Ivarinen) (1:15 pm)
- 3. Family Law Practice Area Workgroup Report (Sarah Bove) (1:45 pm)
- 4. Staff Report (Renata Garcia) (2:15 pm)
  - Exam Results Moving Forward
  - NPA Comments Received
  - Family Law Enhancements Rescission

#### **MEETING MATERIALS**

- 1. Outreach Update
- 2. November 19, 2018 Draft Meeting Minutes
- 3. New Comments on Consumer, Money and Debt Proposed Draft
- 4. Amended Court Order Rescinding Order No. 25700-A-1246
- 5. Overview of Revised Proposed Amendments
- 6. Email to LLLTs Regarding Court Order Rescinding Amendments





Established by Washington Supreme Court APR 28
Administered by the WSBA
Steve Crossland, Chair

#### LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN (LLLT) BOARD

**UPDATE: December 2018** 

#### Outreach & Press

#### Press:

- November 19, 2018: <u>Legal Technicians Step In To Fight Justice Gap</u>
- November 27, 2018: Seattle University Law Review <u>Law by Non-Lawyers: The Limit to</u> Limited License Legal Technicians Increasing Access to Justice
- December 2, 2018: <u>Immigration Attorney Magdalena Cuprys continues series of published articles about Legal Assistants</u>

#### Recent Events:

 November 28, 2018: Q&A session at Spokane Community College attended by Jaimie Patneaude and Barbara Esselstrom, LLLT

#### **Upcoming Events:**

■ February 25, 2018: LLLT Exam

#### Statistics & Other Events

- Number of current LLLTs: 39
- 4 LLLTs are inactive

#### Meetings

#### Recent:

 November 19, 2018: LLLT Board Meeting, New Practice Area Workgroup Meeting and Board Development Committee Meeting

#### Upcoming:

January 14, 2019: LLLT Board Meeting



### THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF SUGGESTED	)
AMENDMENTS TO APR 28—LIMITED	) AM
PRACTICE RULE FOR LIMITED LICENSE	RESCI
LEGAL TECHNICIANS; APR 28 APPENDIX—	) RESCT.
REGULATION 2 PRACTICE AREAS—SCOPE OF	$\mathbf{R}$
PRACTICE AUTHORIZED BY LIMITED LICENSE	)
LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULE; APR 28 APPENDIX	) AMENI
REGULATION 3—EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS	$\mathbf{F}$
FOR LLLT APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF	)
EDUCATION PROGRAMS; RULES OF	)
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC) 1.0B—	)
ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON TERMINOLOGY;	)
RPC 1.17—SALE OF LAW PRACTICE; RPC 4.3—	)
DEALING WITH A PERSON NOT REPRESENTED	)
BY A LAWYER; RPC 5.8—MISCONDUCT	)
INVOLVING LAWYERS AND LLLTs NOT	)
ACTIVELY LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW; RPC	)
8.1—BAR ADMISSION AND DISCIPLINARY	)
MATTERS; AND LLLT RULES OF	)
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPCs) LLLT	)
RPC 1.0B—ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY; LLLT	)
RPC 1.2—SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION AND	)
ALLOCATION OF AUTHORITY BETWEEN	)
CLIENT AND LLLT; LLLT RPC 1.5—FEES; LLLT	)
RPC 1.8 CONFLICT OF INTEREST: CURRENT	)
CLIENTS: SPECIFIC RULES; LLLT RPC 1.15A—	)
SAFEGUARDING POLICY; LLLT RPC 1.16—	)
DECLINING OR TERMINATING	)
REPRESENTATION; LLLT RPC 1.7 SALE OF A	)
LAW PRACTICE; LLLT RPC 2.1—ADVISOR;	)
LLLT RPC 2.3 [RESERVED]; LLLT RPC 3.1—	)
ADVISING AND ASSISTING CLIENTS IN	)
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A TRIBUNAL; LLLT	)
RPC 3.6-3.9 [RESERVED]; LLLT RPC 4.1—	)
TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS;	)
LLLT RPC 4.2—COMMUNICATION WITH	)
PERSON REPRSENTED BY LAWYER; LLLT RPC	)
4.3—DEALING WITH PERSON NOT	)
REPRESENTED BY LAWYER; LLLT RPC 5.4—	)
PROFESSIONAL INDPENDENCE OF A LLLT;	)
LLLT RPC 5.5 UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF	)
LAW; LLLT RPC 8.1—LICENSING, ADMISSION, AND DISCIPLINARY MATTERS; LLLT RPC 8.4—	)
MISCONDUCT	)
MISCONDUCT	)

AMENDED ORDER
RESCINDING ORDER NO.
25700-A-1246 AND
REPUBLISHING
SUGGESTED
AMENDMENTS TO APR 28
FOR COMMENT

NO. 25700-A-1249



The Washington State Supreme Court Limited License Legal Technician Board

Legal Technicians. The amendments were considered by the Court on October 31, 2018, and adopted by a majority vote with the filing of Order No. 25700-A-1246 on November 1, 2018. Subsequently, on November 15, 2018, the Court determined by a majority vote that, due to significant formatting errors in the publication of the rule amendments, the rule should be rescinded and republished as a proposed rule for comments.

Now, therefore, it is hereby

#### ORDERED:

- (a) The adoption of amendments to APR 28 in Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246 is hereby rescinded effective immediately.
- (b) Pursuant to the provisions of GR 9(g), the correctly formatted suggested amendments as attached hereto are to be published for comment in the Washington Reports, Washington Register, Washington State Bar Association and Administrative Office of the Court's websites on December 18, 2018.
- (c) The purpose statement as required by GR 9(e), is published solely for the information of the Bench, Bar and other interested parties.
- (d) Comments are to be submitted to the Clerk of the Supreme Court by either U.S. Mail or Internet E-Mail by no later than February 1, 2019. Comments may be sent to the following addresses: P.O. Box 40929, Olympia, Washington 98504-0929, or <a href="mailto:supreme@courts.wa.gov">supreme@courts.wa.gov</a>. Comments submitted by e-mail message must be limited to 1500 words.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 2018.

For the Court

Parhust, Cg.
CHIEF JUSTICE

#### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Regarding Amendments to ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR) 28, APR 28 APPENDIX REGULATIONS OF THE APR 28 LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN BOARD, RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC), AND

LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPC)

**Purpose:** The court originally ordered amendments to these rules, with original GR 9 cover sheets, published for comment at the June 2018 en banc administrative conference. Original proposed amendments were published in 190 Wn.2d Proposed 21-57. Following notice and comment, a majority of the Court adopted those proposed amendments in Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246. On November 21, 2018, a majority of the court voted to rescind Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246 due to errors in the version that was published and determined that the corrected suggested amendments would be published for comment with a description of the substantive corrections only. The proposed amendments have been reformatted to include necessary corrections. This Cover Sheet is prepared by the court and contains a description of the substantive differences between the proposed amendments published at 190 Wn.2d Proposed 21-57, and the proposed amendments published today.

#### **APR 28(B)(4)**

The omitted last sentence "The legal technician does not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance as set forth in this rule to a pro-se client" is included and stricken through.

#### **APR 28(F)**

Corrected strike through and underlines to reflect correct proposed additions and deletions according to existing language.

#### **APR 28(F)(5)**

Corrected the word "side" to "party".

#### **APR 28(G)(2)**

The unchanged language of subsection (2) is included because subsection (2)(a) is modified.

#### **APPENDIX APR 28(G)(3)**

Omitted subsection (G)(3) is included but unchanged.

#### **APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(1)(c)**

The addition of "parentage or paternity" is underlined.

#### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(2)(d)

Qualified Domestic Relations Order replaces "QDRO" the first time the acronym is used.

#### **APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(3)**

Corrected the errant strike through to APR(H)IT.

#### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(3)(b)(viii)

Changed the replacement of domestic with committed.

#### **RPC 1.0B Washington Comments**

Removed underline and incorporated existing language "(1-3)".

#### **RPC 1.17 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment".

#### **RPC 1.17 Comment 19**

Removed underline from the word "sale" as it is existing language.

#### **RPC 4.3 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment". Changed references to the section to reflect "Comment" and "Additional Washington Comment" sections.

#### **RPC 5.8 Comment**

Replaced underlined "Washington Comment" with "Comment" as existing language.

#### **RPC 8.1 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment".

#### LLLT RPC PREAMBLE

Added back the words "AND SCOPE" as existing language.

#### LLLT RPC 1.16 Comment 1

Corrected strike through and underlines to reflect correct proposed additions and deletions according to existing language.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.17**

The unchanged language prior to subsection (a) is included.

#### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Suggested Amendments ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR) 28 Limited Practice Rule for Limited License Legal Technicians

**Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board** 

#### A. Name of Proponent:

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

#### B. Spokesperson:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566 Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

#### C. Purpose:

The primary purpose of the suggested amendments is to enhance the scope of the Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) domestic relations practice area in order to improve the LLLT's ability to render efficient and effective legal services to pro se clients.

These suggested amendments will enable LLLTs to better serve their clients by allowing LLLTs to provide a wider range of services and more support in the courtroom. This more cohesive set of services will help LLLTs provide much needed access to legal services, guidance, and advice to low and moderate income pro se clients. The suggested amendments have been discussed and reviewed at length and are designed

to enhance the existing domestic relations practice area consistent with client needs and the intended role of LLLTs as legal practitioners.

The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive set of services to their clients.

The Family Law Advisory Workgroup of the LLLT Board was charged with discussing these questions and offering recommendations to the LLLT Board regarding the possible ways in which the scope of practice could be adjusted. The Family Law Advisory Workgroup includes members of the Board (including family law lawyers), other family law practitioners, lawyers who practice in other legal areas, and a practicing LLLT. The Family Law Advisory Workgroup worked collaboratively with several of the law professors teaching the family law practice area classes as well as solicited further information from practicing LLLTs. Throughout 2016 and the beginning of 2017, the workgroup studied the issues and provided recommendations to the LLLT Board. The LLLT Board approved the suggested amendments in early 2017 and presented information generally describing the intended enhancements to the domestic relations scope of practice to the Supreme Court on March 8, 2017, and to the Board of Governors on May 19, 2017.

The LLLT Board posted the suggested amendments on the Washington State

Bar Association (WSBA) website and solicited comments between May and July 2017.

Over 30 comments were received from lawyers, LLLTs, at least one client of a LLLT, a firm employing a LLLT, a member of the Board of Bar Examiners, the King County Bar Association Family Law Section, a member of the WSBA Family Law Section Executive Committee, the Northwest Justice Project, and members of the public. On August 16, 2017, the Family Law Advisory Workgroup reviewed the comments submitted, discussed all comments that posed specific drafting questions or suggestions in detail, and modified and refined the suggested amendments where it deemed necessary. The modifications were also responsive to the informal feedback received from the Access to Justice Board's Rules Committee. At its August 17, 2017, meeting, the LLLT Board approved the suggested amendments as modified by the Family Law Advisory Workgroup.

The following describes each suggested amendment and the amendment's purpose and intended effect:

#### **APR 28(B)**

The Board suggests an administrative amendment to APR 28(B)(1) to correct the reference to the "Admission to Practice Rules" to the "Admission and Practice Rules." The Board's suggested amendment to APR 28(B)(4) strikes a phrase relating to the current prohibition on LLLTs attending court proceedings, which would be modified by these suggested amendments. The nature of a LLLT's client being "pro se" is preserved in APR 28(F), Scope of Practice Authorized by Limited Practice Rule, rather than including it in the definition of an LLLT.

#### **APR 28(F)**

The Board has suggested several administrative amendments to the first

paragraph of APR 28(F). The amendments are designed to unify the terminology used in the introduction to APR 28, repeating phrases such as "render legal assistance" and reinforcing that the LLLT is providing limited legal assistance to a pro se client. The amendments would also clarify that LLLTs have an affirmative duty to inform clients to seek the services of a lawyer when an issue outside of their scope of practice has been identified. In APR 28(F)(3), a further clarification of the LLLT's duties to clients with respect to filing and service of documents was added, stating specifically that the LLLT may both advise and assist clients in correctly filing and serving documents.

The suggested amendments would delete the words "from the opposing side" from APR 28(F)(5) in order to delineate that LLLTs may review documents or exhibits provided to the client from any source, not only from the opposing side. The suggested amendment to what will be APR 28(F)(10) is grammatical, changing "a client" to "the client" in order to create consistency with the other paragraphs in the subsection. The suggested change to what will be APR 28(F)(11) is semantic, changing "documents" to "records" in order to better describe the list of records that follows.

APR 28(F)(12) and (13) are new suggested subsections that relate to the enhancements to the LLLT scope of practice. New APR 28(F)(12) suggests that LLLTs be permitted to communicate or negotiate with the opposing party or the party's representative regarding procedural matters. New APR 28(F)(13) suggests that LLLTs be permitted to negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities provided that the client has given written consent defining the parameters of the negotiation. LLLTs and lawyers for the opposing party have reported that significant barriers to efficient case administration are imposed by the current restriction that LLLTs must not communicate

with anyone other than the client regarding the subject matter of the representation.

LLLTs have encountered difficulties instructing their clients about how to independently accomplish various ministerial activities such as rescheduling hearing dates, confirming service addresses, and informing opposing parties when an issue with their pleadings has been identified. The LLLT Board believes that communication regarding procedural matters should be allowed in order to increase efficiency of the services LLLTs provide to their clients.

The new subsection APR 28(F)(14) would provide that additional types of legal assistance not otherwise prohibited generally by APR 28 could be authorized by regulations relating to the scope of practice permitted within a specific practice area. This would allow LLLTs to provide certain legal assistance necessary for a particular approved practice area but that may not be needed, justified, or wise to include within the scope of all approved practice areas.

#### **APR 28(G)**

Three amendments to APR 28(G) have been suggested. The first would delete the words "appear or" from APR 28(G)(2)(a) in order to coordinate this subsection with suggested amendments to the domestic relations scope of practice in Regulation 2(B). The second suggested amendment in the same paragraph would reinforce that LLLTs must look to the specific regulation regarding their practice area to fully comprehend their scope of practice.

The third suggested amendment in APR 28(G)(4) would preserve the LLLT's obligation to sign documents and pleadings they prepare while allowing an exception for LLLTs assisting a client or a third party in preparing a declaration or sworn statement.

Requiring LLLTs to sign the sworn statement of another person deviates from common practice among lawyers when preparing declarations for signature by a client or third party.

#### **APR 28(H)**

The suggested amendments to APR 28(H) would unify the amendments to the domestic relations scope in Regulation 2 with the permitted actions under the LLLT license. The suggested amendment to APR 28(H)(5) would reinforce that to understand the entirety of the scope of practice for a licensed LLLT, one must look to the specific practice area regulation.

The suggested amendments to APR 28(H)(6) would allow LLLTs to negotiate with the opposing party or their representative when the client has defined the scope of the negotiation prior to its onset. The current prohibition against LLLTs negotiating for their clients has frequently resulted in situations where the LLLT must schedule hearings regarding issues that could likely be negotiated, thereby using substantially more of the parties' and the court's time and unnecessarily increasing the cost of the representation. Additionally, LLLT clients who are in the midst of a difficult dissolution, custody battle, or domestic violence dispute may find themselves in the position of being contacted by their spouse or abuser when it would be in their best interest to have a third party act as the mediator or contact person. Also significantly, a number of lawyers for opposing parties have reported that they would prefer to negotiate with a legal professional rather than a pro se layperson who is emotionally involved in the outcome of the issue. For LLLTs who are multilingual, being able to negotiate with opposing parties would also allow them to maximize essential services to clients who

may not speak English but do speak the same language(s) as the LLLT.

The suggested additions of what would be APR 28(H)(8) and (9) would move prohibitions that previously existed in the LLLT domestic relations scope regulation to this subsection because these restrictions should apply to all LLLTs, regardless of approved practice area.

#### APR 28 Regulation 2(A)

In APR 28 Regulation 2(A), the suggested amendments are purely administrative and would align the style with other portions of APR 28.

#### APR 28 Regulation 2(B)

APR 28 Regulation 2(B) provides a detailed treatment of the scope of the LLLT domestic relations practice. The suggested amendments to APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(1) would modify the permitted scope of practice by including all parenting plan modifications and nonparental custody actions. For protection orders, the LLLT family law scope of practice is currently limited to domestic violence actions only. The suggested amendments would add other protection or restraining orders arising from a domestic relations case in addition to the current domestic violence protection orders. Additionally, the suggested amendments reorganized the listing of the permitted actions to be roughly sequential from primary actions through modifications and other related actions.

Currently, LLLTs are permitted to help clients with uncontested parenting plan modifications but may not advise or assist clients regarding contested major parenting plan modifications unless the terms have been agreed to by the parties before the onset of the representation. Because of the existing prohibition in APR 28 Regulation 2(B),

clients have not been able to obtain advice from the LLLT on the relevant issues that will be before the court for determination at an adequate cause hearing. Under the current provisions, therefore, the client must attempt to negotiate the terms of major parenting plan modifications without receiving advice from the LLLT as the client prepares to argue the issues. The LLLT Board recommends that LLLTs be permitted to assist with all major modification cases up to the point of the adequate cause hearing, and thus, suggests removing the phrase "when the terms are agreed to by the parties."

The LLLT Board also suggests that LLLTs be permitted to assist with nonparental custody cases up to the point of the adequate cause hearing. Tens of thousands of children in Washington live with a guardian other than a parent. Very few of these guardians have legal custody, which causes complex problems with access to medical, educational, and housing services. Child in Need of Services cases and dependencies are commonly resolved through nonparental custody with relatives and family friends, who often cannot afford to hire an attorney. Additionally, nonparental custody matters are accomplished through the use of pattern forms which LLLTs can be trained to use competently. Permitting LLLTs to assist with these matters would promote judicial efficiency by helping pro se parties navigate this aspect of the legal system.

The first paragraph of APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2) contains suggested stylistic amendments. It also would clarify that a domestic relations LLLT may provide legal services specified by the Regulation. The suggested amendments to APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(a) are grammatical.

In APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(b), the suggested substantive amendments would

permit an LLLT to provide services related to the division of real property. In the current text of APR 28, there is an absolute prohibition in Regulation 2(B)(3)(i) against dividing real property. This restriction was originally called into question by the professors and students participating in the LLLT family law practice area classes. Practicing LLLTs reported that clients experienced significant barriers because of the LLLTs' inability to divide the family home as part of the legal process.

In response to these issues, the LLLT Board suggests that LLLTs be allowed to assist with gathering information on the value and potential encumbrances on a home, as clients are often unable to independently find the information necessary for the court to evaluate the value of their real property assets. The LLLT Board also suggests that LLLTs be allowed to advise and assist with division of single family residential real property in which the parties have equity of up to twice the homestead exemption (currently \$125,000; see RCW 6.13.030). This would allow two parties who own a home together to potentially divide the equity in the home and preserve their maximum exemption if either party files for bankruptcy at a later date. The homestead exemption is set by the legislature and adjusted periodically according to economic factors.

Real property division was prohibited by the LLLT Board when initially contemplated because there were concerns about being able to adequately address the topic in the practice area curriculum. The family law professors and the Family Law Advisory Workgroup of the LLLT Board worked together to address this issue. The professors and Workgroup believe that it would be possible to teach LLLTs how to divide single family residential real property using the current family law forms because the mandatory forms were designed, in large part, to be able to be completed by pro se

litigants. The LLLT Board has developed a checklist for LLLTs to use when dividing property; a sample is enclosed. The checklist collects important information about the disposition of the property, liens, encumbrances, and remedies in the case of default. The family law professors plan to revise the existing LLLT family law education curriculum to allow LLLTs to capably perform this limited scope of real estate division.

APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(3)(c)(i) currently prohibits LLLTs from advising clients about or dividing retirement assets using a supplemental order, including all defined benefit plans and defined contribution plans. The family law professors and the Family Law Advisory Workgroup believe this prohibition is too restrictive. Under suggested APR 28 Regulation B(2)(c) and (d), LLLTs would be permitted to advise as to retirement asset allocation for specified retirement plans and include language in a decree describing how QDROs (qualified domestic relations orders) or supplemental orders are to be prepared. LLLTs would continue to be prohibited from preparing the actual QDRO or supplemental order dividing retirement assets.

Suggested APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(e) addresses LLLT participation in alternative dispute resolution proceedings and suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(f) would specifically allow LLLTs to accompany, assist, and confer with their pro se clients at depositions. Alternative dispute resolution (such as mediation, arbitration, or settlement conferences) is mandated in contested family law cases in Washington State; it would be a significant help to clients and to the court system to permit LLLTs to assist with mediations in family law cases. Professors and practitioners on the Family Law Advisory Workgroup noted that sending a client into the mediation without support—when that person may or may not understand the nature of the process or the finer details of the

case—would likely set up the client for failure. The current prohibition was initially designed to align with the prohibition on negotiation. If the suggested amendment removing the prohibition against negotiation in APR 28(H)(6) is adopted, the Board believes there would be no reason to restrict LLLT participation in alternative dispute resolution proceedings.

Similarly, suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(f) would allow an LLLT to accompany the pro se client at a deposition. The LLLT would not take or defend the deposition and would not make objections. The LLLT could provide advice and explain questions and their impact to the client during breaks.

Suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(g) would allow LLLTs to present agreed orders, uncontested orders, default orders, and accompanying documents. Today, paralegals and legal assistants without a license to practice law are permitted to appear at ex parte calendars to present orders for entry in most counties in Washington. When a court denies entry of ex parte orders there is no record (transcript, clerk's notes, or recording) for an LLLT to rely upon to determine why the orders were not entered if the client does not understand or cannot properly convey a court's reasoning. The LLLT risks sending a client back to court without fully resolving the issue(s) that caused the initial denial. Permitting an LLLT to present orders for ex parte entry on behalf of the client would ensure that the client's case will be properly finalized and provides assurance for the LLLT that documents bearing their signature have been properly handled.

Suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(h) would allow LLLTs to accompany and assist their pro se clients at certain hearings and respond to direct questions from the court or tribunal regarding factual and procedural issues only. The LLLT could not represent the

client like a lawyer would. The permitted hearings would be primarily motion hearings, as well as administrative child support hearings. Subsection (h)(i) would allow LLLTs to accompany and assist clients at hearings related to domestic violence protection orders and other protection or restraining orders arising from a domestic relations case. The current prohibition against participating in court proceedings has presented significant barriers to the LLLTs' ability to provide efficient services to clients. LLLTs report that mistakes made by clients at hearings, such as incorrectly answering questions from the judge due to a lack of understanding of legal terminology, handing the court the wrong suggested order, and not understanding orders from the court or court procedures, are negatively impacting the cases by causing unnecessary confusion, repetition, and delays.

The amendments to the main paragraph of APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(3) and subections (a) and (b)(i) and (b)(ii) are grammatical. Substantive amendments regarding the division of real estate and retirement assets can be found in (b)(iii). This amendment would clarify that division or conveyance of formal business entities, commercial property, or residential property would be prohibited except as permitted in Regulation 2(B)(2)(b).

Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) is a new subsection containing the current prohibition on LLLTs preparing QDROs and supplemental orders dividing retirement assets.

The LLLT Board suggests removing what is currently Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) because criminal no contact orders are entered by prosecutors and therefore LLLTs would not be able to enter them even if permitted to do so. Other protection orders currently prohibited in Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) would also be removed by this

amendment because other amendments would permit LLLTs to render these forms of legal assistance if they arise from a domestic relations case.

The new suggested subsection (ix) would permit LLLTs to render legal assistance with nonparental custody matters and major parenting plan modifications through the adequate cause hearing, unless the terms are agreed to by the parties or one party defaults, in which case there is no prohibition.

The new suggested subsection (b)(xi) would prohibit LLLTs from providing legal assistance with objections or responses in contested relocation actions.

The suggested deletions of subsections (d) and (e) relating to the taking of a deposition and responding to or initiating an appeal have been moved to general prohibitions under APR 28(H).

#### APR 28 Regulation 3(C)

If the suggested amendments are adopted, changes to the domestic relations scope of practice will require currently licensed LLLTs receive additional training about the enhancements outlined in the suggested amendments. The LLLT Board intends to create and offer mandatory continuing legal education to accomplish this. The LLLT Board will provide notice of the supplemental education requirement and the deadline for completion of the requirement to LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs.

#### Conclusion

The Court adopted the LLLT license in order to provide greater public access to trained and licensed legal professionals within an approved area of law and proscribed scope of practice. This new and innovative model has drawn notice throughout the country and the world. Educators, Board members, and newly practicing LLLTs have

had the opportunity to critically examine the LLLT service model and to observe how the initial formulation of the domestic relations scope of practice impacted clients. Based on those observations and an examination of the license to date, the LLLT Board believes these suggested amendments will serve to enhance public access to the legal system in Washington and will allow LLLTs to provide more comprehensive services to pro se clients in need of legal assistance in family law. These suggested amendments are presented along with corresponding suggested amendments to the LLLT Rules of Professional Conduct and the Rules of Professional Conduct for lawyers that are necessary to implement the suggested amendments to APR 28. The LLLT Board requests the Court adopt all the suggested amendments together.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to promote the effective practice of licensed LLLTs and align the curriculum of the next cohort of LLLT students.
- F. <u>Supporting Material</u>: In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to APR 28, a copy of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and the Lawyer RPC are included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

- 1 TITLE
- 2 | ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR)
- 3 RULE 28. LIMITED PRACTICE RULE FOR LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL
- 4 TECHNICIANS
- 5 A. Purpose.
- 6 [NO CHANGES]
- 7 **B. Definitions.** For purposes of this rule, the following definitions will apply:
- 8 (1)-(3) [NO CHANGES]
- 9 (4) "Limited License Legal Technician" (LLLT) means a person qualified by education, training
- and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in approved
- 11 practice areas of law as specified by this rule and related regulations. The legal technician does
- 12 not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
- 13 as set forth in this rule to a pro se client.
- 14 (5)-(10) [NO CHANGES]
- 15 C. Limited License Legal Technician Board
- 16 [NO CHANGES]
- 17 D. [Reserved.]
- 18 E. [Reserved.]
- 19 F. Scope of Practice Authorized by Limited Practice Rule. The Limited License Legal
- 20 Technician shall ascertain whether the issue is within the defined practice area for which the
- 21 | LLLT is licensed. It if is not, the LLLT shall not render any legal assistance provide the services
- 22 required on this issue and shall advise inform the client to that the client should seek the services
- 23 of a lawyer. If the issue is within the defined practice area, the LLLT may render the following
- 24 | limited legal assistance to a pro se client undertake the following:
- 25 (1)-(2) [NO CHANGES]
- 26 (3) Inform the client of and assist with applicable procedures for proper service of process and

- 1 filing of legal documents;
- 2 (4) [NO CHANGES]
- 3 (5) Review documents or exhibits that the client has received from the opposing party, and
- 4 explain them to the client;
- 5 (6)-(7) [NO CHANGES]
- 6 (8) Draft letters setting forth legal opinions that are intended to be read by persons other than the
- 7 | client; and
- 8. (9) Detraft documents beyond what is permitted in paragraph (6), if the work is reviewed and
- 9 approved by a Washington lawyer;
- 10 (109) Advise thea client as to other documents that may be necessary to the client's case, and
- 11 explain how such additional documents or pleadings may affect the client's case;
- 12  $(1\underline{10})$  Assist the client in obtaining necessary documents or records, such as birth, death, or
- 13 marriage certificates.
- 14 (12) Communicate and negotiate with the opposing party or the party's representative regarding
- 15 procedural matters, such as setting court hearings or other ministerial or civil procedure matters;
- 16 (13) Negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities provided that the client has given
- written consent defining the parameters of the negotiation prior to the onset of the negotiation;
- 18 and
- 19 (14) Render other types of legal assistance when specifically authorized by the scope of practice
- 20 regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT is licensed.
- 21 G. Conditions Under Which A Limited License Legal Technician May Provide Services
- 22 (1) [NO CHANGES]
- 23 (2) Prior to the performance of the services for a fee, the Limited License Legal Technician shall
- 24 enter into a written contract with the client, signed by both the client and the Limited License
- 25 | Legal Technician, that includes the following provisions:
- 26 (a) An explanation of the services to be performed, including a conspicuous statement that the

- 1 Limited License Legal Technician may not appear or represent the client in court, formal
- 2 | administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process or negotiate
- 3 the client's legal rights or responsibilities, unless permitted under GR 24(b) or specifically
- 4 authorized by the scope of practice regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT
- 5 is licensed;
- 6 (3) [Unchanged.]
- 7 (b)-(g) [NO CHANGES]
- 8 (4) A document prepared by an LLLT shall include the LLLT's name, signature, and license
- 9 number beneath the signature of the client. <u>LLLTs do not need to sign sworn statements or</u>
- declarations of the client or a third party, and do not need to sign documents that do not require a
- signature by the client, such as information sheets.
- 12 H. Prohibited Acts.
- 13 In the course of dealing with clients or prospective clients, a Limited License Legal Technician
- 14 | shall not:
- 15 (1)-(4) [NO CHANGES]
- 16 (5) Represent a client in court proceedings, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or
- other formal dispute resolution process, unless permitted by GR 24 or specifically authorized by
- 18 the scope of practice regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT is licensed;
- 19 (6) Negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the
- 20 | client's position or convey to the client the position of another party, unless permitted by GR
- 21 <del>24(b)</del>;
- 22 (67) Provide services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state, unless
- 23 permitted by the laws of that state to perform such services for the client;
- 24 (78) Represent or otherwise provide legal or law related services to a client, except as permitted
- 25 by law, this rule, or associated rules and regulations;
- 26 (8) Conduct or defend a deposition;

1	(9) Initiate or respond to an appeal to an appellate court; and
2	(109) Otherwise violate the Limited License Legal Technician Rules of Professional Conduct.
3	I. – O.
4	[NO CHANGES]
5	
6	APPENDIX APR 28. REGULATIONS OF THE APR 28 LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL
7	TECHNICIAN BOARD
8	REGULATION 1. [RESERVED.]
9	REGULATION 2. <u>APPROVED</u> PRACTICE AREASSCOPE OF PRACTICE
10	AUTHORIZED BY LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULE
I 1	In each practice area in which an LLLT is licensed, the LLLT shall comply with the provisions
12	defining the scope of practice as found in APR 28 and as described herein.
13	A. Issues Beyond the Scope of Authorized Practice.
14	(1)-(4) [NO CHANGES]
15	After an issue beyond the LLLT's scope of practice has been identified, if the client engages a
16	lawyer with respect to the issue, then an LLLT may prepare a document related to the issue only
17	if a lawyer acting on behalf of the client has provided appropriate documents and written
18	instructions for the LLLT as to whether and how to proceed with respect to the issue. If the clien
19	does not engage a lawyer with respect to the issue, then the LLLT may prepare documents that
20	relate to the issue if:
21	(1). <u>t</u> The client informs the LLLT how the issue is to be determined and instructs the LLLT how
2 <b>2</b>	to complete the relevant portions of the document, and
23	(2). <u>aAbove</u> the LLLT's signature at the end of the document, the LLLT inserts a statement to
24	the effect that the LLLT did not advise the client with respect to any issue outside of the LLLT's
25	scope of practice and completed any portions of the document with respect to any such issues at
26	the direction of the client.

The LLLT may proceed in the manner described above only if no other defined prohibitions

2	<del>apply.</del>
3	B. Domestic Relations.
4	1. Domestic Relations, Defined. For the purposes of these regulations, domestic relations shall
5	include only the following actions: (a) divorce and dissolutionehild support modification actions,
6	(b) parenting and supportdissolution actions, (c) parentage or paternitydomestic violence actions,
7	except as prohibited by Regulation 2(B)(3), (d) child support modification committed intimate
8	relationship actions only as they pertain to parenting and support issues, (e) parenting plan
9	modificationlegal separation actions, (f) domestic violence protection ordersmajor parenting plan
10	modifications when the terms are agreed to by the parties before the onset of the representation
11	by the LLLT, (g) committed intimate relationships only as they pertain to parenting and support
12	issues minor parenting plan modifications, (h) legal separationparenting and support actions, (i)
13	nonparental and third party custodypaternity actions, and (j) other protection or restraining orders
14	arising from a domestic relations case, and (k) relocation actions, except as prohibited by
15	Regulation 2B(3).
16	2. Scope of Practice for LLLTsDomestic Relations. LLLTs <u>licensed</u> in domestic relations may
17	renderprovide legal services to clients as provided in APR 28(F) and this regulation, except as
18	prohibited by APR 28(H) and Regulation 2(B)(3).
19	(a) Unless an issue beyond the scope arises or a prohibited act would be required, LLLTs may
20	advise and assist clients with (1) to initiatinge and responding to actions and related(2) regarding
21	motions, discovery, trial preparation, temporary and final orders, and modifications of orders.
22	(b) LLLT legal services regarding the division of real property shall be limited to matters where
23	the real property is a single family residential dwelling with owner equity less than or equal to
24	twice the homestead exemption (see RCW 6.13.030). LLLTs shall use the form for real property
25	division as approved by the LLLT Board.
26	(c) LLLTs may advise as to the allocation of retirement assets for defined contribution plans with

- a value less than the homestead exemption, and as provided in United States Internal Revenue
   Code (IRC) sections 401a, 401k, 403b, and 457; and Individual Retirement Accounts as set forth
- 3 in IRC section 408.
- 4 (d) LLLTs may include language in a decree of dissolution awarding retirement assets as
- 5 described in APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(c) when the respondent defaults, when the parties agree
- on the award or when the court awards the assets following trial. The award language in the
- 7 decree shall identify (1) the party responsible for having the qualified domestic relations order
- 8 (QDRO) or supplemental order prepared and by whom, (2) how the cost of the QDRO or
- 9 | supplemental order preparation is to be paid, (3) by what date the QDRO or supplemental order
- must be prepared, and (4) the remedy for failure to follow through with preparation of the QDRO
- 11 or supplemental order.
- 12 (e) LLLTs may prepare paperwork and accompany and assist clients in dispute resolution
- 13 proceedings including mediation, arbitration, and settlement conferences where not prohibited by
- 14 the rules and procedures of the forum.
- 15 (f) LLLTs, when accompanying their client, may assist and confer with their pro se clients at
- 16 depositions.
- 17 (g) LLLTs may present to a court agreed orders, uncontested orders, default orders, and
- 18 accompanying documents;
- 19 (h) LLLTs, when accompanying their client, may assist and confer with their pro se clients and
- 20 respond to direct questions from the court or tribunal regarding factual and procedural issues at
- 21 the hearings listed below:
- 22 i. domestic violence protection orders and other protection or restraining orders arising from a
- 23 domestic relations case;
- 24 | ii. motions for temporary orders, including but not limited to temporary parenting plans, child
- 25 support, maintenance, and orders to show cause;
- 26 <u>iii. enforcement of domestic relations orders;</u>

- 1 iv. administrative child support;
- 2 v. modification of child support;
- 3 vi. adequate cause hearings for nonparental custody or parenting plan modifications;
- 4 vii. reconsiderations or revisions;
- 5 viii. trial setting calendar proceedings with or without the client when the LLLT has confirmed
- 6 the available dates of the client in writing in advance of the proceeding.
- 7 | 3. Prohibited Acts. In addition to the prohibitions set forth in APR 28(H), in the course of
- 8 | rendering legal services todealing with clients or prospective clients, LLLTs licensed to practice
- 9 in domestic relations:
- a. shall not <u>render legal services to represent</u> more than one party in any domestic relations
- 11 matter;
- 12 | b. shall not renderprovide legal services in:
- 13 i. in-de facto parentage or nonparental custody actions; and
- 14 | ii. actions that involveif-25 U.S.C. chapter 21, the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978, or chapter
- 15 13.38 RCW, the Washington State Indian Child Welfare Act, applies to the matter;
- 16 c. shall not advise or assist clients regarding:
- 17 iii. division or conveyance of owned real estate, formal business entities, commercial property,
- or residential real property except as permitted by Regulation 2(B) or retirement assets that
- 19 require a supplemental order to divide and award, which includes division of all defined benefit
- 20 plans and defined contribution plans;
- 21 | iv. preparation of ODROs and supplemental orders dividing retirement assets beyond what is
- 22 prescribed in Regulation 2(B)(2)(d);
- 23 v. any retirement assets whereby the decree effectuates the division or the implementation of the
- 24 division of the asset;
- 25 <u>viii.</u> bankruptcy, including obtaining a stay from bankruptcy;
- 26 | viiii. disposition of debts and assets, if one party is in bankruptcy or files a bankruptcy during the

1	pendency of the proceeding, unless: (a) the LLLT's client has retained a lawyer to represent
2	him/her in the bankruptcy, (b) the client has consulted with a lawyer and the lawyer has provide
3	written instructions for the LLLT as to whether and how to proceed regarding the division of
4	debts and assets in the domestic relations proceeding, or (c) the bankruptcy has been discharged
5	iv. antiharassment orders, criminal no contact orders, anti-stalking orders, and sexual assault
6	protection orders in domestic violence actions;
7	v <u>iii</u> . <del>jointly acquired committed intimate relationship</del> -property issues in <u>committed</u> <del>domestic</del>
8	intimate relationship actions;
9	vix. major parenting plan modifications and nonparental custody actions beyond the adequate
10	cause hearing unless the terms arewere agreed to by the parties or one party defaults before the
11	onset of the representation by the LLLT;
12	xvii. the determination of Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act issues under
13	chapter 26.27 RCW or Uniform Interstate Family Support Act issues under chapter 26.21A
14	RCW unless and until jurisdiction has been resolved;
15	viixi. objections or responses in contested relocation actions objections to relocation petitions,
16	responses to objections to relocation petitions, or temporary orders in relocation actions; and
17	$\frac{1}{2}$ in the event of default or where the
18	terms have been agreed to by the parties.
19	d. shall not appear or participate at the taking of a deposition; and
20	e. shall not initiate or respond to an appeal to an appellate court.
21	REGULATION 3: EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS FOR LLLT APPLICANTS AND
22	APPROVAL OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS
23	An applicant for admission as an LLLT shall satisfy the following education requirements:
24	A. Core Curriculum.
25	[NO CHANGES]
26	B. Practice Area Curriculum

1	[NO CHANGES]
2	C. Required Supplemental Education. The LLLT Board has discretion to require all LLLTs to
3	complete supplemental education in order to maintain their licenses due to changes in the
4	permitted scope of practice for LLLTs. The LLLT Board shall provide notice to LLLTs of the
5	supplemental education requirement and the deadline for completion of the requirement,
6	allowing at least 12 months to complete the required supplemental education. LLLTs may be
7	administratively suspended pursuant to the procedures set forth in APR 17 if they fail to comply
8	with the supplemental education requirements by the stated deadline.
9	[NO CHANGES]
10	REGULATION 4- 20
11	[NO CHANGES]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Suggested Amendments to APR 28 Page 9 – January 19, 2018 Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

#### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

### Suggested Amendments to RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC)

#### Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board

#### A. Name of Proponent:

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

#### B. Spokesperson:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566

Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

#### C. Purpose:

These suggested amendments are presented in conjunction with suggested amendments to Admission and Practice Rule (APR) 28 and related regulations and the Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Rules of Professional Conduct (LLLT RPC). The suggested amendments to APR 28 enhance the scope of the LLLT Family Law practice area. The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could

be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive set of services to their clients. The suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC make necessary changes to align with the suggested amendments to APR 28. Therefore, the primary purpose of these suggested amendments to the Rules of Professional Conduct (Lawyer RPC) is to align the Lawyer RPC with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the corresponding suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC to ensure consistency and accuracy across all three sets of rules.

As with the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC, the LLLT Board requested that Washington State Bar Association (WSBA) staff draft and recommend necessary amendments to the Lawyer RPC in order to align the Lawyer RPC with the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC. In addition, WSBA staff presented the suggested amendments to the WSBA's Committee on Professional Ethics (CPE) in December 2017. The CPE approved of the suggested amendments and the LLLT Board subsequently approved these suggested amendments at its January 2018 meeting. The LLLT Board also presented these changes to the Board of Governors in January 2018. The following describes the LLLT Board's suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC.

#### Lawyer RPC 1.0B

In 1.0B(b), definition of legal practitioner, the suggested amendments would remove "licensed under APR 28" to be consistent with the definition in the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC.

In 1.0B(c), definition of limited license legal technician, the suggested amendments would remove the final sentence because it is no longer accurate under-

the suggested amendments to APR 28. The removed sentence relates to the LLLT scope of practice (found in APR 28(F)) rather than a definition of an LLLT.

#### Lawyer RPC 1.17

The suggested amendments to comment 19 would remove the description of when an LLLT cannot purchase a law practice because the current language is not correct in all circumstances. The substance of that sentence would be rewritten and included in the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC as a new comment 2 to LLLT RPC 1.17. A new reference to that comment would be added to this comment 19.

#### Lawyer RPC 4.3

The suggested amendments to comment 6 would remove language saying that LLLTs shall not negotiate because it will be permitted under certain conditions if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

#### Lawyer RPC 5.8

The suggested amendments to comment 2 would correct the reference to the Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician Conduct (ELLLTC).

#### Lawyer RPC 8.1

The suggested amendments to RPC 8.1 would better reflect the unified admissions, licensing, and disciplinary processes for all license types in Washington now that LLLTs and limited practice officers (LPOs) are members of the WSBA.

#### **Throughout**

References to specific subparts of APR 28 would be removed and replaced with a general reference to APR 28 or a reference to APR 28 and related regulations. This

allows the Lawyer RPC to remain accurate even if specific provisions of APR 28 change.

#### Conclusion

The LLLT Board believes it is important that these suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC be adopted and effective together with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC as soon as possible. If adopted, the suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC, LLLT RPC, and APR 28 will be incorporated into the LLLT family law practice area curriculum and will be tested on the LLLT family law practice area and professional responsibility exams. A mandatory continuing legal education program will be developed to educate LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs about these changes and the impact on their practices. The first LLLT family law practice area and professional responsibility exams to test on these amendments could be held in July 2019.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to prevent delaying implementation of the necessary changes to LLLT education, continuing legal education, and examinations. The goal of the LLLT license is to provide much needed access to justice. Therefore, delay of these amendments also causes continued delay in providing relief to those in need of LLLT services.
- F. <u>Supporting Materials:</u> In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC, a copy of the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC are also included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real

Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

# SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

1	TITLE
2	RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC)
3	RULE 1.0B ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON TERMINOLOGY
4	(a) [NO CHANGES]
5	(b) "Legal practitioner" denotes a lawyer or a limited license legal technician licensed under
6	APR 28.
7	(c) "Limited License Legal Technician" or "LLLT" denotes a person qualified by education,
8	training, and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in
9	approved practice areas of law as specified by APR 28 and related regulations. The LLLT does
10	not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
11	as set forth in APR 28 to a pro se client.
12	(d)-(e) [NO CHANGES]
13	Washington Comments (1-3)
14	[1]-[2] [NO CHANGES]
15	[3] LLLTs are authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in explicitly defined areas.
16	Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT may perform only limited services for a client. See APR 28(F), (H).
17	A lawyer who interacts with an LLLT about the subject matter of that LLLT's representation or
18	who interacts with an otherwise pro se client represented by an LLLT should be aware of the
19	scope of the LLLT's license and the ethical obligations imposed on an LLLT by the LLLT RPC.
20	See APR 28(F) (H); Appendix APR 28 Regulation 2 and related regulations; LLLT RPC 1.2, 1.5
21	4.2, 4.3. See also RPC 5.10.
22	RULE 1.17 SALE OF LAW PRACTICE
23	(a)-(d) [NO CHANGES]
24	Comment
25	[1]-[18] [No Changes]
26	[19] An LLLT is not authorized to purchase a law practice that requires provision of lega

Suggested Amendments to RPC Page 1 – January 19, 2018

Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

#### SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

- services outside the scope of the LLLT's practice. See APR 28(F)-(H); Appendix APR 28 1 2 Regulation 2. Consequently, There are some restrictions on a lawyer's ability to sell a law practice to an LLLT when the legal services provided are outside the scope of the LLLT's 3 practice. As such, a lawyer may not participate in or facilitate such a sale that is in violation of 4 LLLT RPC 1.17. See LLLT RPC 1.17 cmt. [2]; RPC 8.4(f)(2). 5 RULE 4.3 DEALING WITH PERSON NOT REPRESENTED BY A LAWYER 6 [NO CHANGES] 7 Comment 8 [1]-[2] [Unchanged.] -9 Additional Washington Comments (3-6) 10 [3]-[4] [Unchanged.] 11 12 [5] For purposes of this Rule, a person who is assisted by an LLLT is not represented by a lawyer and is an unrepresented person. See APR 28(B)(4). 13 14 [6] When a lawyer communicates with an LLLT who represents an opposing party about the subject of the representation, the lawyer should be guided by an understanding of the limitations 15 imposed on the LLLT by APR 2, related Regulations(H)(6) (an LLLT shall not "negotiate the 16 client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the client's position 17 or convey to the client the position of another party") and the LLLT RPC. The lawyer should 18 further take care not to overreach or intrude into privileged information. APR 28(K)(3) ("The 19 Washington law of attorney-client privilege and law of a lawyer's fiduciary responsibility to the 20 21 client shall apply to the Limited License Legal Technician-client relationship to the same extent as it would apply to an attorney-client relationship"). 22 RULE 5.8 MISCONDUCT INVOLVING LAWYERS AND LLLTS NOT ACTIVELY 23 LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW 24 (a)-(b) [NO CHANGES] 25
  - Suggested Amendments to RPC Page 2 January 19, 2018

Comment

26

## SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

1	[1] [NO CHANGES]
2	
3	[2] The prohibitions in paragraph (b) of this Rule apply to suspensions, revocations, and
4	voluntary cancellations in lieu of discipline under the disciplinary procedural rules applicable to
5	LLLTs. See Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician LLLT Rules for
6	Enforcement of Conduct (RECELLLTC).
7	RULE 8.1 BAR ADMISSION AND DISCIPLINARY MATTERS
8	An applicant for admission to the Bar, or a lawyer in connection with an application for
9	reinstatement or admission to the Bar or a disciplinary matter involving a legal practitioner-ba
10	admission, reinstatement application, or LLLT limited licensure, or in connection with a lawyer of
11	LLLT disciplinary matter, shall not:
12	(a)-(b) [NO CHANGES]
13	Comment
14	[NO CHANGES]
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	·
23	
24	
25	
26	

### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Suggested Amendments to LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPC)

### Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board

### A. <u>Name of Proponent:</u>

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

### B. <u>Spokesperson</u>:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566 Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

C. Purpose: These suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC are presented in conjunction with suggested amendments to Admission and Practice Rule (APR) 28 and related regulations and the Rules of Professional Conduct (Lawyer RPC). The suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations enhance the scope of the LLLT Family Law Practice Area. The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive

set of services to their clients. Therefore, the primary purpose of these suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC is to make changes necessary to implement the suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations.

### **Drafting Process**

The LLLT Board is composed of lawyers in private practice, practicing LLLTs, law school and paralegal educators, legal services providers, members of the public, and paralegal advocates. After developing the suggested amendments to APR 28 to enhance the family law practice area, the LLLT Board requested WSBA staff take the lead in drafting and recommending necessary amendments to the LLLT RPC in order to align the LLLT RPC with suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations.

WSBA staff involved were Douglas Ende (Chief Disciplinary Counsel), Jean McElroy (Chief Regulatory Counsel), Jeanne Marie Clavere (Professional Responsibility Counsel), Robert Henry (Associate Director for Regulatory Services), Renata de Carvalho Garcia (Innovative Licensing Programs Manager), and Joe Terrenzio (Limited License Legal Technician Program Lead). The issues that caused the most discussion were the following:

- The scope of an LLLT's enhanced role as an advocate and as a negotiator;
- The interactions between an LLLT's role in advising a pro se client and the rules governing communications with represented and unrepresented parties; and
- The limitations on an LLLT's communications with a tribunal under the enhanced scope of practice.

As in the original drafting of the LLLT RPC, the LLLT RPC mirror the Lawyer RPC with only slight modification. When a Lawyer RPC does not apply in the LLLT context, the rule is reserved. The LLLT Board reviewed successive drafts of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and offered critiques and feedback throughout the process before approving the final suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC at the December 14, 2017, LLLT Board meeting. The LLLT Board also presented these changes to the Board of Governors in January 2018. The following describes the LLLT Board's suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC.

### **Throughout**

In order to prevent ongoing or future changes to the LLLT RPCs, the suggested amendments would remove large blocks of text copied from APR 28 and replace them with specific or general references to APR 28 and related regulations.

### **Preamble and Scope**

In paragraph 2, the suggested amendments would remove language stating that an LLLT is not authorized to act as advocate or negotiator. A new clause would be added, stating that to the extent an LLLT is allowed to act as an advocate or as a negotiator under APR 28, an LLLT acts in the best interest of the client.

### **LLLT RPC 1.0B Additional Terminology**

In (c), the suggested amendments clarify the definition of a lawyer. The former definition stated only that a lawyer was a person who held a license to practice law in any United States jurisdiction. In Washington, LLLTs, limited practice officers, and lawyers hold licenses to practice law, therefore requiring further clarification in the definition of the term "lawyer" in the Washington LLLT RPC. The amended definition

matches the definition of lawyer in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

The suggested amendments to subsection (e) would remove the phrase "licensed under APR 28" from the definition of legal practitioner because the reference to APR 28 already exists in the definition of an LLLT.

The suggested amendments to subsection (f) would remove the final sentence stating that an LLLT does not represent a client in court proceedings or negotiations to match the definition in the suggested amendments to APR 28. The sentence that would be removed relates to scope rather than a definition of an LLLT.

The suggested amendments to subsection (g) would correct the name and acronym for the Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician Conduct.

# LLLT RPC 1.2 Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority between Client and LLLT

The suggested amendments to 1.2(a) would add an additional sentence stating that a LLLT shall abide by a client's decision whether to settle a matter. This addition helps clarify that the client, not the LLLT, has decision making authority in a settlement negotiation.

In comment 2, the suggested amendments would remove the first sentence stating that negotiation is prohibited. The second sentence would be rephrased to align with the suggested amendments to APR 28.

In comment 4, the suggested amendments would clarify an LLLT's obligations when an issue is outside of the authorized scope of practice. In comment 5, a reference to APR 28(G)(2) would be corrected to APR 28(G)(1).

In comment 6, a reference to APR 28(G)(5) would be corrected to APR 28(G)(3).

The suggested amendments to comment 7 would remove and reserve it because the comment is inaccurate and duplicative of the APR 28(G)(4) signature requirement without discussing any professional responsibility matters.

#### LLLT RPC 1.5 Fees

In comment 4, a reference to APR 28(G)(3) would be corrected to APR28(G)(2). The final sentence referencing comment 2 to Rule 1.2 would be removed because it is unnecessary.

In comment 5, a reference to APR 28(G)(3) would be corrected to APR28(G)(2).

### **LLLT RPC 1.8 Conflict of Interest: Current Clients: Specific Rules**

The suggested amendments to comment 3 would remove the first sentence stating that LLLTs may not advocate for or appear in court on behalf of a client because LLLTs will be permitted to accompany and assist clients at certain hearings if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

The suggested amendments to comment 4 would clarify that an LLLT's scope of practice does not include aggregate settlements.

### **LLLT RPC 1.15A Safeguarding Property**

Suggested amendments to subsection (i) would correct references to the ELLLTC or refer to the ELC when the referenced provision does not exist in the ELLLTC.

### **LLLT RPC 1.16 Declining or Termination Representation**

Suggested amendments to comment 1 would match the suggested amendments to APR 28 allowing LLLTs to accompany and assist clients before tribunals. It also would clarify that LLLTs represent pro se clients and accordingly, LLLTs would not file a

notice of appearance.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.17 Sale of a Law Practice**

In subsection (d), the suggested amendments would change "legal and LLLT fees" to "fees."

Suggested amendments to comment 2 would explain that a firm of only LLLTs cannot purchase a law practice that would require they provide services beyond their authorized scope of practice.

### LLLT RPC 2.3 [Reserved]

Suggested amendments to comment 1 would match the suggested amendments to APR 28 allowing LLLTs to communicate a client's position to a third party. They would also clarify that an LLLT should refer to the Lawyer RPC for guidance if a third party evaluation comes up in the LLLT's scope of practice.

### LLLT RPC 3.1 Advising and Assisting Clients in Proceedings Before a Tribunal

The suggested amendments in subsection (a) would add the word "engage" to clarify that the rule applies to the LLLT's own behavior before a tribunal because LLLTs will be permitted to accompany and assist clients at certain court hearings if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

The suggested amendments to subsection (a)(6) would add the valid exception for disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal to be consistent with the Lawyer RPC.

The suggested amendments to comment 1 are meant to address an LLLT's role as an advocate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

Comment 2 would be deleted because it will no longer apply under the enhanced scope of practice if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

Comment 3 would be renumbered as comment 2, and the reference for Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC would be rephrased for clarity.

### LLLT RPC 3.6-3.9 [Reserved]

The numbers in the comments would reflect the changes to the suggested amendments to the comments in LLLT RPC 3.1.

### **LLLT RPC 4.1 Truthfulness in Statements to Others**

Comment 2 would be deleted because the comment repeating the signature requirement in APR 28(G) is unnecessary.

### LLLT RPC 4.2 Communication with Person Represented by Lawyer

The suggested amendments to comment 1 would delete sentences 6 and 7 and the final clause of sentence 5 because they would no longer be accurate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

### LLLT RPC 4.3 Dealing with Person Not Represented by Lawyer

Subsection (b) would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

Because (b) would be deleted, comment 2, which had discussed (b), would be deleted and reserved.

In comment 3, the final sentence would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the suggested amendments to APR 28.

In comment 4, the first sentence would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the suggested amendments to APR 28.

### LLLT RPC 5.4 Professional Independence of an LLLT

In several places, "non-LLLT" would be rewritten to eliminate use of the exclusionary and awkward term "non-LLLT".

Comment 2 would be rephrased to make it more active language.

#### **LLLT RPC 5.5 Unauthorized Practice of Law**

In comment 1, the reference to APR 28(H)(7) would be corrected to APR28(H)(6).

In comment 2, the word "programs" would be deleted for consistency with other language referring to limited licenses. "[N]onlawyers" would be replaced with "limited license practitioners" to eliminate use of the exclusionary and awkward term "nonlawyers."

### LLLT RPC 8.1 Licensing, Admission, and Disciplinary Matters

The rule's name would be changed from "Limited Licensure and Disciplinary Matters" to "Licensing, Admission, and Disciplinary Matters" to reflect the unified licensing, admissions, and disciplinary processes for all licenses to practice law in Washington.

The rule would be rewritten because LLLTs are now members of the WSBA.

In comment 1, the language highlighting that LLLTs are not admitted to the Bar would be removed because it is no longer accurate. LLLTs are admitted to the practice of law and are members of the WSBA. See APR 5(I) and WSBA Bylaws Art. III sec. (1)(b).

### **LLLT RPC 8.4 Misconduct**

In (I), the references to the LLLT Rules for Enforcement of Conduct would be

corrected to the ELLLTC.

#### Conclusion

The LLLT Board voted unanimously to approve the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC for submission to the Washington Supreme Court at its December 14, 2017 meeting. The LLLT Board believes it is important that these suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC be adopted and effective together with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the Lawyer RPC as soon as possible. If adopted, the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and suggested amendments to APR 28 will be incorporated into the LLLT Family Law Practice Area Curriculum and will be tested on the LLLT Family Law Practice Area and Professional Responsibility Exams. A mandatory continuing legal education program will be developed to educate LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs about these changes and the impact on their practices. The first LLLT Practice Area and Professional Responsibility Exams to test on these amendments could be held in July 2019.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to prevent delaying implementation of the necessary changes to LLLT education, continuing legal education, and examinations. The LLLT program's goal is to provide much needed access to justice. Therefore, delay of this program also causes continued delay in providing relief to those in need of LLLT services.
- F. <u>Supporting Materials:</u> In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC, a copy of the suggested amendments to APR 28 and

the Lawyer RPC are also included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

	TECHNICIAN RULES OF TROFESSIONAL CONDU
1	TITLE

- 2 | LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT
- 3 RPC)
- 4 PREAMBLE
- 5 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 6 [2] As a representative of clients within a limited scope, an LLLT performs various functions.
- 7 | As advisor, an LLLT provides a client with an informed understanding of the client's legal rights
- 8 and obligations and explains their practical implications. As an evaluator, an LLLT acts by
- 9 examining a client's legal affairs and reporting about them to the client or to others. While an
- 10 LLLT is not authorized to act as advocate or negotiator, an LLLT to the extent an LLLT is
- 11 allowed to act as an advocate or as a negotiator under APR 28, an LLLT conscientiously acts in
- 12 | the best interest of the client, and seeks a result that is advantageous to the client but consistent
- with the requirements of honest dealings with others.
- 14 [3]-[13] [NO CHANGES]

#### 15 RULE 1.0B ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY

- 16 (a) "APR" denotes the Washington Supreme Court's Admission to and Practice Rules.
- 17 **(b)** [NO CHANGES]
- 18 (c) "Lawyer" denotes a person licensed as a lawyer and eligible to practice law in any United
- 19 States jurisdiction.
- 20 (d) [NO CHANGES]
- 21 (e) "Legal practitioner" denotes a lawyer or a limited license legal technician licensed under
- 22 APR 28.
- 23 (f) "Limited License Legal Technician" or "LLLT" denotes a person qualified by education,
- 24 training, and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in
- 25 approved practice areas of law as specified by APR 28 and related regulations. The LLLT does

- not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
  as set forth in APR 28 to a pro se client.
- 3 (g) "LLLT RECELLLTC" denotes the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement
- 4 of Limited License Legal Technician Rules for Enforcement of Conduct.
- 5 (h) [NO CHANGES]
- 6 Comment
- 7 [NO CHANGES]
- 8 | RULE 1.2 SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION AND ALLOCATION OF AUTHORITY
- 9 BETWEEN CLIENT AND LLLT
- 10 (a) Subject to paragraphs (c), (d), and (g), an LLLT shall abide by a client's decisions
- 11 | concerning the objectives of representation and, as required by Rule 1.4, shall consult with the
- 12 client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. An LLLT may take such action on behalf
- of the client as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation. An LLLT shall abide by a
- 14 client's decision whether to settle a matter.
- 15 (b) [NO CHANGES]
- 16 (c) An LLLT must limit the scope of the representation and provide disclosures informing a
- 17 potential client as required by these Rules and APR 28.
- 18 (d)-(g) [NO CHANGES]
- 19 Comment
- 20 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 21 [2] Negotiation on behalf of a client and representation in court are beyond the authorized
- 22 | scope of an LLLT's practice. See APR 28(H). Accordingly, pParagraph (a) was modified from
- 23 the Lawyer RPC to exclude references to settlements and criminal cases, and paragraph (d) was
- 24 | modified from the Lawyer RPC to exclude (and therefore prohibit) an LLLT from discussing with
- 25 | a client the legal consequences of any proposed criminal or fraudulent conduct and assisting a
- 26 client in determining the validity, scope, meaning, or application of the law with respect to any

such conduct. In circumstances where a client has engaged or may engage in conduct that the LLLT knows is criminal or fraudulent, the LLLT shall not provide services related to such conduct and shall inform the client that the client should seek the services of a lawyer.

- [3] Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT may perform only limited services for a client. -Under APR 28(G)(3), bBefore performing any services for a fee, an LLLT must enter into a written contract with the client as required by APR 28(G)(2)., signed by both the client and the LLLT, that includes the following: (a) an explanation of the services to be performed, including a conspicuous statement that the LLLT may not appear or represent the client in court, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process, or negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities, unless permitted under GR 24(b); (b) identification of all fees and costs to be charged to the client for the services to be performed; (c) a statement that upon the client's request, the LLLT shall provide to the client any documents submitted by the client to the LLLT; (d) a statement that the LLLT is not a lawyer and may only perform limited legal services (this statement shall be on the first page of the contract in minimum twelve-point bold type print); (e) a statement describing the LLLT's duty to protect the confidentiality of information provided by the client and the LLLT's work product associated with the services sought or provided by the LLLT; (f) a statement that the client has the right to rescind the contract at any time and receive a full refund of unearned fees (this statement shall be conspicuously set forth in the contract); and (g) any other conditions to the LLLT's services that are required by the rules and regulations of the Limited License Legal Technician Board.
- [4] Additional requirements concerning the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice are imposed by APR 28(F). An LLLT must ascertain whether the issue is within the defined practice area for which the LLLT is licensed. If not, the LLLT shall not provide the services required render any legal assistance on the issue and must informadvise the client tothat the client should seek the services of a lawyer. If the issue does lie within the defined practice area for which the LLLT is licensed, then the LLLT is authorized to undertakerender the services that are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

enumerated in APR 28(F). Those services include only the following: (a) obtain relevant facts
and explain the relevancy of such information to the client; (b) inform the client of applicable
procedures, including deadlines, documents which must be filed, and the anticipated course of
the legal proceeding; (c) inform the client of applicable procedures for proper service of process
and filing of legal documents; (d) provide the client with self-help materials prepared by a
Washington lawyer or approved by the Limited License Legal Technician Board, which contain
information about relevant legal requirements, case law basis for the client's claim, and venue
and jurisdiction requirements; (e) review documents or exhibits that the client has received from
the opposing side, and explain them to the client; (f) select, complete, file, and effect service of
forms that have been approved by the State of Washington, either through a governmental
agency or by the Administrative Office of the Courts or the content of which is specified by
statute; federal forms; forms prepared by a Washington lawyer; or forms approved by the
Limited License Legal Technician Board; and advise the client of the significance of the selected
forms to the client's case; (g) perform legal research; (h) draft legal letters and documents
beyond what is permitted in (f) if the work is reviewed and approved by a Washington lawyer;
(i) advise a client as to other documents that may be necessary to the client's case, and explain
how such additional documents or pleadings may affect the client's case; and (j) assist the client
in obtaining necessary documents, such as birth, death, or marriage certificates.
[5] An LLLT must personally perform the authorized services for the client and may not
delegate those services to a person who is not either an LLLT or a lawyer. This prohibition,
however, does not prevent a person who is neither an LLLT nor a lawyer from performing
translation services. APR $28(G)(2\underline{1})$ .
[6] An LLLT may not provide services that exceed the scope of the LLLT's authority under
APR 28. If an issue arises for which the client needs services that exceed the scope of the LLLT's
authority, the LLLT must inform that client that the client should seek the services of a lawyer.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

APR 28(G)(53).

[7] A document that is prepared by an LLLT for the client's signature shall include the LLLT's name, signature, and license number beneath the signature of the client. APR 28(G)(5).[Reserved.]

[8] Certain conduct and services are specifically prohibited to an LLLT by APR 28(H).—In the course of dealing with clients or prospective clients, an LLLT shall not; (a) make any statement that the LLLT can or will obtain special favors from or has special influence with any court or governmental agency; (b) retain any fees or costs for services not performed; (c) refuse to return documents supplied by, prepared by, or paid for by the client, upon the request of the client (the documents must be returned upon request even if there is a fee dispute between the LLLT and the client); (d) represent or advertise, in connection with the provision of services, other legal titles or credentials that could cause a client to believe that the LLLT possesses professional legal skills beyond those authorized by the license held by the LLLT; (e) represent a client in court proceedings, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process, unless permitted by GR 24; (f) negotiate a client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the client's position or convey to the client the position of another party; unless permitted by GR 24(b); (g) provide services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state, unless permitted by the laws of that state to perform such services for the client; (h) represent or otherwise provide legal or law related services to a client, except as permitted by law, APR 28, or associated rules and regulations; or (i) otherwise violate these Rules.

- 21 **RULE 1.5 FEES**
- 22 [NO CHANGES]
- 23 Comment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- 24 [1]-[3] [NO CHANGES]
  - [4] Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT is required by APR 28(G)(32) to enter into a written contract with the client before the LLLT begins to perform any services for a fee that includes, among

-	·
1	other things, identification of all fees and costs to be charged to the client for the services to be
2	performed. The provisions concerning a flat fee described in (f)(2) of this Rule, if applicable
3	should be included in that contract. The contract must be signed by both the client and the LLLT
4	before the LLLT begins to perform any services for a fee. See Comment [2] to Rule 1.2 for other
5	provisions that are to be included in the contract.
6	[5] [NO CHANGES]
7	RULE 1.8 CONFLICT OF INTEREST: CURRENT CLIENTS: SPECIFIC RULES
8	[NO CHANGES]
9 .	Comment
.0	[1]-[2] [NO CHANGES]
1	[3] LLLTs may not advocate for, or appear in court on behalf of, a client. LLLTs will have
2	no role in class action litigation and Rule 1.8(e)(2) is accordingly reserved in this Rule
3	LLLT RPC 1.8(e) does not authorize activities that are beyond the scope of the LLLT's
4	limited license. Nothing in Rule 1.8(e) is intended to prohibit lawyer members of a firm
15	with which an LLLT is associated from engaging in conduct permitted by Lawyer RPC
16	1.8(e)(2).
17	[4] Rule 1.8(g) is reserved. LLLTs are not permitted todo not engage in the making of
18	aggregate settlements, or aggregated agreements as to guilty or nolo contendere pleas in
19	criminal cases. Nothing in Rule 1.8(g) is intended to prohibit lawyer members of a firm
20	with which an LLLT is associated from participating in such settlements if permitted by
21	the Lawyer RPC.
22	[5]-[9] [NO CHANGES]
23	LLLT RPC 1.15A SAFEGUARDING PROPERTY
24	(a)-(h) [NO CHANGES]

(i) Trust accounts must be interest-bearing and allow withdrawals or transfers without any delay other than notice periods that are required by law or regulation and meet the requirements

25

of <del>LLLT REC</del> E	ELC 15.7(d) and ELLT REC 15.7(e). 1	In the exercise of ordinary prudence, an
LLLT may sele	ect any financial institution authorized 1	by the Legal Foundation of Washington
(Legal Foundation	on) under <u>LLLT RECELC</u> 15.7(c). In s	selecting the type of trust account for the
purpose of depo	siting and holding funds subject to this	Rule, an LLLT shall apply the following
criteria:		
(1) V	When client or third-person funds will	not produce a positive net return to the

client or third-person funds will not produce a positive net return to the client or third person because the funds are nominal in amount or expected to be held for a short period of time the funds must be placed in a pooled interest-bearing trust account known as an Interest on Limited License Legal Technician's Trust Account or IOLTA. The interest earned on IOLTA accounts shall be paid to, and the IOLTA program shall be administered by, the Legal Foundation of Washington in accordance with <a href="LLLT-RECELLLTC">LLLT RECELLLTC</a> 15.4 and <a href="LLLT-RECELC">LLT RECELL</a> 15.7(e).

### (2)-(3) [NO CHANGES]

(4) The provisions of paragraph (i) do not relieve an LLLT or law firm from any obligation imposed by these Rules or the <u>LLLT RECELLLTC</u>.

### Comment

[NO CHANGES]

### LLLT RPC 1.16 DECLINING OR TERMINATING REPRESENTATION

20 [NO CHANGES]

#### Comment

[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 1.16 with no substantive changes except to reflect that LLLTs the limited scope of representation that an LLLT provides to pro se clients and that a LLLT does not enter a notice of appearance. are not authorized to represent clients in court or to advocate for clients. For this reason, paragraph (c) is reserved and references to litigation

- or proceedings before a tribunal that appear in Lawyer RPC 1.16 do not apply and have been
- 2 omitted from this Rule. Otherwise, this Rule Lawyer RPC 1.16 applies to LLLTs analogously.
- 3 RULE 1.17 SALE OF LAW PRACTICE
- 4 An LLLT, firm of LLLTs, or a law firm with which one or more LLLTs are associated may
- 5 | sell or purchase a law practice, or an area of law practice, including good will, if the
- 6 | following conditions are satisfied:
- 7 (a)-(c) [NO CHANGES]
- 8 (d) The legal fees and LLLT fees charged clients shall not be increased by reason of the sale.
- 9 **Comment**
- 10 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 11 [2] A law firm consisting solely of LLLT owners is not authorized to purchase a law practice
- 12 that includes client matters requiring provision of legal services outside the authorized LLLT
- 13 scope of practice or defined practice area(s). See APR 28 and related Regulations.
- 14 RULE 2.1 ADVISOR
- 15 [NO CHANGES]
- 16 | Comment
- 17 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 18 [2] This Rule and its requirement regarding the exercise of independent professional
- 19 judgment do not expand the limitations on the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice under APR
- 20 28<del>(H)</del> and related regulations.
- 21 **RULE 2.3** [Reserved]
- 22 | Comment
- Lawyer RPC 2.3 pertains to a lawyer providing an evaluation of a matter affecting a client
- 24 for the use of someone other than the client. Unlike lawyers, LLLTs are not authorized to
- 25 | communicate the client's position to third parties. Drafting an opinion letter for the purposes of
- 26 | its use with a third party is the same as communicating the client's position to a third party and is

- prohibited by APR 28(H)(6). If the need for an evaluation arises in an LLLT's authorized scope
- 2 of practice under APR 28, an LLLT should look to Lawyer RPC 2.3 for guidance. Accordingly,
- 3 this Rule is reserved.
  - RULE 3.1 ADVISING AND ASSISTING CLIENTS IN PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A
- 5 TRIBUNAL

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (a) In a matter reasonably related to a pending or potential proceeding before a tribunal, an LLLT shall not <u>engage</u>, counsel a client to engage, or assist a client, in conduct involving:
  - (1)-(5) [NO CHANGES]
    - (6) knowingly disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation exists; or
    - (7) [NO CHANGES]
- (b) [NO CHNAGES]

#### Comment

This Rule is substantially different from Lawyer RPC 3.1 because the role of the LLLTs as an advocate is limited, are not authorized to represent clients in the proceedings of a tribunal. Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC addresses a lawyer's duties as an advocate when representing a client in the proceedings of a tribunal. Because APR 28(H)(5) expressly prohibits an LLLT from representing a client in a court or administrative adjudicative proceeding (unless permitted by GR 24), the Title 3 Rules do not apply directly to the conduct of LLLTs. Nevertheless, a number of the ethical principles located in Title 3 address conduct in connection with a proceeding that would be improper and repugnant whether engaged in by a lawyer or a party. In many instances, an LLLT will be providing assistance to a client who is a party to a court proceeding. In providing such assistance, an LLLT may be authorized within the scope of a specific practice area to accompany and assist a pro se client in certain proceedings. Assistance may include responding to factual and procedural questions from a tribunal. For this reason, as As a member of the legal profession, an LLLT is ethically bound to avoid advising or assisting a client in conduct that

undermines the integrity of the adjudicative process or threatens the fair and orderly administration of justice. As applied to the indirect conduct of LLLTs, the ethical proscriptions of Lawyer RPC 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 are less nuanced. Accordingly, they have been consolidated within Rule 3.1(a) as a prohibition on counseling or assisting the client in such activities. Conduct relating to the impartiality and decorum of a tribunal, Lawyer RPC 3.5, should be prohibited whether engaged in by an LLLT directly or indirectly, and is separately addressed in paragraph (b) of this Rule. Although less comprehensive than Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC, the core Title 3 principles incorporated into Rule 3.1 address the issues likely to be encountered by an LLLT, with supplemental guidance available in the corresponding Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC and commentary thereto. An LLLT acting as a "lay representative authorized by administrative agencies or tribunals" under GR 24(b)(3) would not be acting pursuant to the authority of his or her LLLT license in that context, since such representation would be beyond the scope of LLLT practice authorized by APR 28(F). Should an LLLT engage in conduct as a lay advocate that would otherwise directly violate a Title 3 obligation for example, by knowingly making a false statement of fact to an administrative tribunal such conduct may violate the requirements of other rules. See, e.g., Rule 8.4(c) (prohibiting conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation) and Rule 8.4(d) (prohibiting conduct prejudicial to the administration of iustice).  $[\frac{3}{2}]$ Certain provisions of Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC provisions, such as Lawyer as Witness in Rule 3.7 and the Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor in Rule 3.8, do not apply to LLLTs. In these instances, the corresponding LLLT RPC has been reserved. Rules 3.6 and 3.9 represent ethical issues that would rarely if ever arise in the context of an LLLT's limited-scope representation. Accordingly, these provisions have been reserved as well, though guidance is available in the corresponding Lawyer RPC in the event that such an ethical dilemma does arise in an LLLT representation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	RULE 3.6
2	[Reserved]
3	Comment
4	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
5	<b>RULE 3.7</b>
6	[Reserved]
7	Comment
8	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
9	LLLT RPC 3.8
10	[Reserved]
11	Comment
12	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
13	LLLT RPC 3.9
14	[Reserved]
15	Comment
16	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
17	RULE 4.1 TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS
18	[NO CHANGES]
19	Comment
20	[1] [NO CHANGES]
21	[2] LLLTs are required by APR 28(G)(5) to include the LLLT's name, signature, and license
22	number beneath the signature of the client on all documents that the LLLT prepares. This will
23	assure that judges and other court personnel, other parties to a matter, and lawyers representing
24	those parties, are informed of the LLLT's role in the matter.
25	RULE 4.2 COMMUNICATION WITH PERSON REPRESENTED BY LAWYER
26	[NO CHANGES]

Suggested Amendments to LLLT RPC Page 11 – January 19, 2018

Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

#### Comment

[1] A person who has chosen to be represented by a lawyer should be protected against possible overreaching by another lawyer. *See* Lawyer RPC 4.2 and Comments to that rule. Rule 4.2 extends to LLLTs the prohibition on communicating with a person represented by a lawyer. This Rule differs from Lawyer RPC 4.2 in that the prohibition is absolute. While a lawyer may be permitted to communicate directly with a person who is represented by another lawyer with the other lawyer's consent, or if authorized to do so by law or court order, there are no exceptions to the prohibition as it applies to LLLTs, because any such communication would put an LLLT in a position of exceeding the authorized scope of the LLLT's practice under APR 28(H). Specifically, APR 28(H)(6) prohibits negotiating a client's legal rights or responsibilities or communicating with another person the client's position, and APR 28(H)(5) prohibits an LLLT from representing a client in court proceedings. In light of these limitations, there is no circumstance in which an LLLT could communicate with a person represented by a lawyer about the subject matter of the representation without transgressing the APR.

### RULE 4.3 DEALING WITH PERSON NOT REPRESENTED BY LAWYER

- (a) In dealing on behalf of a client with a person who is not represented by a lawyer, an LLLT shall not state or imply that the LLLT is disinterested. When the LLLT knows or reasonably should know that the unrepresented person misunderstands the LLLT's role in the matter, the LLLT shall make reasonable efforts to correct the misunderstanding. The LLLT shall not give legal advice to an unrepresented person, other than the advice to secure the services of another legal practitioner, if the LLLT knows or reasonably should know that the interests of such a person are or have a reasonable possibility of being in conflict with the interests of the client.
- (b) An LLLT shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with another party in the matter.
- 25 | Comment

. 1	TParagraph (a) of this Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 4.3 with no substantive
2	changes and applies to LLLTs analogously.
3	[2] [Reserved.] Paragraph (b) of this Rule does not appear in the Lawyer RPC. It derives
4	from the limitations on the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice under APR 28(H)(6). See
5	Comment [1] to Rule 4.2 for a discussion of the implications of APR 28(H)(6).
6	[3] The client of an LLLT is an unrepresented person for purposes of Lawyer RPC 4.2 and
. 7	4.3. The definition of an LLLT in APR 28(B)(4) clarifies that an LLLT does not represent a client
8	in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance to a pro se client.
9	[4] Although an LLLT is strictly prohibited by paragraph (b) from communicating with a
10	party about the subject matter of the LLLT's representation, anAn LLLT may have occasion to
11	communicate directly with a nonparty who is assisted by another LLLT. A risk of unwarranted
12	intrusion into a privileged relationship may arise when an LLLT deals with a person who is
13	assisted by another LLLT. Client-LLLT communications, however, are privileged to the same
14	extent as client-lawyer communications. See APR 28(K)(3). An LLLT's ethical duty of
15	confidentiality further protects the LLLT client's right to confidentiality in that professional
16	relationship. See LLLT RPC 1.6(a). When dealing with a person who is assisted by another LLLT,
17	an LLLT must respect these legal rights that protect the client-LLLT relationship.
18	RULE 5.4 PROFESSIONAL INDEPENDENCE OF AN LLLT
19	(a) An LLLT or LLLT firm shall not share legal fees with anyone who is <u>not an</u> non-LLLT,
20	except that:
21	(1)-(2) [NO CHANGES]
22	(3) an LLLT or LLLT firm may include non-LLLT employees who are not LLLTs in
23	a compensation or retirement plan, even though the plan is based in whole or in
24	part on a profit-sharing arrangement; and
25	(4)-(5) [NO CHANGES]
26	

1	(b) An LLLT shall not form a partnership with a non-LLLT anyone who is not an LLLT if any	
2	of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.	
3	(c) [NO CHANGES]	
4	(d) An LLLT shall not practice with or in the form of a professional corporation or association	
5	authorized to practice law for a profit, if:	
6	(1) a non-LLLT person who is not an LLLT owns any interest therein, except that a	
7	fiduciary representative of the estate of an LLLT may hold the stock or interest of	
8	the LLLT for a reasonable time during administration;	
9	(2) a <u>person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT</u> is a corporate director or officer (other	
10	than as secretary or treasurer) thereof or occupies the position of similar	
11	responsibility in any form of association other than a corporation; or	
12	(3) a <u>person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT</u> has the right to direct or control the	
13	professional judgment of an LLLT.	
14	Comment	
1,5	[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 5.4 with no substantive changes except to	
16	change references to a "nonlawyer" to "person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT" to avoid	
17	confusion. It applies to LLLTs analogously.	
18	[2] Notwithstanding Rule 5.4 does not prohibit, lawyers and LLLTs may from sharinge fees	
19	and forming business structures to the extent permitted by Rule 5.9.	
20	RULE 5.5 UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW	
21	[NO CHANGES]	
22	Comment	
23	[1] Lawyer RPC 5.5(a) expresses the basic prohibition on a legal practitioner practicing law	
24	in a jurisdiction where that individual is not specifically licensed or otherwise authorized to	
25	practice law. It reflects the general notion (enforced through criminal-legal prohibitions and	
26	other law) that legal services may only be provided by those licensed to do so. This limitation of	
	•	

the ability to practice law is designed to protect the public against the rendition of legal services by unqualified persons. *See* Comment [2] to Lawyer RPC 5.5.

As applied to LLLTs, this principle should apply with equal force. An actively licensed LLLT should practice law as an LLLT only in a jurisdiction where he or she is licensed to do so, i.e., Washington State. An LLLT must not practice law in a jurisdiction where he or she is not authorized to do so. Unless and until other jurisdictions authorize Washington-licensed LLLTs to practice law, it will be unethical under this Rule for the LLLT to provide or attempt to provide legal services extraterritorially. Relatedly, it is unethical to assist anyone in activities that constitute the unauthorized practice of law in any jurisdiction. *See also* APR 28(H)(76) (prohibiting an LLLT from providing services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state unless permitted by the laws of that state to perform the services for the client).

Lawyer RPC 5.5(b) through (d) define the circumstances in which lawyers can practice in Washington despite being unlicensed here. For example, lawyers actively licensed elsewhere may provide services on a temporary basis in Washington in association with a lawyer admitted to practice here or when the lawyer's activities "arise out of or are reasonably related to the lawyer's practice in his or her home jurisdiction." These provisions also recognize that certain non-Washington-licensed lawyers may practice here on more than a temporary basis (e.g., lawyers providing services authorized by federal law), and otherwise prohibit non-Washington-licensed lawyers from establishing a systematic and continuous presence in Washington for the practice of law.

These provisions are, at this time, unnecessary in the LLLT RPC because there are no limited licenses programs in other jurisdictions tantamount to Washington's LLLT rules and no need to authorize nonlawyers limited license practitioners in other jurisdictions to practice law in Washington, either temporarily or on an ongoing basis. For this reason, paragraphs (b) through (d) are reserved.

1	RULE 8.1 <del>LIMITED LICENSURE</del> LICENSING, ADMISSION, AND DISCIPLINARY
2	MATTERS
3	An applicant for an LLLT licenselimited licensure, or an LLLT in connection with an
4	application for limited licensure or reinstatement application or , or admission to the Barlawyer's
5	bar admission, or a disciplinary matter involving a legal practitioner in connection with a lawyer
6	or LLLT disciplinary matter, shall not:
7	(a)-(b) [NO CHANGES]
8	Comment
9	[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 8.1 with no substantive changes - except to
10	reflect the difference between admission to the Bar (for a lawyer) and limited licensure (for an
11	LLLT). This Rule applies to LLLTs analogously.
12	RULE 8.4 MISCONDUCT
13	It is professional misconduct for an LLLT to:
14	(a)-(k) [NO CHANGES]
15	(I) violate a duty or sanction imposed by or under the <u>LLLT RECELLLTC</u> in connection
16	with a disciplinary matter; including, but not limited to, the duties catalogued at LLLT
17	RECELLITC 1.5;
18	(m)-(o) [NO CHANGES]
19	Comment
20	[NO CHANGES]
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF SUGGESTED	)
AMENDMENTS TO APR 28—LIMITED	) AMI
PRACTICE RULE FOR LIMITED LICENSE	RESCIN
LEGAL TECHNICIANS; APR 28 APPENDIX—	) RESCIT
REGULATION 2 PRACTICE AREAS—SCOPE OF	RF
PRACTICE AUTHORIZED BY LIMITED LICENSE	)
LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULE; APR 28 APPENDIX	) AMEND
REGULATION 3—EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS	) FO
FOR LLLT APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF	)
EDUCATION PROGRAMS; RULES OF	)
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC) 1.0B—	)
ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON TERMINOLOGY;	)
RPC 1.17—SALE OF LAW PRACTICE; RPC 4.3—	)
DEALING WITH A PERSON NOT REPRESENTED	)
BY A LAWYER; RPC 5.8—MISCONDUCT	)
INVOLVING LAWYERS AND LLLTs NOT	)
ACTIVELY LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW; RPC	)
8.1—BAR ADMISSION AND DISCIPLINARY	)
MATTERS; AND LLLT RULES OF	)
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPCs) LLLT	)
RPC 1.0B—ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY; LLLT	)
RPC 1.2—SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION AND	)
ALLOCATION OF AUTHORITY BETWEEN	)
CLIENT AND LLLT; LLLT RPC 1.5—FEES; LLLT	)
RPC 1.8 CONFLICT OF INTEREST: CURRENT	)
CLIENTS: SPECIFIC RULES; LLLT RPC 1.15A—	)
SAFEGUARDING POLICY; LLLT RPC 1.16—	)
DECLINING OR TERMINATING	)
REPRESENTATION; LLLT RPC 1.7 SALE OF A	)
LAW PRACTICE; LLLT RPC 2.1—ADVISOR;	)
LLLT RPC 2.3 [RESERVED]; LLLT RPC 3.1—	)
ADVISING AND ASSISTING CLIENTS IN	)
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A TRIBUNAL; LLLT	)
RPC 3.6-3.9 [RESERVED]; LLLT RPC 4.1—	)
TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS;	)
LLLT RPC 4.2—COMMUNICATION WITH	)
PERSON REPRSENTED BY LAWYER; LLLT RPC	)
4.3—DEALING WITH PERSON NOT	)
REPRESENTED BY LAWYER; LLLT RPC 5.4—	)
PROFESSIONAL INDPENDENCE OF A LLLT;	)
LLLT RPC 5.5 UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF	)
LAW; LLLT RPC 8.1—LICENSING, ADMISSION,	)
AND DISCIPLINARY MATTERS; LLLT RPC 8.4—	)
MISCONDUCT	)

AMENDED ORDER
RESCINDING ORDER NO.
25700-A-1246 AND
REPUBLISHING
SUGGESTED
AMENDMENTS TO APR 28
FOR COMMENT

NO. 25700-A-1249



The Washington State Supreme Court Limited License Legal Technician Board

Legal Technicians. The amendments were considered by the Court on October 31, 2018, and adopted by a majority vote with the filing of Order No. 25700-A-1246 on November 1, 2018. Subsequently, on November 15, 2018, the Court determined by a majority vote that, due to significant formatting errors in the publication of the rule amendments, the rule should be rescinded and republished as a proposed rule for comments.

Now, therefore, it is hereby

#### ORDERED:

- (a) The adoption of amendments to APR 28 in Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246 is hereby rescinded effective immediately.
- (b) Pursuant to the provisions of GR 9(g), the correctly formatted suggested amendments as attached hereto are to be published for comment in the Washington Reports, Washington Register, Washington State Bar Association and Administrative Office of the Court's websites on December 18, 2018.
- (c) The purpose statement as required by GR 9(e), is published solely for the information of the Bench, Bar and other interested parties.
- (d) Comments are to be submitted to the Clerk of the Supreme Court by either U.S. Mail or Internet E-Mail by no later than February 1, 2019. Comments may be sent to the following addresses: P.O. Box 40929, Olympia, Washington 98504-0929, or <a href="mailto:supreme@courts.wa.gov">supreme@courts.wa.gov</a>. Comments submitted by e-mail message must be limited to 1500 words.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 2018.

For the Court

Parhust, Cg.
CHIEF JUSTICE

### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Regarding Amendments to ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR) 28, APR 28 APPENDIX REGULATIONS OF THE APR 28 LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN BOARD, RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC), AND

LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPC)

**Purpose:** The court originally ordered amendments to these rules, with original GR 9 cover sheets, published for comment at the June 2018 en banc administrative conference. Original proposed amendments were published in 190 Wn.2d Proposed 21-57. Following notice and comment, a majority of the Court adopted those proposed amendments in Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246. On November 21, 2018, a majority of the court voted to rescind Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246 due to errors in the version that was published and determined that the corrected suggested amendments would be published for comment with a description of the substantive corrections only. The proposed amendments have been reformatted to include necessary corrections. This Cover Sheet is prepared by the court and contains a description of the substantive differences between the proposed amendments published at 190 Wn.2d Proposed 21-57, and the proposed amendments published today.

### **APR 28(B)(4)**

The omitted last sentence "The legal technician does not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance as set forth in this rule to a pro-se client" is included and stricken through.

### **APR 28(F)**

Corrected strike through and underlines to reflect correct proposed additions and deletions according to existing language.

### **APR 28(F)(5)**

Corrected the word "side" to "party".

### **APR 28(G)(2)**

The unchanged language of subsection (2) is included because subsection (2)(a) is modified.

### **APPENDIX APR 28(G)(3)**

Omitted subsection (G)(3) is included but unchanged.

### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(1)(c)

The addition of "parentage or paternity" is underlined.

### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(2)(d)

Qualified Domestic Relations Order replaces "QDRO" the first time the acronym is used.

### **APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(3)**

Corrected the errant strike through to APR(H)IT.

### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(3)(b)(viii)

Changed the replacement of domestic with committed.

### **RPC 1.0B Washington Comments**

Removed underline and incorporated existing language "(1-3)".

### **RPC 1.17 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment".

#### **RPC 1.17 Comment 19**

Removed underline from the word "sale" as it is existing language.

#### **RPC 4.3 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment". Changed references to the section to reflect "Comment" and "Additional Washington Comment" sections.

#### **RPC 5.8 Comment**

Replaced underlined "Washington Comment" with "Comment" as existing language.

#### **RPC 8.1 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment".

#### LLLT RPC PREAMBLE

Added back the words "AND SCOPE" as existing language.

#### LLLT RPC 1.16 Comment 1

Corrected strike through and underlines to reflect correct proposed additions and deletions according to existing language.

### **LLLT RPC 1.17**

The unchanged language prior to subsection (a) is included.

### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Suggested Amendments ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR) 28 Limited Practice Rule for Limited License Legal Technicians

**Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board** 

### A. Name of Proponent:

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

### B. Spokesperson:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566 Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

### C. Purpose:

The primary purpose of the suggested amendments is to enhance the scope of the Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) domestic relations practice area in order to improve the LLLT's ability to render efficient and effective legal services to pro se clients.

These suggested amendments will enable LLLTs to better serve their clients by allowing LLLTs to provide a wider range of services and more support in the courtroom. This more cohesive set of services will help LLLTs provide much needed access to legal services, guidance, and advice to low and moderate income pro se clients. The suggested amendments have been discussed and reviewed at length and are designed

to enhance the existing domestic relations practice area consistent with client needs and the intended role of LLLTs as legal practitioners.

The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive set of services to their clients.

The Family Law Advisory Workgroup of the LLLT Board was charged with discussing these questions and offering recommendations to the LLLT Board regarding the possible ways in which the scope of practice could be adjusted. The Family Law Advisory Workgroup includes members of the Board (including family law lawyers), other family law practitioners, lawyers who practice in other legal areas, and a practicing LLLT. The Family Law Advisory Workgroup worked collaboratively with several of the law professors teaching the family law practice area classes as well as solicited further information from practicing LLLTs. Throughout 2016 and the beginning of 2017, the workgroup studied the issues and provided recommendations to the LLLT Board. The LLLT Board approved the suggested amendments in early 2017 and presented information generally describing the intended enhancements to the domestic relations scope of practice to the Supreme Court on March 8, 2017, and to the Board of Governors on May 19, 2017.

The LLLT Board posted the suggested amendments on the Washington State

Bar Association (WSBA) website and solicited comments between May and July 2017.

Over 30 comments were received from lawyers, LLLTs, at least one client of a LLLT, a firm employing a LLLT, a member of the Board of Bar Examiners, the King County Bar Association Family Law Section, a member of the WSBA Family Law Section Executive Committee, the Northwest Justice Project, and members of the public. On August 16, 2017, the Family Law Advisory Workgroup reviewed the comments submitted, discussed all comments that posed specific drafting questions or suggestions in detail, and modified and refined the suggested amendments where it deemed necessary. The modifications were also responsive to the informal feedback received from the Access to Justice Board's Rules Committee. At its August 17, 2017, meeting, the LLLT Board approved the suggested amendments as modified by the Family Law Advisory Workgroup.

The following describes each suggested amendment and the amendment's purpose and intended effect:

### **APR 28(B)**

The Board suggests an administrative amendment to APR 28(B)(1) to correct the reference to the "Admission to Practice Rules" to the "Admission and Practice Rules." The Board's suggested amendment to APR 28(B)(4) strikes a phrase relating to the current prohibition on LLLTs attending court proceedings, which would be modified by these suggested amendments. The nature of a LLLT's client being "pro se" is preserved in APR 28(F), Scope of Practice Authorized by Limited Practice Rule, rather than including it in the definition of an LLLT.

### **APR 28(F)**

The Board has suggested several administrative amendments to the first

paragraph of APR 28(F). The amendments are designed to unify the terminology used in the introduction to APR 28, repeating phrases such as "render legal assistance" and reinforcing that the LLLT is providing limited legal assistance to a pro se client. The amendments would also clarify that LLLTs have an affirmative duty to inform clients to seek the services of a lawyer when an issue outside of their scope of practice has been identified. In APR 28(F)(3), a further clarification of the LLLT's duties to clients with respect to filing and service of documents was added, stating specifically that the LLLT may both advise and assist clients in correctly filing and serving documents.

The suggested amendments would delete the words "from the opposing side" from APR 28(F)(5) in order to delineate that LLLTs may review documents or exhibits provided to the client from any source, not only from the opposing side. The suggested amendment to what will be APR 28(F)(10) is grammatical, changing "a client" to "the client" in order to create consistency with the other paragraphs in the subsection. The suggested change to what will be APR 28(F)(11) is semantic, changing "documents" to "records" in order to better describe the list of records that follows.

APR 28(F)(12) and (13) are new suggested subsections that relate to the enhancements to the LLLT scope of practice. New APR 28(F)(12) suggests that LLLTs be permitted to communicate or negotiate with the opposing party or the party's representative regarding procedural matters. New APR 28(F)(13) suggests that LLLTs be permitted to negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities provided that the client has given written consent defining the parameters of the negotiation. LLLTs and lawyers for the opposing party have reported that significant barriers to efficient case administration are imposed by the current restriction that LLLTs must not communicate

with anyone other than the client regarding the subject matter of the representation.

LLLTs have encountered difficulties instructing their clients about how to independently accomplish various ministerial activities such as rescheduling hearing dates, confirming service addresses, and informing opposing parties when an issue with their pleadings has been identified. The LLLT Board believes that communication regarding procedural matters should be allowed in order to increase efficiency of the services LLLTs provide to their clients.

The new subsection APR 28(F)(14) would provide that additional types of legal assistance not otherwise prohibited generally by APR 28 could be authorized by regulations relating to the scope of practice permitted within a specific practice area. This would allow LLLTs to provide certain legal assistance necessary for a particular approved practice area but that may not be needed, justified, or wise to include within the scope of all approved practice areas.

### **APR 28(G)**

Three amendments to APR 28(G) have been suggested. The first would delete the words "appear or" from APR 28(G)(2)(a) in order to coordinate this subsection with suggested amendments to the domestic relations scope of practice in Regulation 2(B). The second suggested amendment in the same paragraph would reinforce that LLLTs must look to the specific regulation regarding their practice area to fully comprehend their scope of practice.

The third suggested amendment in APR 28(G)(4) would preserve the LLLT's obligation to sign documents and pleadings they prepare while allowing an exception for LLLTs assisting a client or a third party in preparing a declaration or sworn statement.

Requiring LLLTs to sign the sworn statement of another person deviates from common practice among lawyers when preparing declarations for signature by a client or third party.

## **APR 28(H)**

The suggested amendments to APR 28(H) would unify the amendments to the domestic relations scope in Regulation 2 with the permitted actions under the LLLT license. The suggested amendment to APR 28(H)(5) would reinforce that to understand the entirety of the scope of practice for a licensed LLLT, one must look to the specific practice area regulation.

The suggested amendments to APR 28(H)(6) would allow LLLTs to negotiate with the opposing party or their representative when the client has defined the scope of the negotiation prior to its onset. The current prohibition against LLLTs negotiating for their clients has frequently resulted in situations where the LLLT must schedule hearings regarding issues that could likely be negotiated, thereby using substantially more of the parties' and the court's time and unnecessarily increasing the cost of the representation. Additionally, LLLT clients who are in the midst of a difficult dissolution, custody battle, or domestic violence dispute may find themselves in the position of being contacted by their spouse or abuser when it would be in their best interest to have a third party act as the mediator or contact person. Also significantly, a number of lawyers for opposing parties have reported that they would prefer to negotiate with a legal professional rather than a pro se layperson who is emotionally involved in the outcome of the issue. For LLLTs who are multilingual, being able to negotiate with opposing parties would also allow them to maximize essential services to clients who

may not speak English but do speak the same language(s) as the LLLT.

The suggested additions of what would be APR 28(H)(8) and (9) would move prohibitions that previously existed in the LLLT domestic relations scope regulation to this subsection because these restrictions should apply to all LLLTs, regardless of approved practice area.

## APR 28 Regulation 2(A)

In APR 28 Regulation 2(A), the suggested amendments are purely administrative and would align the style with other portions of APR 28.

## APR 28 Regulation 2(B)

APR 28 Regulation 2(B) provides a detailed treatment of the scope of the LLLT domestic relations practice. The suggested amendments to APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(1) would modify the permitted scope of practice by including all parenting plan modifications and nonparental custody actions. For protection orders, the LLLT family law scope of practice is currently limited to domestic violence actions only. The suggested amendments would add other protection or restraining orders arising from a domestic relations case in addition to the current domestic violence protection orders. Additionally, the suggested amendments reorganized the listing of the permitted actions to be roughly sequential from primary actions through modifications and other related actions.

Currently, LLLTs are permitted to help clients with uncontested parenting plan modifications but may not advise or assist clients regarding contested major parenting plan modifications unless the terms have been agreed to by the parties before the onset of the representation. Because of the existing prohibition in APR 28 Regulation 2(B),

clients have not been able to obtain advice from the LLLT on the relevant issues that will be before the court for determination at an adequate cause hearing. Under the current provisions, therefore, the client must attempt to negotiate the terms of major parenting plan modifications without receiving advice from the LLLT as the client prepares to argue the issues. The LLLT Board recommends that LLLTs be permitted to assist with all major modification cases up to the point of the adequate cause hearing, and thus, suggests removing the phrase "when the terms are agreed to by the parties."

The LLLT Board also suggests that LLLTs be permitted to assist with nonparental custody cases up to the point of the adequate cause hearing. Tens of thousands of children in Washington live with a guardian other than a parent. Very few of these guardians have legal custody, which causes complex problems with access to medical, educational, and housing services. Child in Need of Services cases and dependencies are commonly resolved through nonparental custody with relatives and family friends, who often cannot afford to hire an attorney. Additionally, nonparental custody matters are accomplished through the use of pattern forms which LLLTs can be trained to use competently. Permitting LLLTs to assist with these matters would promote judicial efficiency by helping pro se parties navigate this aspect of the legal system.

The first paragraph of APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2) contains suggested stylistic amendments. It also would clarify that a domestic relations LLLT may provide legal services specified by the Regulation. The suggested amendments to APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(a) are grammatical.

In APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(b), the suggested substantive amendments would

permit an LLLT to provide services related to the division of real property. In the current text of APR 28, there is an absolute prohibition in Regulation 2(B)(3)(i) against dividing real property. This restriction was originally called into question by the professors and students participating in the LLLT family law practice area classes. Practicing LLLTs reported that clients experienced significant barriers because of the LLLTs' inability to divide the family home as part of the legal process.

In response to these issues, the LLLT Board suggests that LLLTs be allowed to assist with gathering information on the value and potential encumbrances on a home, as clients are often unable to independently find the information necessary for the court to evaluate the value of their real property assets. The LLLT Board also suggests that LLLTs be allowed to advise and assist with division of single family residential real property in which the parties have equity of up to twice the homestead exemption (currently \$125,000; see RCW 6.13.030). This would allow two parties who own a home together to potentially divide the equity in the home and preserve their maximum exemption if either party files for bankruptcy at a later date. The homestead exemption is set by the legislature and adjusted periodically according to economic factors.

Real property division was prohibited by the LLLT Board when initially contemplated because there were concerns about being able to adequately address the topic in the practice area curriculum. The family law professors and the Family Law Advisory Workgroup of the LLLT Board worked together to address this issue. The professors and Workgroup believe that it would be possible to teach LLLTs how to divide single family residential real property using the current family law forms because the mandatory forms were designed, in large part, to be able to be completed by pro se

litigants. The LLLT Board has developed a checklist for LLLTs to use when dividing property; a sample is enclosed. The checklist collects important information about the disposition of the property, liens, encumbrances, and remedies in the case of default. The family law professors plan to revise the existing LLLT family law education curriculum to allow LLLTs to capably perform this limited scope of real estate division.

APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(3)(c)(i) currently prohibits LLLTs from advising clients about or dividing retirement assets using a supplemental order, including all defined benefit plans and defined contribution plans. The family law professors and the Family Law Advisory Workgroup believe this prohibition is too restrictive. Under suggested APR 28 Regulation B(2)(c) and (d), LLLTs would be permitted to advise as to retirement asset allocation for specified retirement plans and include language in a decree describing how QDROs (qualified domestic relations orders) or supplemental orders are to be prepared. LLLTs would continue to be prohibited from preparing the actual QDRO or supplemental order dividing retirement assets.

Suggested APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(e) addresses LLLT participation in alternative dispute resolution proceedings and suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(f) would specifically allow LLLTs to accompany, assist, and confer with their pro se clients at depositions. Alternative dispute resolution (such as mediation, arbitration, or settlement conferences) is mandated in contested family law cases in Washington State; it would be a significant help to clients and to the court system to permit LLLTs to assist with mediations in family law cases. Professors and practitioners on the Family Law Advisory Workgroup noted that sending a client into the mediation without support—when that person may or may not understand the nature of the process or the finer details of the

case—would likely set up the client for failure. The current prohibition was initially designed to align with the prohibition on negotiation. If the suggested amendment removing the prohibition against negotiation in APR 28(H)(6) is adopted, the Board believes there would be no reason to restrict LLLT participation in alternative dispute resolution proceedings.

Similarly, suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(f) would allow an LLLT to accompany the pro se client at a deposition. The LLLT would not take or defend the deposition and would not make objections. The LLLT could provide advice and explain questions and their impact to the client during breaks.

Suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(g) would allow LLLTs to present agreed orders, uncontested orders, default orders, and accompanying documents. Today, paralegals and legal assistants without a license to practice law are permitted to appear at ex parte calendars to present orders for entry in most counties in Washington. When a court denies entry of ex parte orders there is no record (transcript, clerk's notes, or recording) for an LLLT to rely upon to determine why the orders were not entered if the client does not understand or cannot properly convey a court's reasoning. The LLLT risks sending a client back to court without fully resolving the issue(s) that caused the initial denial. Permitting an LLLT to present orders for ex parte entry on behalf of the client would ensure that the client's case will be properly finalized and provides assurance for the LLLT that documents bearing their signature have been properly handled.

Suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(h) would allow LLLTs to accompany and assist their pro se clients at certain hearings and respond to direct questions from the court or tribunal regarding factual and procedural issues only. The LLLT could not represent the

client like a lawyer would. The permitted hearings would be primarily motion hearings, as well as administrative child support hearings. Subsection (h)(i) would allow LLLTs to accompany and assist clients at hearings related to domestic violence protection orders and other protection or restraining orders arising from a domestic relations case. The current prohibition against participating in court proceedings has presented significant barriers to the LLLTs' ability to provide efficient services to clients. LLLTs report that mistakes made by clients at hearings, such as incorrectly answering questions from the judge due to a lack of understanding of legal terminology, handing the court the wrong suggested order, and not understanding orders from the court or court procedures, are negatively impacting the cases by causing unnecessary confusion, repetition, and delays.

The amendments to the main paragraph of APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(3) and subections (a) and (b)(i) and (b)(ii) are grammatical. Substantive amendments regarding the division of real estate and retirement assets can be found in (b)(iii). This amendment would clarify that division or conveyance of formal business entities, commercial property, or residential property would be prohibited except as permitted in Regulation 2(B)(2)(b).

Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) is a new subsection containing the current prohibition on LLLTs preparing QDROs and supplemental orders dividing retirement assets.

The LLLT Board suggests removing what is currently Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) because criminal no contact orders are entered by prosecutors and therefore LLLTs would not be able to enter them even if permitted to do so. Other protection orders currently prohibited in Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) would also be removed by this

amendment because other amendments would permit LLLTs to render these forms of legal assistance if they arise from a domestic relations case.

The new suggested subsection (ix) would permit LLLTs to render legal assistance with nonparental custody matters and major parenting plan modifications through the adequate cause hearing, unless the terms are agreed to by the parties or one party defaults, in which case there is no prohibition.

The new suggested subsection (b)(xi) would prohibit LLLTs from providing legal assistance with objections or responses in contested relocation actions.

The suggested deletions of subsections (d) and (e) relating to the taking of a deposition and responding to or initiating an appeal have been moved to general prohibitions under APR 28(H).

## APR 28 Regulation 3(C)

If the suggested amendments are adopted, changes to the domestic relations scope of practice will require currently licensed LLLTs receive additional training about the enhancements outlined in the suggested amendments. The LLLT Board intends to create and offer mandatory continuing legal education to accomplish this. The LLLT Board will provide notice of the supplemental education requirement and the deadline for completion of the requirement to LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs.

#### Conclusion

The Court adopted the LLLT license in order to provide greater public access to trained and licensed legal professionals within an approved area of law and proscribed scope of practice. This new and innovative model has drawn notice throughout the country and the world. Educators, Board members, and newly practicing LLLTs have

had the opportunity to critically examine the LLLT service model and to observe how the initial formulation of the domestic relations scope of practice impacted clients. Based on those observations and an examination of the license to date, the LLLT Board believes these suggested amendments will serve to enhance public access to the legal system in Washington and will allow LLLTs to provide more comprehensive services to pro se clients in need of legal assistance in family law. These suggested amendments are presented along with corresponding suggested amendments to the LLLT Rules of Professional Conduct and the Rules of Professional Conduct for lawyers that are necessary to implement the suggested amendments to APR 28. The LLLT Board requests the Court adopt all the suggested amendments together.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to promote the effective practice of licensed LLLTs and align the curriculum of the next cohort of LLLT students.
- F. <u>Supporting Material</u>: In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to APR 28, a copy of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and the Lawyer RPC are included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

- 1 TITLE
- 2 | ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR)
- 3 RULE 28. LIMITED PRACTICE RULE FOR LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL
- 4 TECHNICIANS
- 5 A. Purpose.
- 6 [NO CHANGES]
- 7 **B. Definitions.** For purposes of this rule, the following definitions will apply:
- 8 (1)-(3) [NO CHANGES]
- 9 (4) "Limited License Legal Technician" (LLLT) means a person qualified by education, training
- and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in approved
- 11 practice areas of law as specified by this rule and related regulations. The legal technician does
- 12 not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
- 13 as set forth in this rule to a pro se client.
- 14 (5)-(10) [NO CHANGES]
- 15 C. Limited License Legal Technician Board
- 16 [NO CHANGES]
- 17 D. [Reserved.]
- 18 E. [Reserved.]
- 19 F. Scope of Practice Authorized by Limited Practice Rule. The Limited License Legal
- 20 Technician shall ascertain whether the issue is within the defined practice area for which the
- 21 | LLLT is licensed. It if is not, the LLLT shall not render any legal assistance provide the services
- 22 required on this issue and shall advise inform the client to that the client should seek the services
- 23 of a lawyer. If the issue is within the defined practice area, the LLLT may render the following
- 24 | limited legal assistance to a pro se client undertake the following:
- 25 (1)-(2) [NO CHANGES]
- 26 (3) Inform the client of and assist with applicable procedures for proper service of process and

- 1 filing of legal documents;
- 2 (4) [NO CHANGES]
- 3 (5) Review documents or exhibits that the client has received from the opposing party, and
- 4 explain them to the client;
- 5 (6)-(7) [NO CHANGES]
- 6 (8) Draft letters setting forth legal opinions that are intended to be read by persons other than the
- 7 | client; and
- 8. (9) Detraft documents beyond what is permitted in paragraph (6), if the work is reviewed and
- 9 approved by a Washington lawyer;
- 10 (109) Advise thea client as to other documents that may be necessary to the client's case, and
- 11 explain how such additional documents or pleadings may affect the client's case;
- 12  $(1\underline{10})$  Assist the client in obtaining necessary documents or records, such as birth, death, or
- 13 marriage certificates.
- 14 (12) Communicate and negotiate with the opposing party or the party's representative regarding
- 15 procedural matters, such as setting court hearings or other ministerial or civil procedure matters;
- 16 (13) Negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities provided that the client has given
- written consent defining the parameters of the negotiation prior to the onset of the negotiation;
- 18 and
- 19 (14) Render other types of legal assistance when specifically authorized by the scope of practice
- 20 regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT is licensed.
- 21 G. Conditions Under Which A Limited License Legal Technician May Provide Services
- 22 (1) [NO CHANGES]
- 23 (2) Prior to the performance of the services for a fee, the Limited License Legal Technician shall
- 24 enter into a written contract with the client, signed by both the client and the Limited License
- 25 | Legal Technician, that includes the following provisions:
- 26 (a) An explanation of the services to be performed, including a conspicuous statement that the

- 1 Limited License Legal Technician may not appear or represent the client in court, formal
- 2 | administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process or negotiate
- 3 the client's legal rights or responsibilities, unless permitted under GR 24(b) or specifically
- 4 authorized by the scope of practice regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT
- 5 is licensed;
- 6 (3) [Unchanged.]
- 7 (b)-(g) [NO CHANGES]
- 8 (4) A document prepared by an LLLT shall include the LLLT's name, signature, and license
- 9 number beneath the signature of the client. <u>LLLTs do not need to sign sworn statements or</u>
- declarations of the client or a third party, and do not need to sign documents that do not require a
- signature by the client, such as information sheets.
- 12 H. Prohibited Acts.
- 13 In the course of dealing with clients or prospective clients, a Limited License Legal Technician
- 14 | shall not:
- 15 (1)-(4) [NO CHANGES]
- 16 (5) Represent a client in court proceedings, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or
- other formal dispute resolution process, unless permitted by GR 24 or specifically authorized by
- 18 the scope of practice regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT is licensed;
- 19 (6) Negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the
- 20 | client's position or convey to the client the position of another party, unless permitted by GR
- 21 <del>24(b)</del>;
- 22 (67) Provide services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state, unless
- 23 permitted by the laws of that state to perform such services for the client;
- 24 (78) Represent or otherwise provide legal or law related services to a client, except as permitted
- 25 by law, this rule, or associated rules and regulations;
- 26 (8) Conduct or defend a deposition;

1	(9) Initiate or respond to an appeal to an appellate court; and
2	(109) Otherwise violate the Limited License Legal Technician Rules of Professional Conduct.
3	I. – O.
4	[NO CHANGES]
5	
6	APPENDIX APR 28. REGULATIONS OF THE APR 28 LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL
7	TECHNICIAN BOARD
8	REGULATION 1. [RESERVED.]
9	REGULATION 2. <u>APPROVED</u> PRACTICE AREASSCOPE OF PRACTICE
10	AUTHORIZED BY LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULE
I 1	In each practice area in which an LLLT is licensed, the LLLT shall comply with the provisions
12	defining the scope of practice as found in APR 28 and as described herein.
13	A. Issues Beyond the Scope of Authorized Practice.
14	(1)-(4) [NO CHANGES]
15	After an issue beyond the LLLT's scope of practice has been identified, if the client engages a
16	lawyer with respect to the issue, then an LLLT may prepare a document related to the issue only
17	if a lawyer acting on behalf of the client has provided appropriate documents and written
18	instructions for the LLLT as to whether and how to proceed with respect to the issue. If the clien
19	does not engage a lawyer with respect to the issue, then the LLLT may prepare documents that
20	relate to the issue if:
21	(1). <u>t</u> The client informs the LLLT how the issue is to be determined and instructs the LLLT how
2 <b>2</b>	to complete the relevant portions of the document, and
23	(2). <u>aAbove</u> the LLLT's signature at the end of the document, the LLLT inserts a statement to
24	the effect that the LLLT did not advise the client with respect to any issue outside of the LLLT's
25	scope of practice and completed any portions of the document with respect to any such issues at
26	the direction of the client.

The LLLT may proceed in the manner described above only if no other defined prohibitions

2	<del>apply.</del>
3	B. Domestic Relations.
4	1. Domestic Relations, Defined. For the purposes of these regulations, domestic relations shall
5	include only the following actions: (a) divorce and dissolutionehild support modification actions,
6	(b) parenting and supportdissolution actions, (c) parentage or paternitydomestic violence actions,
7	except as prohibited by Regulation 2(B)(3), (d) child support modification committed intimate
8	relationship actions only as they pertain to parenting and support issues, (e) parenting plan
9	modificationlegal separation actions, (f) domestic violence protection ordersmajor parenting plan
10	modifications when the terms are agreed to by the parties before the onset of the representation
11	by the LLLT, (g) committed intimate relationships only as they pertain to parenting and support
12	issues minor parenting plan modifications, (h) legal separationparenting and support actions, (i)
13	nonparental and third party custodypaternity actions, and (j) other protection or restraining orders
14	arising from a domestic relations case, and (k) relocation actions, except as prohibited by
15	Regulation 2B(3).
16	2. Scope of Practice for LLLTsDomestic Relations. LLLTs <u>licensed</u> in domestic relations may
17	renderprovide legal services to clients as provided in APR 28(F) and this regulation, except as
18	prohibited by APR 28(H) and Regulation 2(B)(3).
19	(a) Unless an issue beyond the scope arises or a prohibited act would be required, LLLTs may
20	advise and assist clients with (1) to initiatinge and responding to actions and related(2) regarding
21	motions, discovery, trial preparation, temporary and final orders, and modifications of orders.
22	(b) LLLT legal services regarding the division of real property shall be limited to matters where
23	the real property is a single family residential dwelling with owner equity less than or equal to
24	twice the homestead exemption (see RCW 6.13.030). LLLTs shall use the form for real property
25	division as approved by the LLLT Board.
26	(c) LLLTs may advise as to the allocation of retirement assets for defined contribution plans with

- a value less than the homestead exemption, and as provided in United States Internal Revenue
   Code (IRC) sections 401a, 401k, 403b, and 457; and Individual Retirement Accounts as set forth
- 3 in IRC section 408.
- 4 (d) LLLTs may include language in a decree of dissolution awarding retirement assets as
- 5 described in APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(c) when the respondent defaults, when the parties agree
- on the award or when the court awards the assets following trial. The award language in the
- 7 decree shall identify (1) the party responsible for having the qualified domestic relations order
- 8 (QDRO) or supplemental order prepared and by whom, (2) how the cost of the QDRO or
- 9 | supplemental order preparation is to be paid, (3) by what date the QDRO or supplemental order
- must be prepared, and (4) the remedy for failure to follow through with preparation of the QDRO
- 11 or supplemental order.
- 12 (e) LLLTs may prepare paperwork and accompany and assist clients in dispute resolution
- 13 proceedings including mediation, arbitration, and settlement conferences where not prohibited by
- 14 the rules and procedures of the forum.
- 15 (f) LLLTs, when accompanying their client, may assist and confer with their pro se clients at
- 16 depositions.
- 17 (g) LLLTs may present to a court agreed orders, uncontested orders, default orders, and
- 18 accompanying documents;
- 19 (h) LLLTs, when accompanying their client, may assist and confer with their pro se clients and
- 20 respond to direct questions from the court or tribunal regarding factual and procedural issues at
- 21 the hearings listed below:
- 22 i. domestic violence protection orders and other protection or restraining orders arising from a
- 23 domestic relations case;
- 24 | ii. motions for temporary orders, including but not limited to temporary parenting plans, child
- 25 support, maintenance, and orders to show cause;
- 26 <u>iii. enforcement of domestic relations orders;</u>

- 1 iv. administrative child support;
- 2 v. modification of child support;
- 3 vi. adequate cause hearings for nonparental custody or parenting plan modifications;
- 4 vii. reconsiderations or revisions;
- 5 viii. trial setting calendar proceedings with or without the client when the LLLT has confirmed
- 6 the available dates of the client in writing in advance of the proceeding.
- 7 | 3. Prohibited Acts. In addition to the prohibitions set forth in APR 28(H), in the course of
- 8 | rendering legal services todealing with clients or prospective clients, LLLTs licensed to practice
- 9 in domestic relations:
- a. shall not <u>render legal services to represent</u> more than one party in any domestic relations
- 11 matter;
- 12 | b. shall not renderprovide legal services in:
- 13 i. in-de facto parentage or nonparental custody actions; and
- 14 | ii. actions that involveif-25 U.S.C. chapter 21, the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978, or chapter
- 15 13.38 RCW, the Washington State Indian Child Welfare Act, applies to the matter;
- 16 c. shall not advise or assist clients regarding:
- 17 iii. division or conveyance of owned real estate, formal business entities, commercial property,
- or residential real property except as permitted by Regulation 2(B) or retirement assets that
- 19 require a supplemental order to divide and award, which includes division of all defined benefit
- 20 plans and defined contribution plans;
- 21 | iv. preparation of ODROs and supplemental orders dividing retirement assets beyond what is
- 22 prescribed in Regulation 2(B)(2)(d);
- 23 v. any retirement assets whereby the decree effectuates the division or the implementation of the
- 24 division of the asset;
- 25 <u>viii.</u> bankruptcy, including obtaining a stay from bankruptcy;
- 26 | viiii. disposition of debts and assets, if one party is in bankruptcy or files a bankruptcy during the

1	pendency of the proceeding, unless: (a) the LLLT's client has retained a lawyer to represent
2	him/her in the bankruptcy, (b) the client has consulted with a lawyer and the lawyer has provide
3	written instructions for the LLLT as to whether and how to proceed regarding the division of
4	debts and assets in the domestic relations proceeding, or (c) the bankruptcy has been discharged
5	iv. antiharassment orders, criminal no contact orders, anti-stalking orders, and sexual assault
6	protection orders in domestic violence actions;
7	v <u>iii</u> . <del>jointly acquired committed intimate relationship</del> -property issues in <u>committed</u> <del>domestic</del>
8	intimate relationship actions;
9	vix. major parenting plan modifications and nonparental custody actions beyond the adequate
10	cause hearing unless the terms arewere agreed to by the parties or one party defaults before the
11	onset of the representation by the LLLT;
12	xvii. the determination of Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act issues under
13	chapter 26.27 RCW or Uniform Interstate Family Support Act issues under chapter 26.21A
14	RCW unless and until jurisdiction has been resolved;
15	viixi. objections or responses in contested relocation actions objections to relocation petitions,
16	responses to objections to relocation petitions, or temporary orders in relocation actions; and
17	$\frac{1}{2}$ in the event of default or where the
18	terms have been agreed to by the parties.
19	d. shall not appear or participate at the taking of a deposition; and
20	e. shall not initiate or respond to an appeal to an appellate court.
21	REGULATION 3: EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS FOR LLLT APPLICANTS AND
22	APPROVAL OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS
23	An applicant for admission as an LLLT shall satisfy the following education requirements:
24	A. Core Curriculum.
25	[NO CHANGES]
26	B. Practice Area Curriculum

1	[NO CHANGES]
2	C. Required Supplemental Education. The LLLT Board has discretion to require all LLLTs to
3	complete supplemental education in order to maintain their licenses due to changes in the
4	permitted scope of practice for LLLTs. The LLLT Board shall provide notice to LLLTs of the
5	supplemental education requirement and the deadline for completion of the requirement,
6	allowing at least 12 months to complete the required supplemental education. LLLTs may be
7	administratively suspended pursuant to the procedures set forth in APR 17 if they fail to comply
8	with the supplemental education requirements by the stated deadline.
9	[NO CHANGES]
10	REGULATION 4- 20
11	[NO CHANGES]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Suggested Amendments to APR 28 Page 9 – January 19, 2018 Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

## **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

## Suggested Amendments to RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC)

## Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board

## A. Name of Proponent:

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

## B. Spokesperson:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566

Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

## C. Purpose:

These suggested amendments are presented in conjunction with suggested amendments to Admission and Practice Rule (APR) 28 and related regulations and the Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Rules of Professional Conduct (LLLT RPC). The suggested amendments to APR 28 enhance the scope of the LLLT Family Law practice area. The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could

be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive set of services to their clients. The suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC make necessary changes to align with the suggested amendments to APR 28. Therefore, the primary purpose of these suggested amendments to the Rules of Professional Conduct (Lawyer RPC) is to align the Lawyer RPC with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the corresponding suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC to ensure consistency and accuracy across all three sets of rules.

As with the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC, the LLLT Board requested that Washington State Bar Association (WSBA) staff draft and recommend necessary amendments to the Lawyer RPC in order to align the Lawyer RPC with the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC. In addition, WSBA staff presented the suggested amendments to the WSBA's Committee on Professional Ethics (CPE) in December 2017. The CPE approved of the suggested amendments and the LLLT Board subsequently approved these suggested amendments at its January 2018 meeting. The LLLT Board also presented these changes to the Board of Governors in January 2018. The following describes the LLLT Board's suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC.

## Lawyer RPC 1.0B

In 1.0B(b), definition of legal practitioner, the suggested amendments would remove "licensed under APR 28" to be consistent with the definition in the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC.

In 1.0B(c), definition of limited license legal technician, the suggested amendments would remove the final sentence because it is no longer accurate under-

the suggested amendments to APR 28. The removed sentence relates to the LLLT scope of practice (found in APR 28(F)) rather than a definition of an LLLT.

## Lawyer RPC 1.17

The suggested amendments to comment 19 would remove the description of when an LLLT cannot purchase a law practice because the current language is not correct in all circumstances. The substance of that sentence would be rewritten and included in the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC as a new comment 2 to LLLT RPC 1.17. A new reference to that comment would be added to this comment 19.

## Lawyer RPC 4.3

The suggested amendments to comment 6 would remove language saying that LLLTs shall not negotiate because it will be permitted under certain conditions if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

#### Lawyer RPC 5.8

The suggested amendments to comment 2 would correct the reference to the Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician Conduct (ELLLTC).

#### Lawyer RPC 8.1

The suggested amendments to RPC 8.1 would better reflect the unified admissions, licensing, and disciplinary processes for all license types in Washington now that LLLTs and limited practice officers (LPOs) are members of the WSBA.

## **Throughout**

References to specific subparts of APR 28 would be removed and replaced with a general reference to APR 28 or a reference to APR 28 and related regulations. This

allows the Lawyer RPC to remain accurate even if specific provisions of APR 28 change.

## Conclusion

The LLLT Board believes it is important that these suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC be adopted and effective together with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC as soon as possible. If adopted, the suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC, LLLT RPC, and APR 28 will be incorporated into the LLLT family law practice area curriculum and will be tested on the LLLT family law practice area and professional responsibility exams. A mandatory continuing legal education program will be developed to educate LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs about these changes and the impact on their practices. The first LLLT family law practice area and professional responsibility exams to test on these amendments could be held in July 2019.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to prevent delaying implementation of the necessary changes to LLLT education, continuing legal education, and examinations. The goal of the LLLT license is to provide much needed access to justice. Therefore, delay of these amendments also causes continued delay in providing relief to those in need of LLLT services.
- F. <u>Supporting Materials:</u> In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC, a copy of the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC are also included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real

Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

## SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

1	TITLE
2	RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC)
3	RULE 1.0B ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON TERMINOLOGY
4	(a) [NO CHANGES]
5	(b) "Legal practitioner" denotes a lawyer or a limited license legal technician licensed under
6	APR 28.
7	(c) "Limited License Legal Technician" or "LLLT" denotes a person qualified by education,
8	training, and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in
9	approved practice areas of law as specified by APR 28 and related regulations. The LLLT does
10	not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
11	as set forth in APR 28 to a pro se client.
12	(d)-(e) [NO CHANGES]
13	Washington Comments (1-3)
14	[1]-[2] [NO CHANGES]
15	[3] LLLTs are authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in explicitly defined areas.
16	Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT may perform only limited services for a client. See APR 28(F), (H).
17	A lawyer who interacts with an LLLT about the subject matter of that LLLT's representation or
18	who interacts with an otherwise pro se client represented by an LLLT should be aware of the
19	scope of the LLLT's license and the ethical obligations imposed on an LLLT by the LLLT RPC.
20	See APR 28(F) (H); Appendix APR 28 Regulation 2 and related regulations; LLLT RPC 1.2, 1.5
21	4.2, 4.3. See also RPC 5.10.
22	RULE 1.17 SALE OF LAW PRACTICE
23	(a)-(d) [NO CHANGES]
24	Comment
25	[1]-[18] [No Changes]
26	[19] An LLLT is not authorized to purchase a law practice that requires provision of lega

Suggested Amendments to RPC Page 1 – January 19, 2018

Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

## SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

- services outside the scope of the LLLT's practice. See APR 28(F)-(H); Appendix APR 28 1 2 Regulation 2. Consequently, There are some restrictions on a lawyer's ability to sell a law practice to an LLLT when the legal services provided are outside the scope of the LLLT's 3 practice. As such, a lawyer may not participate in or facilitate such a sale that is in violation of 4 LLLT RPC 1.17. See LLLT RPC 1.17 cmt. [2]; RPC 8.4(f)(2). 5 RULE 4.3 DEALING WITH PERSON NOT REPRESENTED BY A LAWYER 6 [NO CHANGES] 7 Comment 8 [1]-[2] [Unchanged.] -9 Additional Washington Comments (3-6) 10 [3]-[4] [Unchanged.] 11 12 [5] For purposes of this Rule, a person who is assisted by an LLLT is not represented by a lawyer and is an unrepresented person. See APR 28(B)(4). 13 14 [6] When a lawyer communicates with an LLLT who represents an opposing party about the subject of the representation, the lawyer should be guided by an understanding of the limitations 15 imposed on the LLLT by APR 2, related Regulations(H)(6) (an LLLT shall not "negotiate the 16 client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the client's position 17 or convey to the client the position of another party") and the LLLT RPC. The lawyer should 18 further take care not to overreach or intrude into privileged information. APR 28(K)(3) ("The 19 Washington law of attorney-client privilege and law of a lawyer's fiduciary responsibility to the 20 21 client shall apply to the Limited License Legal Technician-client relationship to the same extent as it would apply to an attorney-client relationship"). 22 RULE 5.8 MISCONDUCT INVOLVING LAWYERS AND LLLTS NOT ACTIVELY 23 LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW 24 (a)-(b) [NO CHANGES] 25
  - Suggested Amendments to RPC Page 2 January 19, 2018

Comment

26

## SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

1	[1] [NO CHANGES]
2	
3	[2] The prohibitions in paragraph (b) of this Rule apply to suspensions, revocations, and
4	voluntary cancellations in lieu of discipline under the disciplinary procedural rules applicable to
5	LLLTs. See Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician LLLT Rules for
6	Enforcement of Conduct (RECELLLTC).
7	RULE 8.1 BAR ADMISSION AND DISCIPLINARY MATTERS
8	An applicant for admission to the Bar, or a lawyer in connection with an application for
9	reinstatement or admission to the Bar or a disciplinary matter involving a legal practitioner-ba
10	admission, reinstatement application, or LLLT limited licensure, or in connection with a lawyer of
11	LLLT disciplinary matter, shall not:
12	(a)-(b) [NO CHANGES]
13	Comment
14	[NO CHANGES]
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	·
23	
24	
25	
26	

## **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Suggested Amendments to LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPC)

## Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board

## A. <u>Name of Proponent:</u>

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

## B. <u>Spokesperson</u>:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566 Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

C. Purpose: These suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC are presented in conjunction with suggested amendments to Admission and Practice Rule (APR) 28 and related regulations and the Rules of Professional Conduct (Lawyer RPC). The suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations enhance the scope of the LLLT Family Law Practice Area. The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive

set of services to their clients. Therefore, the primary purpose of these suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC is to make changes necessary to implement the suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations.

## **Drafting Process**

The LLLT Board is composed of lawyers in private practice, practicing LLLTs, law school and paralegal educators, legal services providers, members of the public, and paralegal advocates. After developing the suggested amendments to APR 28 to enhance the family law practice area, the LLLT Board requested WSBA staff take the lead in drafting and recommending necessary amendments to the LLLT RPC in order to align the LLLT RPC with suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations.

WSBA staff involved were Douglas Ende (Chief Disciplinary Counsel), Jean McElroy (Chief Regulatory Counsel), Jeanne Marie Clavere (Professional Responsibility Counsel), Robert Henry (Associate Director for Regulatory Services), Renata de Carvalho Garcia (Innovative Licensing Programs Manager), and Joe Terrenzio (Limited License Legal Technician Program Lead). The issues that caused the most discussion were the following:

- The scope of an LLLT's enhanced role as an advocate and as a negotiator;
- The interactions between an LLLT's role in advising a pro se client and the rules governing communications with represented and unrepresented parties; and
- The limitations on an LLLT's communications with a tribunal under the enhanced scope of practice.

As in the original drafting of the LLLT RPC, the LLLT RPC mirror the Lawyer RPC with only slight modification. When a Lawyer RPC does not apply in the LLLT context, the rule is reserved. The LLLT Board reviewed successive drafts of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and offered critiques and feedback throughout the process before approving the final suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC at the December 14, 2017, LLLT Board meeting. The LLLT Board also presented these changes to the Board of Governors in January 2018. The following describes the LLLT Board's suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC.

## **Throughout**

In order to prevent ongoing or future changes to the LLLT RPCs, the suggested amendments would remove large blocks of text copied from APR 28 and replace them with specific or general references to APR 28 and related regulations.

## **Preamble and Scope**

In paragraph 2, the suggested amendments would remove language stating that an LLLT is not authorized to act as advocate or negotiator. A new clause would be added, stating that to the extent an LLLT is allowed to act as an advocate or as a negotiator under APR 28, an LLLT acts in the best interest of the client.

## **LLLT RPC 1.0B Additional Terminology**

In (c), the suggested amendments clarify the definition of a lawyer. The former definition stated only that a lawyer was a person who held a license to practice law in any United States jurisdiction. In Washington, LLLTs, limited practice officers, and lawyers hold licenses to practice law, therefore requiring further clarification in the definition of the term "lawyer" in the Washington LLLT RPC. The amended definition

matches the definition of lawyer in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

The suggested amendments to subsection (e) would remove the phrase "licensed under APR 28" from the definition of legal practitioner because the reference to APR 28 already exists in the definition of an LLLT.

The suggested amendments to subsection (f) would remove the final sentence stating that an LLLT does not represent a client in court proceedings or negotiations to match the definition in the suggested amendments to APR 28. The sentence that would be removed relates to scope rather than a definition of an LLLT.

The suggested amendments to subsection (g) would correct the name and acronym for the Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician Conduct.

## LLLT RPC 1.2 Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority between Client and LLLT

The suggested amendments to 1.2(a) would add an additional sentence stating that a LLLT shall abide by a client's decision whether to settle a matter. This addition helps clarify that the client, not the LLLT, has decision making authority in a settlement negotiation.

In comment 2, the suggested amendments would remove the first sentence stating that negotiation is prohibited. The second sentence would be rephrased to align with the suggested amendments to APR 28.

In comment 4, the suggested amendments would clarify an LLLT's obligations when an issue is outside of the authorized scope of practice. In comment 5, a reference to APR 28(G)(2) would be corrected to APR 28(G)(1).

In comment 6, a reference to APR 28(G)(5) would be corrected to APR 28(G)(3).

The suggested amendments to comment 7 would remove and reserve it because the comment is inaccurate and duplicative of the APR 28(G)(4) signature requirement without discussing any professional responsibility matters.

#### LLLT RPC 1.5 Fees

In comment 4, a reference to APR 28(G)(3) would be corrected to APR28(G)(2). The final sentence referencing comment 2 to Rule 1.2 would be removed because it is unnecessary.

In comment 5, a reference to APR 28(G)(3) would be corrected to APR28(G)(2).

## **LLLT RPC 1.8 Conflict of Interest: Current Clients: Specific Rules**

The suggested amendments to comment 3 would remove the first sentence stating that LLLTs may not advocate for or appear in court on behalf of a client because LLLTs will be permitted to accompany and assist clients at certain hearings if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

The suggested amendments to comment 4 would clarify that an LLLT's scope of practice does not include aggregate settlements.

## **LLLT RPC 1.15A Safeguarding Property**

Suggested amendments to subsection (i) would correct references to the ELLLTC or refer to the ELC when the referenced provision does not exist in the ELLLTC.

## **LLLT RPC 1.16 Declining or Termination Representation**

Suggested amendments to comment 1 would match the suggested amendments to APR 28 allowing LLLTs to accompany and assist clients before tribunals. It also would clarify that LLLTs represent pro se clients and accordingly, LLLTs would not file a

notice of appearance.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.17 Sale of a Law Practice**

In subsection (d), the suggested amendments would change "legal and LLLT fees" to "fees."

Suggested amendments to comment 2 would explain that a firm of only LLLTs cannot purchase a law practice that would require they provide services beyond their authorized scope of practice.

## LLLT RPC 2.3 [Reserved]

Suggested amendments to comment 1 would match the suggested amendments to APR 28 allowing LLLTs to communicate a client's position to a third party. They would also clarify that an LLLT should refer to the Lawyer RPC for guidance if a third party evaluation comes up in the LLLT's scope of practice.

## LLLT RPC 3.1 Advising and Assisting Clients in Proceedings Before a Tribunal

The suggested amendments in subsection (a) would add the word "engage" to clarify that the rule applies to the LLLT's own behavior before a tribunal because LLLTs will be permitted to accompany and assist clients at certain court hearings if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

The suggested amendments to subsection (a)(6) would add the valid exception for disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal to be consistent with the Lawyer RPC.

The suggested amendments to comment 1 are meant to address an LLLT's role as an advocate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

Comment 2 would be deleted because it will no longer apply under the enhanced scope of practice if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

Comment 3 would be renumbered as comment 2, and the reference for Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC would be rephrased for clarity.

## LLLT RPC 3.6-3.9 [Reserved]

The numbers in the comments would reflect the changes to the suggested amendments to the comments in LLLT RPC 3.1.

## **LLLT RPC 4.1 Truthfulness in Statements to Others**

Comment 2 would be deleted because the comment repeating the signature requirement in APR 28(G) is unnecessary.

## LLLT RPC 4.2 Communication with Person Represented by Lawyer

The suggested amendments to comment 1 would delete sentences 6 and 7 and the final clause of sentence 5 because they would no longer be accurate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

## LLLT RPC 4.3 Dealing with Person Not Represented by Lawyer

Subsection (b) would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

Because (b) would be deleted, comment 2, which had discussed (b), would be deleted and reserved.

In comment 3, the final sentence would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the suggested amendments to APR 28.

In comment 4, the first sentence would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the suggested amendments to APR 28.

## LLLT RPC 5.4 Professional Independence of an LLLT

In several places, "non-LLLT" would be rewritten to eliminate use of the exclusionary and awkward term "non-LLLT".

Comment 2 would be rephrased to make it more active language.

#### **LLLT RPC 5.5 Unauthorized Practice of Law**

In comment 1, the reference to APR 28(H)(7) would be corrected to APR28(H)(6).

In comment 2, the word "programs" would be deleted for consistency with other language referring to limited licenses. "[N]onlawyers" would be replaced with "limited license practitioners" to eliminate use of the exclusionary and awkward term "nonlawyers."

## LLLT RPC 8.1 Licensing, Admission, and Disciplinary Matters

The rule's name would be changed from "Limited Licensure and Disciplinary Matters" to "Licensing, Admission, and Disciplinary Matters" to reflect the unified licensing, admissions, and disciplinary processes for all licenses to practice law in Washington.

The rule would be rewritten because LLLTs are now members of the WSBA.

In comment 1, the language highlighting that LLLTs are not admitted to the Bar would be removed because it is no longer accurate. LLLTs are admitted to the practice of law and are members of the WSBA. See APR 5(I) and WSBA Bylaws Art. III sec. (1)(b).

## **LLLT RPC 8.4 Misconduct**

In (I), the references to the LLLT Rules for Enforcement of Conduct would be

corrected to the ELLLTC.

#### Conclusion

The LLLT Board voted unanimously to approve the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC for submission to the Washington Supreme Court at its December 14, 2017 meeting. The LLLT Board believes it is important that these suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC be adopted and effective together with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the Lawyer RPC as soon as possible. If adopted, the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and suggested amendments to APR 28 will be incorporated into the LLLT Family Law Practice Area Curriculum and will be tested on the LLLT Family Law Practice Area and Professional Responsibility Exams. A mandatory continuing legal education program will be developed to educate LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs about these changes and the impact on their practices. The first LLLT Practice Area and Professional Responsibility Exams to test on these amendments could be held in July 2019.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to prevent delaying implementation of the necessary changes to LLLT education, continuing legal education, and examinations. The LLLT program's goal is to provide much needed access to justice. Therefore, delay of this program also causes continued delay in providing relief to those in need of LLLT services.
- F. <u>Supporting Materials:</u> In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC, a copy of the suggested amendments to APR 28 and

the Lawyer RPC are also included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

	TECHNICIAN RULES OF TROFESSIONAL CONDU
1	TITLE

- 2 | LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT
- 3 RPC)
- 4 PREAMBLE
- 5 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 6 [2] As a representative of clients within a limited scope, an LLLT performs various functions.
- 7 | As advisor, an LLLT provides a client with an informed understanding of the client's legal rights
- 8 and obligations and explains their practical implications. As an evaluator, an LLLT acts by
- 9 examining a client's legal affairs and reporting about them to the client or to others. While an
- 10 LLLT is not authorized to act as advocate or negotiator, an LLLT to the extent an LLLT is
- 11 allowed to act as an advocate or as a negotiator under APR 28, an LLLT conscientiously acts in
- 12 | the best interest of the client, and seeks a result that is advantageous to the client but consistent
- with the requirements of honest dealings with others.
- 14 [3]-[13] [NO CHANGES]

#### 15 RULE 1.0B ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY

- 16 (a) "APR" denotes the Washington Supreme Court's Admission to and Practice Rules.
- 17 **(b)** [NO CHANGES]
- 18 (c) "Lawyer" denotes a person licensed as a lawyer and eligible to practice law in any United
- 19 States jurisdiction.
- 20 (d) [NO CHANGES]
- 21 (e) "Legal practitioner" denotes a lawyer or a limited license legal technician licensed under
- 22 APR 28.
- 23 (f) "Limited License Legal Technician" or "LLLT" denotes a person qualified by education,
- 24 training, and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in
- 25 approved practice areas of law as specified by APR 28 and related regulations. The LLLT does

- not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
  as set forth in APR 28 to a pro se client.
- 3 (g) "LLLT RECELLLTC" denotes the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement
- 4 of Limited License Legal Technician Rules for Enforcement of Conduct.
- 5 (h) [NO CHANGES]
- 6 Comment
- 7 [NO CHANGES]
- 8 | RULE 1.2 SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION AND ALLOCATION OF AUTHORITY
- 9 BETWEEN CLIENT AND LLLT
- 10 (a) Subject to paragraphs (c), (d), and (g), an LLLT shall abide by a client's decisions
- 11 | concerning the objectives of representation and, as required by Rule 1.4, shall consult with the
- 12 client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. An LLLT may take such action on behalf
- of the client as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation. An LLLT shall abide by a
- 14 client's decision whether to settle a matter.
- 15 (b) [NO CHANGES]
- 16 (c) An LLLT must limit the scope of the representation and provide disclosures informing a
- 17 potential client as required by these Rules and APR 28.
- 18 | (d)-(g) [NO CHANGES]
- 19 Comment
- 20 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 21 [2] Negotiation on behalf of a client and representation in court are beyond the authorized
- 22 | scope of an LLLT's practice. See APR 28(H). Accordingly, pParagraph (a) was modified from
- 23 the Lawyer RPC to exclude references to settlements and criminal cases, and paragraph (d) was
- 24 | modified from the Lawyer RPC to exclude (and therefore prohibit) an LLLT from discussing with
- 25 | a client the legal consequences of any proposed criminal or fraudulent conduct and assisting a
- 26 client in determining the validity, scope, meaning, or application of the law with respect to any

such conduct. In circumstances where a client has engaged or may engage in conduct that the LLLT knows is criminal or fraudulent, the LLLT shall not provide services related to such conduct and shall inform the client that the client should seek the services of a lawyer.

- [3] Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT may perform only limited services for a client. -Under APR 28(G)(3), bBefore performing any services for a fee, an LLLT must enter into a written contract with the client as required by APR 28(G)(2)., signed by both the client and the LLLT, that includes the following: (a) an explanation of the services to be performed, including a conspicuous statement that the LLLT may not appear or represent the client in court, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process, or negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities, unless permitted under GR 24(b); (b) identification of all fees and costs to be charged to the client for the services to be performed; (c) a statement that upon the client's request, the LLLT shall provide to the client any documents submitted by the client to the LLLT; (d) a statement that the LLLT is not a lawyer and may only perform limited legal services (this statement shall be on the first page of the contract in minimum twelve-point bold type print); (e) a statement describing the LLLT's duty to protect the confidentiality of information provided by the client and the LLLT's work product associated with the services sought or provided by the LLLT; (f) a statement that the client has the right to rescind the contract at any time and receive a full refund of unearned fees (this statement shall be conspicuously set forth in the contract); and (g) any other conditions to the LLLT's services that are required by the rules and regulations of the Limited License Legal Technician Board.
- [4] Additional requirements concerning the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice are imposed by APR 28(F). An LLLT must ascertain whether the issue is within the defined practice area for which the LLLT is licensed. If not, the LLLT shall not provide the services required render any legal assistance on the issue and must informadvise the client tothat the client should seek the services of a lawyer. If the issue does lie within the defined practice area for which the LLLT is licensed, then the LLLT is authorized to undertakerender the services that are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

enumerated in APR 28(F). Those services include only the following: (a) obtain relevant facts
and explain the relevancy of such information to the client; (b) inform the client of applicable
procedures, including deadlines, documents which must be filed, and the anticipated course of
the legal proceeding; (c) inform the client of applicable procedures for proper service of process
and filing of legal documents; (d) provide the client with self-help materials prepared by a
Washington lawyer or approved by the Limited License Legal Technician Board, which contain
information about relevant legal requirements, case law basis for the client's claim, and venue
and jurisdiction requirements; (e) review documents or exhibits that the client has received from
the opposing side, and explain them to the client; (f) select, complete, file, and effect service of
forms that have been approved by the State of Washington, either through a governmental
agency or by the Administrative Office of the Courts or the content of which is specified by
statute; federal forms; forms prepared by a Washington lawyer; or forms approved by the
Limited License Legal Technician Board; and advise the client of the significance of the selected
forms to the client's case; (g) perform legal research; (h) draft legal letters and documents
beyond what is permitted in (f) if the work is reviewed and approved by a Washington lawyer;
(i) advise a client as to other documents that may be necessary to the client's case, and explain
how such additional documents or pleadings may affect the client's case; and (j) assist the client
in obtaining necessary documents, such as birth, death, or marriage certificates.
[5] An LLLT must personally perform the authorized services for the client and may not
delegate those services to a person who is not either an LLLT or a lawyer. This prohibition,
however, does not prevent a person who is neither an LLLT nor a lawyer from performing
translation services. APR $28(G)(2\underline{1})$ .
[6] An LLLT may not provide services that exceed the scope of the LLLT's authority under
APR 28. If an issue arises for which the client needs services that exceed the scope of the LLLT's
authority, the LLLT must inform that client that the client should seek the services of a lawyer.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

APR 28(G)(53).

[7] A document that is prepared by an LLLT for the client's signature shall include the LLLT's name, signature, and license number beneath the signature of the client. APR 28(G)(5).[Reserved.]

[8] Certain conduct and services are specifically prohibited to an LLLT by APR 28(H).—In the course of dealing with clients or prospective clients, an LLLT shall not; (a) make any statement that the LLLT can or will obtain special favors from or has special influence with any court or governmental agency; (b) retain any fees or costs for services not performed; (c) refuse to return documents supplied by, prepared by, or paid for by the client, upon the request of the client (the documents must be returned upon request even if there is a fee dispute between the LLLT and the client); (d) represent or advertise, in connection with the provision of services, other legal titles or credentials that could cause a client to believe that the LLLT possesses professional legal skills beyond those authorized by the license held by the LLLT; (e) represent a client in court proceedings, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process, unless permitted by GR 24; (f) negotiate a client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the client's position or convey to the client the position of another party; unless permitted by GR 24(b); (g) provide services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state, unless permitted by the laws of that state to perform such services for the client; (h) represent or otherwise provide legal or law related services to a client, except as permitted by law, APR 28, or associated rules and regulations; or (i) otherwise violate these Rules.

- 21 **RULE 1.5 FEES**
- 22 [NO CHANGES]
- 23 Comment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- 24 [1]-[3] [NO CHANGES]
  - [4] Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT is required by APR 28(G)(32) to enter into a written contract with the client before the LLLT begins to perform any services for a fee that includes, among

-	·
1	other things, identification of all fees and costs to be charged to the client for the services to be
2	performed. The provisions concerning a flat fee described in (f)(2) of this Rule, if applicable
3	should be included in that contract. The contract must be signed by both the client and the LLLT
4	before the LLLT begins to perform any services for a fee. See Comment [2] to Rule 1.2 for other
5	provisions that are to be included in the contract.
6	[5] [NO CHANGES]
7	RULE 1.8 CONFLICT OF INTEREST: CURRENT CLIENTS: SPECIFIC RULES
8	[NO CHANGES]
9 .	Comment
.0	[1]-[2] [NO CHANGES]
1	[3] LLLTs may not advocate for, or appear in court on behalf of, a client. LLLTs will have
2	no role in class action litigation and Rule 1.8(e)(2) is accordingly reserved in this Rule
3	LLLT RPC 1.8(e) does not authorize activities that are beyond the scope of the LLLT's
4	limited license. Nothing in Rule 1.8(e) is intended to prohibit lawyer members of a firm
15	with which an LLLT is associated from engaging in conduct permitted by Lawyer RPC
16	1.8(e)(2).
17	[4] Rule 1.8(g) is reserved. LLLTs are not permitted todo not engage in the making of
18	aggregate settlements, or aggregated agreements as to guilty or nolo contendere pleas in
19	criminal cases. Nothing in Rule 1.8(g) is intended to prohibit lawyer members of a firm
20	with which an LLLT is associated from participating in such settlements if permitted by
21	the Lawyer RPC.
22	[5]-[9] [NO CHANGES]
23	LLLT RPC 1.15A SAFEGUARDING PROPERTY
24	(a)-(h) [NO CHANGES]

(i) Trust accounts must be interest-bearing and allow withdrawals or transfers without any delay other than notice periods that are required by law or regulation and meet the requirements

25

of <del>LLLT REC</del> E	ELC 15.7(d) and ELLT REC 15.7(e). 1	In the exercise of ordinary prudence, an
LLLT may sele	ect any financial institution authorized 1	by the Legal Foundation of Washington
(Legal Foundation	on) under <u>LLLT RECELC</u> 15.7(c). In s	selecting the type of trust account for the
purpose of depo	siting and holding funds subject to this	Rule, an LLLT shall apply the following
criteria:		
(1) V	When client or third-person funds will	not produce a positive net return to the

client or third-person funds will not produce a positive net return to the client or third person because the funds are nominal in amount or expected to be held for a short period of time the funds must be placed in a pooled interest-bearing trust account known as an Interest on Limited License Legal Technician's Trust Account or IOLTA. The interest earned on IOLTA accounts shall be paid to, and the IOLTA program shall be administered by, the Legal Foundation of Washington in accordance with <a href="LLLT-RECELLLTC">LLLT RECELLLTC</a> 15.4 and <a href="LLLT-RECELC">LLT RECELL</a> 15.7(e).

#### (2)-(3) [NO CHANGES]

(4) The provisions of paragraph (i) do not relieve an LLLT or law firm from any obligation imposed by these Rules or the <u>LLLT RECELLLTC</u>.

#### Comment

[NO CHANGES]

#### LLLT RPC 1.16 DECLINING OR TERMINATING REPRESENTATION

20 [NO CHANGES]

#### Comment

[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 1.16 with no substantive changes except to reflect that LLLTs the limited scope of representation that an LLLT provides to pro se clients and that a LLLT does not enter a notice of appearance. are not authorized to represent clients in court or to advocate for clients. For this reason, paragraph (c) is reserved and references to litigation

- or proceedings before a tribunal that appear in Lawyer RPC 1.16 do not apply and have been
- 2 omitted from this Rule. Otherwise, this Rule Lawyer RPC 1.16 applies to LLLTs analogously.
- 3 RULE 1.17 SALE OF LAW PRACTICE
- 4 An LLLT, firm of LLLTs, or a law firm with which one or more LLLTs are associated may
- 5 | sell or purchase a law practice, or an area of law practice, including good will, if the
- 6 | following conditions are satisfied:
- 7 (a)-(c) [NO CHANGES]
- 8 (d) The legal fees and LLLT fees charged clients shall not be increased by reason of the sale.
- 9 **Comment**
- 10 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 11 [2] A law firm consisting solely of LLLT owners is not authorized to purchase a law practice
- 12 that includes client matters requiring provision of legal services outside the authorized LLLT
- 13 scope of practice or defined practice area(s). See APR 28 and related Regulations.
- 14 RULE 2.1 ADVISOR
- 15 [NO CHANGES]
- 16 | Comment
- 17 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 18 [2] This Rule and its requirement regarding the exercise of independent professional
- 19 judgment do not expand the limitations on the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice under APR
- 20 28<del>(H)</del> and related regulations.
- 21 **RULE 2.3** [Reserved]
- 22 | Comment
- Lawyer RPC 2.3 pertains to a lawyer providing an evaluation of a matter affecting a client
- 24 for the use of someone other than the client. Unlike lawyers, LLLTs are not authorized to
- 25 | communicate the client's position to third parties. Drafting an opinion letter for the purposes of
- 26 | its use with a third party is the same as communicating the client's position to a third party and is

- prohibited by APR 28(H)(6). If the need for an evaluation arises in an LLLT's authorized scope
- 2 of practice under APR 28, an LLLT should look to Lawyer RPC 2.3 for guidance. Accordingly,
- 3 this Rule is reserved.
  - RULE 3.1 ADVISING AND ASSISTING CLIENTS IN PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A
- 5 TRIBUNAL

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (a) In a matter reasonably related to a pending or potential proceeding before a tribunal, an LLLT shall not <u>engage</u>, counsel a client to engage, or assist a client, in conduct involving:
  - (1)-(5) [NO CHANGES]
    - (6) knowingly disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation exists; or
    - (7) [NO CHANGES]
- (b) [NO CHNAGES]

#### Comment

This Rule is substantially different from Lawyer RPC 3.1 because the role of the LLLTs as an advocate is limited, are not authorized to represent clients in the proceedings of a tribunal. Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC addresses a lawyer's duties as an advocate when representing a client in the proceedings of a tribunal. Because APR 28(H)(5) expressly prohibits an LLLT from representing a client in a court or administrative adjudicative proceeding (unless permitted by GR 24), the Title 3 Rules do not apply directly to the conduct of LLLTs. Nevertheless, a number of the ethical principles located in Title 3 address conduct in connection with a proceeding that would be improper and repugnant whether engaged in by a lawyer or a party. In many instances, an LLLT will be providing assistance to a client who is a party to a court proceeding. In providing such assistance, an LLLT may be authorized within the scope of a specific practice area to accompany and assist a pro se client in certain proceedings. Assistance may include responding to factual and procedural questions from a tribunal. For this reason, as As a member of the legal profession, an LLLT is ethically bound to avoid advising or assisting a client in conduct that

undermines the integrity of the adjudicative process or threatens the fair and orderly administration of justice. As applied to the indirect conduct of LLLTs, the ethical proscriptions of Lawyer RPC 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 are less nuanced. Accordingly, they have been consolidated within Rule 3.1(a) as a prohibition on counseling or assisting the client in such activities. Conduct relating to the impartiality and decorum of a tribunal, Lawyer RPC 3.5, should be prohibited whether engaged in by an LLLT directly or indirectly, and is separately addressed in paragraph (b) of this Rule. Although less comprehensive than Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC, the core Title 3 principles incorporated into Rule 3.1 address the issues likely to be encountered by an LLLT, with supplemental guidance available in the corresponding Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC and commentary thereto. An LLLT acting as a "lay representative authorized by administrative agencies or tribunals" under GR 24(b)(3) would not be acting pursuant to the authority of his or her LLLT license in that context, since such representation would be beyond the scope of LLLT practice authorized by APR 28(F). Should an LLLT engage in conduct as a lay advocate that would otherwise directly violate a Title 3 obligation for example, by knowingly making a false statement of fact to an administrative tribunal such conduct may violate the requirements of other rules. See, e.g., Rule 8.4(c) (prohibiting conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation) and Rule 8.4(d) (prohibiting conduct prejudicial to the administration of iustice).  $[\frac{3}{2}]$ Certain provisions of Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC provisions, such as Lawyer as Witness in Rule 3.7 and the Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor in Rule 3.8, do not apply to LLLTs. In these instances, the corresponding LLLT RPC has been reserved. Rules 3.6 and 3.9 represent ethical issues that would rarely if ever arise in the context of an LLLT's limited-scope representation. Accordingly, these provisions have been reserved as well, though guidance is available in the corresponding Lawyer RPC in the event that such an ethical dilemma does arise in an LLLT representation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	RULE 3.6
2	[Reserved]
3	Comment
4	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
5	<b>RULE 3.7</b>
6	[Reserved]
7	Comment
8	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
9	LLLT RPC 3.8
10	[Reserved]
11	Comment
12	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
13	LLLT RPC 3.9
14	[Reserved]
15	Comment
16	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
17	RULE 4.1 TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS
18	[NO CHANGES]
19	Comment
20	[1] [NO CHANGES]
21	[2] LLLTs are required by APR 28(G)(5) to include the LLLT's name, signature, and license
22	number beneath the signature of the client on all documents that the LLLT prepares. This will
23	assure that judges and other court personnel, other parties to a matter, and lawyers representing
24	those parties, are informed of the LLLT's role in the matter.
25	RULE 4.2 COMMUNICATION WITH PERSON REPRESENTED BY LAWYER
26	[NO CHANGES]

Suggested Amendments to LLLT RPC Page 11 – January 19, 2018

Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

#### Comment

[1] A person who has chosen to be represented by a lawyer should be protected against possible overreaching by another lawyer. *See* Lawyer RPC 4.2 and Comments to that rule. Rule 4.2 extends to LLLTs the prohibition on communicating with a person represented by a lawyer. This Rule differs from Lawyer RPC 4.2 in that the prohibition is absolute. While a lawyer may be permitted to communicate directly with a person who is represented by another lawyer with the other lawyer's consent, or if authorized to do so by law or court order, there are no exceptions to the prohibition as it applies to LLLTs, because any such communication would put an LLLT in a position of exceeding the authorized scope of the LLLT's practice under APR 28(H). Specifically, APR 28(H)(6) prohibits negotiating a client's legal rights or responsibilities or communicating with another person the client's position, and APR 28(H)(5) prohibits an LLLT from representing a client in court proceedings. In light of these limitations, there is no circumstance in which an LLLT could communicate with a person represented by a lawyer about the subject matter of the representation without transgressing the APR.

#### RULE 4.3 DEALING WITH PERSON NOT REPRESENTED BY LAWYER

- (a) In dealing on behalf of a client with a person who is not represented by a lawyer, an LLLT shall not state or imply that the LLLT is disinterested. When the LLLT knows or reasonably should know that the unrepresented person misunderstands the LLLT's role in the matter, the LLLT shall make reasonable efforts to correct the misunderstanding. The LLLT shall not give legal advice to an unrepresented person, other than the advice to secure the services of another legal practitioner, if the LLLT knows or reasonably should know that the interests of such a person are or have a reasonable possibility of being in conflict with the interests of the client.
- (b) An LLLT shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with another party in the matter.
- 25 | Comment

. 1	TParagraph (a) of this Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 4.3 with no substantive
2	changes and applies to LLLTs analogously.
3	[2] [Reserved.] Paragraph (b) of this Rule does not appear in the Lawyer RPC. It derives
4	from the limitations on the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice under APR 28(H)(6). See
5	Comment [1] to Rule 4.2 for a discussion of the implications of APR 28(H)(6).
6	[3] The client of an LLLT is an unrepresented person for purposes of Lawyer RPC 4.2 and
. 7	4.3. The definition of an LLLT in APR 28(B)(4) clarifies that an LLLT does not represent a client
8	in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance to a pro se client.
9	[4] Although an LLLT is strictly prohibited by paragraph (b) from communicating with a
10	party about the subject matter of the LLLT's representation, anAn LLLT may have occasion to
11	communicate directly with a nonparty who is assisted by another LLLT. A risk of unwarranted
12	intrusion into a privileged relationship may arise when an LLLT deals with a person who is
13	assisted by another LLLT. Client-LLLT communications, however, are privileged to the same
14	extent as client-lawyer communications. See APR 28(K)(3). An LLLT's ethical duty of
15	confidentiality further protects the LLLT client's right to confidentiality in that professional
16	relationship. See LLLT RPC 1.6(a). When dealing with a person who is assisted by another LLLT,
17	an LLLT must respect these legal rights that protect the client-LLLT relationship.
18	RULE 5.4 PROFESSIONAL INDEPENDENCE OF AN LLLT
19	(a) An LLLT or LLLT firm shall not share legal fees with anyone who is <u>not an</u> non-LLLT,
20	except that:
21	(1)-(2) [NO CHANGES]
22	(3) an LLLT or LLLT firm may include non-LLLT employees who are not LLLTs in
23	a compensation or retirement plan, even though the plan is based in whole or in
24	part on a profit-sharing arrangement; and
25	(4)-(5) [NO CHANGES]
26	

1	(b) An LLLT shall not form a partnership with a non-LLLT anyone who is not an LLLT if any
2	of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.
3	(c) [NO CHANGES]
4	(d) An LLLT shall not practice with or in the form of a professional corporation or association
5	authorized to practice law for a profit, if:
6	(1) a non-LLLT person who is not an LLLT owns any interest therein, except that a
7	fiduciary representative of the estate of an LLLT may hold the stock or interest of
8	the LLLT for a reasonable time during administration;
9	(2) a <u>person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT</u> is a corporate director or officer (other
10	than as secretary or treasurer) thereof or occupies the position of similar
11	responsibility in any form of association other than a corporation; or
12	(3) a <u>person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT</u> has the right to direct or control the
13	professional judgment of an LLLT.
14	Comment
1,5	[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 5.4 with no substantive changes except to
16	change references to a "nonlawyer" to "person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT" to avoid
17	confusion. It applies to LLLTs analogously.
18	[2] Notwithstanding Rule 5.4 does not prohibit, lawyers and LLLTs may from sharinge fees
19	and forming business structures to the extent permitted by Rule 5.9.
20	RULE 5.5 UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW
21	[NO CHANGES]
22	Comment
23	[1] Lawyer RPC 5.5(a) expresses the basic prohibition on a legal practitioner practicing law
24	in a jurisdiction where that individual is not specifically licensed or otherwise authorized to
25	practice law. It reflects the general notion (enforced through criminal-legal prohibitions and
26	other law) that legal services may only be provided by those licensed to do so. This limitation of
	•

the ability to practice law is designed to protect the public against the rendition of legal services by unqualified persons. *See* Comment [2] to Lawyer RPC 5.5.

As applied to LLLTs, this principle should apply with equal force. An actively licensed LLLT should practice law as an LLLT only in a jurisdiction where he or she is licensed to do so, i.e., Washington State. An LLLT must not practice law in a jurisdiction where he or she is not authorized to do so. Unless and until other jurisdictions authorize Washington-licensed LLLTs to practice law, it will be unethical under this Rule for the LLLT to provide or attempt to provide legal services extraterritorially. Relatedly, it is unethical to assist anyone in activities that constitute the unauthorized practice of law in any jurisdiction. *See also* APR 28(H)(76) (prohibiting an LLLT from providing services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state unless permitted by the laws of that state to perform the services for the client).

Lawyer RPC 5.5(b) through (d) define the circumstances in which lawyers can practice in Washington despite being unlicensed here. For example, lawyers actively licensed elsewhere may provide services on a temporary basis in Washington in association with a lawyer admitted to practice here or when the lawyer's activities "arise out of or are reasonably related to the lawyer's practice in his or her home jurisdiction." These provisions also recognize that certain non-Washington-licensed lawyers may practice here on more than a temporary basis (e.g., lawyers providing services authorized by federal law), and otherwise prohibit non-Washington-licensed lawyers from establishing a systematic and continuous presence in Washington for the practice of law.

These provisions are, at this time, unnecessary in the LLLT RPC because there are no limited licenses programs in other jurisdictions tantamount to Washington's LLLT rules and no need to authorize nonlawyers limited license practitioners in other jurisdictions to practice law in Washington, either temporarily or on an ongoing basis. For this reason, paragraphs (b) through (d) are reserved.

1	RULE 8.1 <del>LIMITED LICENSURE</del> LICENSING, ADMISSION, AND DISCIPLINARY
2	MATTERS
3	An applicant for an LLLT licenselimited licensure, or an LLLT in connection with an
4	application for limited licensure or reinstatement application or , or admission to the Barlawyer's
5	bar admission, or a disciplinary matter involving a legal practitioner in connection with a lawyer
6	or LLLT disciplinary matter, shall not:
7	(a)-(b) [NO CHANGES]
8	Comment
9	[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 8.1 with no substantive changes - except to
10	reflect the difference between admission to the Bar (for a lawyer) and limited licensure (for an
11	LLLT). This Rule applies to LLLTs analogously.
12	RULE 8.4 MISCONDUCT
13	It is professional misconduct for an LLLT to:
14	(a)-(k) [NO CHANGES]
15	(I) violate a duty or sanction imposed by or under the <u>LLLT RECELLLTC</u> in connection
16	with a disciplinary matter; including, but not limited to, the duties catalogued at LLLT
17	RECELLITC 1.5;
18	(m)-(o) [NO CHANGES]
19	Comment
20	[NO CHANGES]
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF SUGGESTED	)
AMENDMENTS TO APR 28—LIMITED	) AMI
PRACTICE RULE FOR LIMITED LICENSE	RESCIN
LEGAL TECHNICIANS; APR 28 APPENDIX—	) RESCIT
REGULATION 2 PRACTICE AREAS—SCOPE OF	RF
PRACTICE AUTHORIZED BY LIMITED LICENSE	)
LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULE; APR 28 APPENDIX	) AMEND
REGULATION 3—EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS	) FO
FOR LLLT APPLICANTS AND APPROVAL OF	)
EDUCATION PROGRAMS; RULES OF	)
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC) 1.0B—	)
ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON TERMINOLOGY;	)
RPC 1.17—SALE OF LAW PRACTICE; RPC 4.3—	)
DEALING WITH A PERSON NOT REPRESENTED	)
BY A LAWYER; RPC 5.8—MISCONDUCT	)
INVOLVING LAWYERS AND LLLTs NOT	)
ACTIVELY LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW; RPC	)
8.1—BAR ADMISSION AND DISCIPLINARY	)
MATTERS; AND LLLT RULES OF	)
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPCs) LLLT	)
RPC 1.0B—ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY; LLLT	)
RPC 1.2—SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION AND	)
ALLOCATION OF AUTHORITY BETWEEN	)
CLIENT AND LLLT; LLLT RPC 1.5—FEES; LLLT	)
RPC 1.8 CONFLICT OF INTEREST: CURRENT	)
CLIENTS: SPECIFIC RULES; LLLT RPC 1.15A—	)
SAFEGUARDING POLICY; LLLT RPC 1.16—	)
DECLINING OR TERMINATING	)
REPRESENTATION; LLLT RPC 1.7 SALE OF A	)
LAW PRACTICE; LLLT RPC 2.1—ADVISOR;	)
LLLT RPC 2.3 [RESERVED]; LLLT RPC 3.1—	)
ADVISING AND ASSISTING CLIENTS IN	)
PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A TRIBUNAL; LLLT	)
RPC 3.6-3.9 [RESERVED]; LLLT RPC 4.1—	)
TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS;	)
LLLT RPC 4.2—COMMUNICATION WITH	)
PERSON REPRSENTED BY LAWYER; LLLT RPC	)
4.3—DEALING WITH PERSON NOT	)
REPRESENTED BY LAWYER; LLLT RPC 5.4—	)
PROFESSIONAL INDPENDENCE OF A LLLT;	)
LLLT RPC 5.5 UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF	)
LAW; LLLT RPC 8.1—LICENSING, ADMISSION,	)
AND DISCIPLINARY MATTERS; LLLT RPC 8.4—	)
MISCONDUCT	)

AMENDED ORDER
RESCINDING ORDER NO.
25700-A-1246 AND
REPUBLISHING
SUGGESTED
AMENDMENTS TO APR 28
FOR COMMENT

NO. 25700-A-1249



The Washington State Supreme Court Limited License Legal Technician Board

Legal Technicians. The amendments were considered by the Court on October 31, 2018, and adopted by a majority vote with the filing of Order No. 25700-A-1246 on November 1, 2018. Subsequently, on November 15, 2018, the Court determined by a majority vote that, due to significant formatting errors in the publication of the rule amendments, the rule should be rescinded and republished as a proposed rule for comments.

Now, therefore, it is hereby

#### ORDERED:

- (a) The adoption of amendments to APR 28 in Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246 is hereby rescinded effective immediately.
- (b) Pursuant to the provisions of GR 9(g), the correctly formatted suggested amendments as attached hereto are to be published for comment in the Washington Reports, Washington Register, Washington State Bar Association and Administrative Office of the Court's websites on December 18, 2018.
- (c) The purpose statement as required by GR 9(e), is published solely for the information of the Bench, Bar and other interested parties.
- (d) Comments are to be submitted to the Clerk of the Supreme Court by either U.S. Mail or Internet E-Mail by no later than February 1, 2019. Comments may be sent to the following addresses: P.O. Box 40929, Olympia, Washington 98504-0929, or <a href="mailto:supreme@courts.wa.gov">supreme@courts.wa.gov</a>. Comments submitted by e-mail message must be limited to 1500 words.

DATED at Olympia, Washington this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 2018.

For the Court

Parhust, Cg.
CHIEF JUSTICE

#### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Regarding Amendments to ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR) 28, APR 28 APPENDIX REGULATIONS OF THE APR 28 LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN BOARD, RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC), AND

LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPC)

**Purpose:** The court originally ordered amendments to these rules, with original GR 9 cover sheets, published for comment at the June 2018 en banc administrative conference. Original proposed amendments were published in 190 Wn.2d Proposed 21-57. Following notice and comment, a majority of the Court adopted those proposed amendments in Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246. On November 21, 2018, a majority of the court voted to rescind Supreme Court Order No. 25700-A-1246 due to errors in the version that was published and determined that the corrected suggested amendments would be published for comment with a description of the substantive corrections only. The proposed amendments have been reformatted to include necessary corrections. This Cover Sheet is prepared by the court and contains a description of the substantive differences between the proposed amendments published at 190 Wn.2d Proposed 21-57, and the proposed amendments published today.

#### **APR 28(B)(4)**

The omitted last sentence "The legal technician does not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance as set forth in this rule to a pro-se client" is included and stricken through.

#### **APR 28(F)**

Corrected strike through and underlines to reflect correct proposed additions and deletions according to existing language.

#### **APR 28(F)(5)**

Corrected the word "side" to "party".

#### **APR 28(G)(2)**

The unchanged language of subsection (2) is included because subsection (2)(a) is modified.

#### **APPENDIX APR 28(G)(3)**

Omitted subsection (G)(3) is included but unchanged.

#### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(1)(c)

The addition of "parentage or paternity" is underlined.

#### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(2)(d)

Qualified Domestic Relations Order replaces "QDRO" the first time the acronym is used.

#### **APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(3)**

Corrected the errant strike through to APR(H)IT.

#### APPENDIX APR 28 REGULATION 2(B)(3)(b)(viii)

Changed the replacement of domestic with committed.

#### **RPC 1.0B Washington Comments**

Removed underline and incorporated existing language "(1-3)".

#### **RPC 1.17 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment".

#### RPC 1.17 Comment 19

Removed underline from the word "sale" as it is existing language.

#### **RPC 4.3 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment". Changed references to the section to reflect "Comment" and "Additional Washington Comment" sections.

#### **RPC 5.8 Comment**

Replaced underlined "Washington Comment" with "Comment" as existing language.

#### **RPC 8.1 Comment**

Removed underline from the title "Comment".

#### LLLT RPC PREAMBLE

Added back the words "AND SCOPE" as existing language.

#### LLLT RPC 1.16 Comment 1

Corrected strike through and underlines to reflect correct proposed additions and deletions according to existing language.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.17**

The unchanged language prior to subsection (a) is included.

#### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

## Suggested Amendments ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR) 28 Limited Practice Rule for Limited License Legal Technicians

**Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board** 

#### A. Name of Proponent:

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

#### B. Spokesperson:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566 Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

#### C. Purpose:

The primary purpose of the suggested amendments is to enhance the scope of the Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) domestic relations practice area in order to improve the LLLT's ability to render efficient and effective legal services to pro se clients.

These suggested amendments will enable LLLTs to better serve their clients by allowing LLLTs to provide a wider range of services and more support in the courtroom. This more cohesive set of services will help LLLTs provide much needed access to legal services, guidance, and advice to low and moderate income pro se clients. The suggested amendments have been discussed and reviewed at length and are designed

to enhance the existing domestic relations practice area consistent with client needs and the intended role of LLLTs as legal practitioners.

The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive set of services to their clients.

The Family Law Advisory Workgroup of the LLLT Board was charged with discussing these questions and offering recommendations to the LLLT Board regarding the possible ways in which the scope of practice could be adjusted. The Family Law Advisory Workgroup includes members of the Board (including family law lawyers), other family law practitioners, lawyers who practice in other legal areas, and a practicing LLLT. The Family Law Advisory Workgroup worked collaboratively with several of the law professors teaching the family law practice area classes as well as solicited further information from practicing LLLTs. Throughout 2016 and the beginning of 2017, the workgroup studied the issues and provided recommendations to the LLLT Board. The LLLT Board approved the suggested amendments in early 2017 and presented information generally describing the intended enhancements to the domestic relations scope of practice to the Supreme Court on March 8, 2017, and to the Board of Governors on May 19, 2017.

The LLLT Board posted the suggested amendments on the Washington State

Bar Association (WSBA) website and solicited comments between May and July 2017.

Over 30 comments were received from lawyers, LLLTs, at least one client of a LLLT, a firm employing a LLLT, a member of the Board of Bar Examiners, the King County Bar Association Family Law Section, a member of the WSBA Family Law Section Executive Committee, the Northwest Justice Project, and members of the public. On August 16, 2017, the Family Law Advisory Workgroup reviewed the comments submitted, discussed all comments that posed specific drafting questions or suggestions in detail, and modified and refined the suggested amendments where it deemed necessary. The modifications were also responsive to the informal feedback received from the Access to Justice Board's Rules Committee. At its August 17, 2017, meeting, the LLLT Board approved the suggested amendments as modified by the Family Law Advisory Workgroup.

The following describes each suggested amendment and the amendment's purpose and intended effect:

#### **APR 28(B)**

The Board suggests an administrative amendment to APR 28(B)(1) to correct the reference to the "Admission to Practice Rules" to the "Admission and Practice Rules." The Board's suggested amendment to APR 28(B)(4) strikes a phrase relating to the current prohibition on LLLTs attending court proceedings, which would be modified by these suggested amendments. The nature of a LLLT's client being "pro se" is preserved in APR 28(F), Scope of Practice Authorized by Limited Practice Rule, rather than including it in the definition of an LLLT.

#### **APR 28(F)**

The Board has suggested several administrative amendments to the first

paragraph of APR 28(F). The amendments are designed to unify the terminology used in the introduction to APR 28, repeating phrases such as "render legal assistance" and reinforcing that the LLLT is providing limited legal assistance to a pro se client. The amendments would also clarify that LLLTs have an affirmative duty to inform clients to seek the services of a lawyer when an issue outside of their scope of practice has been identified. In APR 28(F)(3), a further clarification of the LLLT's duties to clients with respect to filing and service of documents was added, stating specifically that the LLLT may both advise and assist clients in correctly filing and serving documents.

The suggested amendments would delete the words "from the opposing side" from APR 28(F)(5) in order to delineate that LLLTs may review documents or exhibits provided to the client from any source, not only from the opposing side. The suggested amendment to what will be APR 28(F)(10) is grammatical, changing "a client" to "the client" in order to create consistency with the other paragraphs in the subsection. The suggested change to what will be APR 28(F)(11) is semantic, changing "documents" to "records" in order to better describe the list of records that follows.

APR 28(F)(12) and (13) are new suggested subsections that relate to the enhancements to the LLLT scope of practice. New APR 28(F)(12) suggests that LLLTs be permitted to communicate or negotiate with the opposing party or the party's representative regarding procedural matters. New APR 28(F)(13) suggests that LLLTs be permitted to negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities provided that the client has given written consent defining the parameters of the negotiation. LLLTs and lawyers for the opposing party have reported that significant barriers to efficient case administration are imposed by the current restriction that LLLTs must not communicate

with anyone other than the client regarding the subject matter of the representation.

LLLTs have encountered difficulties instructing their clients about how to independently accomplish various ministerial activities such as rescheduling hearing dates, confirming service addresses, and informing opposing parties when an issue with their pleadings has been identified. The LLLT Board believes that communication regarding procedural matters should be allowed in order to increase efficiency of the services LLLTs provide to their clients.

The new subsection APR 28(F)(14) would provide that additional types of legal assistance not otherwise prohibited generally by APR 28 could be authorized by regulations relating to the scope of practice permitted within a specific practice area. This would allow LLLTs to provide certain legal assistance necessary for a particular approved practice area but that may not be needed, justified, or wise to include within the scope of all approved practice areas.

#### **APR 28(G)**

Three amendments to APR 28(G) have been suggested. The first would delete the words "appear or" from APR 28(G)(2)(a) in order to coordinate this subsection with suggested amendments to the domestic relations scope of practice in Regulation 2(B). The second suggested amendment in the same paragraph would reinforce that LLLTs must look to the specific regulation regarding their practice area to fully comprehend their scope of practice.

The third suggested amendment in APR 28(G)(4) would preserve the LLLT's obligation to sign documents and pleadings they prepare while allowing an exception for LLLTs assisting a client or a third party in preparing a declaration or sworn statement.

Requiring LLLTs to sign the sworn statement of another person deviates from common practice among lawyers when preparing declarations for signature by a client or third party.

#### **APR 28(H)**

The suggested amendments to APR 28(H) would unify the amendments to the domestic relations scope in Regulation 2 with the permitted actions under the LLLT license. The suggested amendment to APR 28(H)(5) would reinforce that to understand the entirety of the scope of practice for a licensed LLLT, one must look to the specific practice area regulation.

The suggested amendments to APR 28(H)(6) would allow LLLTs to negotiate with the opposing party or their representative when the client has defined the scope of the negotiation prior to its onset. The current prohibition against LLLTs negotiating for their clients has frequently resulted in situations where the LLLT must schedule hearings regarding issues that could likely be negotiated, thereby using substantially more of the parties' and the court's time and unnecessarily increasing the cost of the representation. Additionally, LLLT clients who are in the midst of a difficult dissolution, custody battle, or domestic violence dispute may find themselves in the position of being contacted by their spouse or abuser when it would be in their best interest to have a third party act as the mediator or contact person. Also significantly, a number of lawyers for opposing parties have reported that they would prefer to negotiate with a legal professional rather than a pro se layperson who is emotionally involved in the outcome of the issue. For LLLTs who are multilingual, being able to negotiate with opposing parties would also allow them to maximize essential services to clients who

may not speak English but do speak the same language(s) as the LLLT.

The suggested additions of what would be APR 28(H)(8) and (9) would move prohibitions that previously existed in the LLLT domestic relations scope regulation to this subsection because these restrictions should apply to all LLLTs, regardless of approved practice area.

#### APR 28 Regulation 2(A)

In APR 28 Regulation 2(A), the suggested amendments are purely administrative and would align the style with other portions of APR 28.

#### APR 28 Regulation 2(B)

APR 28 Regulation 2(B) provides a detailed treatment of the scope of the LLLT domestic relations practice. The suggested amendments to APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(1) would modify the permitted scope of practice by including all parenting plan modifications and nonparental custody actions. For protection orders, the LLLT family law scope of practice is currently limited to domestic violence actions only. The suggested amendments would add other protection or restraining orders arising from a domestic relations case in addition to the current domestic violence protection orders. Additionally, the suggested amendments reorganized the listing of the permitted actions to be roughly sequential from primary actions through modifications and other related actions.

Currently, LLLTs are permitted to help clients with uncontested parenting plan modifications but may not advise or assist clients regarding contested major parenting plan modifications unless the terms have been agreed to by the parties before the onset of the representation. Because of the existing prohibition in APR 28 Regulation 2(B),

clients have not been able to obtain advice from the LLLT on the relevant issues that will be before the court for determination at an adequate cause hearing. Under the current provisions, therefore, the client must attempt to negotiate the terms of major parenting plan modifications without receiving advice from the LLLT as the client prepares to argue the issues. The LLLT Board recommends that LLLTs be permitted to assist with all major modification cases up to the point of the adequate cause hearing, and thus, suggests removing the phrase "when the terms are agreed to by the parties."

The LLLT Board also suggests that LLLTs be permitted to assist with nonparental custody cases up to the point of the adequate cause hearing. Tens of thousands of children in Washington live with a guardian other than a parent. Very few of these guardians have legal custody, which causes complex problems with access to medical, educational, and housing services. Child in Need of Services cases and dependencies are commonly resolved through nonparental custody with relatives and family friends, who often cannot afford to hire an attorney. Additionally, nonparental custody matters are accomplished through the use of pattern forms which LLLTs can be trained to use competently. Permitting LLLTs to assist with these matters would promote judicial efficiency by helping pro se parties navigate this aspect of the legal system.

The first paragraph of APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2) contains suggested stylistic amendments. It also would clarify that a domestic relations LLLT may provide legal services specified by the Regulation. The suggested amendments to APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(a) are grammatical.

In APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(b), the suggested substantive amendments would

permit an LLLT to provide services related to the division of real property. In the current text of APR 28, there is an absolute prohibition in Regulation 2(B)(3)(i) against dividing real property. This restriction was originally called into question by the professors and students participating in the LLLT family law practice area classes. Practicing LLLTs reported that clients experienced significant barriers because of the LLLTs' inability to divide the family home as part of the legal process.

In response to these issues, the LLLT Board suggests that LLLTs be allowed to assist with gathering information on the value and potential encumbrances on a home, as clients are often unable to independently find the information necessary for the court to evaluate the value of their real property assets. The LLLT Board also suggests that LLLTs be allowed to advise and assist with division of single family residential real property in which the parties have equity of up to twice the homestead exemption (currently \$125,000; see RCW 6.13.030). This would allow two parties who own a home together to potentially divide the equity in the home and preserve their maximum exemption if either party files for bankruptcy at a later date. The homestead exemption is set by the legislature and adjusted periodically according to economic factors.

Real property division was prohibited by the LLLT Board when initially contemplated because there were concerns about being able to adequately address the topic in the practice area curriculum. The family law professors and the Family Law Advisory Workgroup of the LLLT Board worked together to address this issue. The professors and Workgroup believe that it would be possible to teach LLLTs how to divide single family residential real property using the current family law forms because the mandatory forms were designed, in large part, to be able to be completed by pro se

litigants. The LLLT Board has developed a checklist for LLLTs to use when dividing property; a sample is enclosed. The checklist collects important information about the disposition of the property, liens, encumbrances, and remedies in the case of default. The family law professors plan to revise the existing LLLT family law education curriculum to allow LLLTs to capably perform this limited scope of real estate division.

APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(3)(c)(i) currently prohibits LLLTs from advising clients about or dividing retirement assets using a supplemental order, including all defined benefit plans and defined contribution plans. The family law professors and the Family Law Advisory Workgroup believe this prohibition is too restrictive. Under suggested APR 28 Regulation B(2)(c) and (d), LLLTs would be permitted to advise as to retirement asset allocation for specified retirement plans and include language in a decree describing how QDROs (qualified domestic relations orders) or supplemental orders are to be prepared. LLLTs would continue to be prohibited from preparing the actual QDRO or supplemental order dividing retirement assets.

Suggested APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(e) addresses LLLT participation in alternative dispute resolution proceedings and suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(f) would specifically allow LLLTs to accompany, assist, and confer with their pro se clients at depositions. Alternative dispute resolution (such as mediation, arbitration, or settlement conferences) is mandated in contested family law cases in Washington State; it would be a significant help to clients and to the court system to permit LLLTs to assist with mediations in family law cases. Professors and practitioners on the Family Law Advisory Workgroup noted that sending a client into the mediation without support—when that person may or may not understand the nature of the process or the finer details of the

case—would likely set up the client for failure. The current prohibition was initially designed to align with the prohibition on negotiation. If the suggested amendment removing the prohibition against negotiation in APR 28(H)(6) is adopted, the Board believes there would be no reason to restrict LLLT participation in alternative dispute resolution proceedings.

Similarly, suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(f) would allow an LLLT to accompany the pro se client at a deposition. The LLLT would not take or defend the deposition and would not make objections. The LLLT could provide advice and explain questions and their impact to the client during breaks.

Suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(g) would allow LLLTs to present agreed orders, uncontested orders, default orders, and accompanying documents. Today, paralegals and legal assistants without a license to practice law are permitted to appear at ex parte calendars to present orders for entry in most counties in Washington. When a court denies entry of ex parte orders there is no record (transcript, clerk's notes, or recording) for an LLLT to rely upon to determine why the orders were not entered if the client does not understand or cannot properly convey a court's reasoning. The LLLT risks sending a client back to court without fully resolving the issue(s) that caused the initial denial. Permitting an LLLT to present orders for ex parte entry on behalf of the client would ensure that the client's case will be properly finalized and provides assurance for the LLLT that documents bearing their signature have been properly handled.

Suggested subsection 2(B)(2)(h) would allow LLLTs to accompany and assist their pro se clients at certain hearings and respond to direct questions from the court or tribunal regarding factual and procedural issues only. The LLLT could not represent the

client like a lawyer would. The permitted hearings would be primarily motion hearings, as well as administrative child support hearings. Subsection (h)(i) would allow LLLTs to accompany and assist clients at hearings related to domestic violence protection orders and other protection or restraining orders arising from a domestic relations case. The current prohibition against participating in court proceedings has presented significant barriers to the LLLTs' ability to provide efficient services to clients. LLLTs report that mistakes made by clients at hearings, such as incorrectly answering questions from the judge due to a lack of understanding of legal terminology, handing the court the wrong suggested order, and not understanding orders from the court or court procedures, are negatively impacting the cases by causing unnecessary confusion, repetition, and delays.

The amendments to the main paragraph of APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(3) and subections (a) and (b)(i) and (b)(ii) are grammatical. Substantive amendments regarding the division of real estate and retirement assets can be found in (b)(iii). This amendment would clarify that division or conveyance of formal business entities, commercial property, or residential property would be prohibited except as permitted in Regulation 2(B)(2)(b).

Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) is a new subsection containing the current prohibition on LLLTs preparing QDROs and supplemental orders dividing retirement assets.

The LLLT Board suggests removing what is currently Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) because criminal no contact orders are entered by prosecutors and therefore LLLTs would not be able to enter them even if permitted to do so. Other protection orders currently prohibited in Regulation 2(B)(3)(b)(iv) would also be removed by this

amendment because other amendments would permit LLLTs to render these forms of legal assistance if they arise from a domestic relations case.

The new suggested subsection (ix) would permit LLLTs to render legal assistance with nonparental custody matters and major parenting plan modifications through the adequate cause hearing, unless the terms are agreed to by the parties or one party defaults, in which case there is no prohibition.

The new suggested subsection (b)(xi) would prohibit LLLTs from providing legal assistance with objections or responses in contested relocation actions.

The suggested deletions of subsections (d) and (e) relating to the taking of a deposition and responding to or initiating an appeal have been moved to general prohibitions under APR 28(H).

#### APR 28 Regulation 3(C)

If the suggested amendments are adopted, changes to the domestic relations scope of practice will require currently licensed LLLTs receive additional training about the enhancements outlined in the suggested amendments. The LLLT Board intends to create and offer mandatory continuing legal education to accomplish this. The LLLT Board will provide notice of the supplemental education requirement and the deadline for completion of the requirement to LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs.

#### Conclusion

The Court adopted the LLLT license in order to provide greater public access to trained and licensed legal professionals within an approved area of law and proscribed scope of practice. This new and innovative model has drawn notice throughout the country and the world. Educators, Board members, and newly practicing LLLTs have

had the opportunity to critically examine the LLLT service model and to observe how the initial formulation of the domestic relations scope of practice impacted clients. Based on those observations and an examination of the license to date, the LLLT Board believes these suggested amendments will serve to enhance public access to the legal system in Washington and will allow LLLTs to provide more comprehensive services to pro se clients in need of legal assistance in family law. These suggested amendments are presented along with corresponding suggested amendments to the LLLT Rules of Professional Conduct and the Rules of Professional Conduct for lawyers that are necessary to implement the suggested amendments to APR 28. The LLLT Board requests the Court adopt all the suggested amendments together.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to promote the effective practice of licensed LLLTs and align the curriculum of the next cohort of LLLT students.
- F. <u>Supporting Material</u>: In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to APR 28, a copy of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and the Lawyer RPC are included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

#### SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO APR 28

- 1 TITLE
- 2 | ADMISSION AND PRACTICE RULES (APR)
- 3 RULE 28. LIMITED PRACTICE RULE FOR LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL
- 4 TECHNICIANS
- 5 A. Purpose.
- 6 [NO CHANGES]
- 7 **B. Definitions.** For purposes of this rule, the following definitions will apply:
- 8 (1)-(3) [NO CHANGES]
- 9 (4) "Limited License Legal Technician" (LLLT) means a person qualified by education, training
- and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in approved
- 11 practice areas of law as specified by this rule and related regulations. The legal technician does
- 12 not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
- 13 as set forth in this rule to a pro se client.
- 14 (5)-(10) [NO CHANGES]
- 15 C. Limited License Legal Technician Board
- 16 [NO CHANGES]
- 17 D. [Reserved.]
- 18 E. [Reserved.]
- 19 F. Scope of Practice Authorized by Limited Practice Rule. The Limited License Legal
- 20 Technician shall ascertain whether the issue is within the defined practice area for which the
- 21 | LLLT is licensed. It if is not, the LLLT shall not render any legal assistance provide the services
- 22 required on this issue and shall advise inform the client to that the client should seek the services
- 23 of a lawyer. If the issue is within the defined practice area, the LLLT may render the following
- 24 | limited legal assistance to a pro se client undertake the following:
- 25 (1)-(2) [NO CHANGES]
- 26 (3) Inform the client of and assist with applicable procedures for proper service of process and

#### **SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO APR 28**

- 1 filing of legal documents;
- 2 (4) [NO CHANGES]
- 3 (5) Review documents or exhibits that the client has received from the opposing party, and
- 4 explain them to the client;
- 5 (6)-(7) [NO CHANGES]
- 6 (8) Draft letters setting forth legal opinions that are intended to be read by persons other than the
- 7 | client; and
- 8. (9) Detraft documents beyond what is permitted in paragraph (6), if the work is reviewed and
- 9 approved by a Washington lawyer;
- $10 \quad (109)$  Advise thea client as to other documents that may be necessary to the client's case, and
- 11 explain how such additional documents or pleadings may affect the client's case;
- 12  $(1\underline{10})$  Assist the client in obtaining necessary documents or records, such as birth, death, or
- 13 marriage certificates.
- 14 (12) Communicate and negotiate with the opposing party or the party's representative regarding
- 15 procedural matters, such as setting court hearings or other ministerial or civil procedure matters;
- 16 (13) Negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities provided that the client has given
- written consent defining the parameters of the negotiation prior to the onset of the negotiation;
- 18 and
- 19 (14) Render other types of legal assistance when specifically authorized by the scope of practice
- 20 regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT is licensed.
- 21 G. Conditions Under Which A Limited License Legal Technician May Provide Services
- 22 (1) [NO CHANGES]
- 23 (2) Prior to the performance of the services for a fee, the Limited License Legal Technician shall
- 24 enter into a written contract with the client, signed by both the client and the Limited License
- 25 | Legal Technician, that includes the following provisions:
- 26 (a) An explanation of the services to be performed, including a conspicuous statement that the

- 1 Limited License Legal Technician may not appear or represent the client in court, formal
- 2 | administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process or negotiate
- 3 the client's legal rights or responsibilities, unless permitted under GR 24(b) or specifically
- 4 authorized by the scope of practice regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT
- 5 is licensed;
- 6 (3) [Unchanged.]
- 7 (b)-(g) [NO CHANGES]
- 8 (4) A document prepared by an LLLT shall include the LLLT's name, signature, and license
- 9 number beneath the signature of the client. <u>LLLTs do not need to sign sworn statements or</u>
- declarations of the client or a third party, and do not need to sign documents that do not require a
- signature by the client, such as information sheets.
- 12 H. Prohibited Acts.
- 13 In the course of dealing with clients or prospective clients, a Limited License Legal Technician
- 14 | shall not:
- 15 (1)-(4) [NO CHANGES]
- 16 (5) Represent a client in court proceedings, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or
- other formal dispute resolution process, unless permitted by GR 24 or specifically authorized by
- 18 the scope of practice regulations for the approved practice area in which the LLLT is licensed;
- 19 (6) Negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the
- 20 | client's position or convey to the client the position of another party, unless permitted by GR
- 21 <del>24(b)</del>;
- 22 (67) Provide services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state, unless
- 23 permitted by the laws of that state to perform such services for the client;
- 24 (78) Represent or otherwise provide legal or law related services to a client, except as permitted
- 25 by law, this rule, or associated rules and regulations;
- 26 (8) Conduct or defend a deposition;

(9) Initiate or respond to an appeal to an appellate court; and
(109) Otherwise violate the Limited License Legal Technician Rules of Professional Conduct.
I. – O.
[NO CHANGES]
APPENDIX APR 28. REGULATIONS OF THE APR 28 LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL
TECHNICIAN BOARD
REGULATION 1. [RESERVED.]
REGULATION 2. <u>APPROVED</u> PRACTICE AREASSCOPE OF PRACTICE
AUTHORIZED BY LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULE
In each practice area in which an LLLT is licensed, the LLLT shall comply with the provisions
defining the scope of practice as found in APR 28 and as described herein.
A. Issues Beyond the Scope of Authorized Practice.
(1)-(4) [NO CHANGES]
After an issue beyond the LLLT's scope of practice has been identified, if the client engages a
lawyer with respect to the issue, then an LLLT may prepare a document related to the issue only
if a lawyer acting on behalf of the client has provided appropriate documents and written
instructions for the LLLT as to whether and how to proceed with respect to the issue. If the clien
does not engage a lawyer with respect to the issue, then the LLLT may prepare documents that
relate to the issue if:
(1). <u>t</u> The client informs the LLLT how the issue is to be determined and instructs the LLLT how
to complete the relevant portions of the document, and
(2). <u>aAbove</u> the LLLT's signature at the end of the document, the LLLT inserts a statement to
the effect that the LLLT did not advise the client with respect to any issue outside of the LLLT's
scope of practice and completed any portions of the document with respect to any such issues at
the direction of the client.

The LLLT may proceed in the manner described above only if no other defined prohibitions

2	<del>apply.</del>
3	B. Domestic Relations.
4	1. Domestic Relations, Defined. For the purposes of these regulations, domestic relations shall
5	include only the following actions: (a) divorce and dissolutionehild support modification actions,
6	(b) parenting and supportdissolution actions, (c) parentage or paternitydomestic violence actions,
7	except as prohibited by Regulation 2(B)(3), (d) child support modification committed intimate
8	relationship actions only as they pertain to parenting and support issues, (e) parenting plan
9	modificationlegal separation actions, (f) domestic violence protection ordersmajor parenting plan
10	modifications when the terms are agreed to by the parties before the onset of the representation
11	by the LLLT, (g) committed intimate relationships only as they pertain to parenting and support
12	issues minor parenting plan modifications, (h) legal separationparenting and support actions, (i)
13	nonparental and third party custodypaternity actions, and (j) other protection or restraining orders
14	arising from a domestic relations case, and (k) relocation actions, except as prohibited by
15	Regulation 2B(3).
16	2. Scope of Practice for LLLTsDomestic Relations. LLLTs <u>licensed</u> in domestic relations may
17	renderprovide legal services to clients as provided in APR 28(F) and this regulation, except as
18	prohibited by APR 28(H) and Regulation 2(B)(3).
19	(a) Unless an issue beyond the scope arises or a prohibited act would be required, LLLTs may
20	advise and assist clients with (1) to initiatinge and responding to actions and related(2) regarding
21	motions, discovery, trial preparation, temporary and final orders, and modifications of orders.
22	(b) LLLT legal services regarding the division of real property shall be limited to matters where
23	the real property is a single family residential dwelling with owner equity less than or equal to
24	twice the homestead exemption (see RCW 6.13.030). LLLTs shall use the form for real property
25	division as approved by the LLLT Board.
26	(c) LLLTs may advise as to the allocation of retirement assets for defined contribution plans with

- a value less than the homestead exemption, and as provided in United States Internal Revenue
   Code (IRC) sections 401a, 401k, 403b, and 457; and Individual Retirement Accounts as set forth
- 3 in IRC section 408.
- 4 (d) LLLTs may include language in a decree of dissolution awarding retirement assets as
- 5 described in APR 28 Regulation 2(B)(2)(c) when the respondent defaults, when the parties agree
- on the award or when the court awards the assets following trial. The award language in the
- 7 decree shall identify (1) the party responsible for having the qualified domestic relations order
- 8 (QDRO) or supplemental order prepared and by whom, (2) how the cost of the QDRO or
- 9 | supplemental order preparation is to be paid, (3) by what date the QDRO or supplemental order
- must be prepared, and (4) the remedy for failure to follow through with preparation of the QDRO
- 11 or supplemental order.
- 12 (e) LLLTs may prepare paperwork and accompany and assist clients in dispute resolution
- 13 proceedings including mediation, arbitration, and settlement conferences where not prohibited by
- 14 the rules and procedures of the forum.
- 15 (f) LLLTs, when accompanying their client, may assist and confer with their pro se clients at
- 16 depositions.
- 17 (g) LLLTs may present to a court agreed orders, uncontested orders, default orders, and
- 18 accompanying documents;
- 19 (h) LLLTs, when accompanying their client, may assist and confer with their pro se clients and
- 20 respond to direct questions from the court or tribunal regarding factual and procedural issues at
- 21 the hearings listed below:
- 22 i. domestic violence protection orders and other protection or restraining orders arising from a
- 23 domestic relations case;
- 24 | ii. motions for temporary orders, including but not limited to temporary parenting plans, child
- 25 support, maintenance, and orders to show cause;
- 26 <u>iii. enforcement of domestic relations orders;</u>

- 1 iv. administrative child support;
- 2 v. modification of child support;
- 3 vi. adequate cause hearings for nonparental custody or parenting plan modifications;
- 4 vii. reconsiderations or revisions;
- 5 viii. trial setting calendar proceedings with or without the client when the LLLT has confirmed
- 6 the available dates of the client in writing in advance of the proceeding.
- 7 | 3. Prohibited Acts. In addition to the prohibitions set forth in APR 28(H), in the course of
- 8 | rendering legal services todealing with clients or prospective clients, LLLTs licensed to practice
- 9 in domestic relations:
- a. shall not <u>render legal services to represent</u> more than one party in any domestic relations
- 11 matter;
- 12 | b. shall not renderprovide legal services in:
- 13 i. in-de facto parentage or nonparental custody actions; and
- 14 | ii. actions that involveif-25 U.S.C. chapter 21, the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978, or chapter
- 15 13.38 RCW, the Washington State Indian Child Welfare Act, applies to the matter;
- 16 c. shall not advise or assist clients regarding:
- 17 iii. division or conveyance of owned real estate, formal business entities, commercial property,
- or residential real property except as permitted by Regulation 2(B) or retirement assets that
- 19 require a supplemental order to divide and award, which includes division of all defined benefit
- 20 plans and defined contribution plans;
- 21 | iv. preparation of ODROs and supplemental orders dividing retirement assets beyond what is
- 22 prescribed in Regulation 2(B)(2)(d);
- 23 v. any retirement assets whereby the decree effectuates the division or the implementation of the
- 24 division of the asset;
- 25 <u>viii.</u> bankruptcy, including obtaining a stay from bankruptcy;
- 26 | viiii. disposition of debts and assets, if one party is in bankruptcy or files a bankruptcy during the

1	pendency of the proceeding, unless: (a) the LLLT's client has retained a lawyer to represent
2	him/her in the bankruptcy, (b) the client has consulted with a lawyer and the lawyer has provide
3	written instructions for the LLLT as to whether and how to proceed regarding the division of
4	debts and assets in the domestic relations proceeding, or (c) the bankruptcy has been discharged
5	iv. antiharassment orders, criminal no contact orders, anti-stalking orders, and sexual assault
6	protection orders in domestic violence actions;
7	v <u>iii</u> . <del>jointly acquired committed intimate relationship</del> -property issues in <u>committed</u> <del>domestic</del>
8	intimate relationship actions;
9	vix. major parenting plan modifications and nonparental custody actions beyond the adequate
10	cause hearing unless the terms arewere agreed to by the parties or one party defaults before the
11	onset of the representation by the LLLT;
12	xvii. the determination of Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act issues under
13	chapter 26.27 RCW or Uniform Interstate Family Support Act issues under chapter 26.21A
14	RCW unless and until jurisdiction has been resolved;
15	viixi. objections or responses in contested relocation actions objections to relocation petitions,
16	responses to objections to relocation petitions, or temporary orders in relocation actions; and
17	$\frac{1}{2}$ in the event of default or where the
18	terms have been agreed to by the parties.
19	d. shall not appear or participate at the taking of a deposition; and
20	e. shall not initiate or respond to an appeal to an appellate court.
21	REGULATION 3: EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS FOR LLLT APPLICANTS AND
22	APPROVAL OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS
23	An applicant for admission as an LLLT shall satisfy the following education requirements:
24	A. Core Curriculum.
25	[NO CHANGES]
26	B. Practice Area Curriculum

1	[NO CHANGES]
2	C. Required Supplemental Education. The LLLT Board has discretion to require all LLLTs to
3	complete supplemental education in order to maintain their licenses due to changes in the
4	permitted scope of practice for LLLTs. The LLLT Board shall provide notice to LLLTs of the
5	supplemental education requirement and the deadline for completion of the requirement,
6	allowing at least 12 months to complete the required supplemental education. LLLTs may be
7	administratively suspended pursuant to the procedures set forth in APR 17 if they fail to comply
8	with the supplemental education requirements by the stated deadline.
9	[NO CHANGES]
10	REGULATION 4- 20
11	[NO CHANGES]
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Suggested Amendments to APR 28 Page 9 – January 19, 2018 Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

#### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

### Suggested Amendments to RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC)

#### Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board

#### A. Name of Proponent:

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

#### B. Spokesperson:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566

Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

#### C. Purpose:

These suggested amendments are presented in conjunction with suggested amendments to Admission and Practice Rule (APR) 28 and related regulations and the Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Rules of Professional Conduct (LLLT RPC). The suggested amendments to APR 28 enhance the scope of the LLLT Family Law practice area. The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could

be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive set of services to their clients. The suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC make necessary changes to align with the suggested amendments to APR 28. Therefore, the primary purpose of these suggested amendments to the Rules of Professional Conduct (Lawyer RPC) is to align the Lawyer RPC with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the corresponding suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC to ensure consistency and accuracy across all three sets of rules.

As with the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC, the LLLT Board requested that Washington State Bar Association (WSBA) staff draft and recommend necessary amendments to the Lawyer RPC in order to align the Lawyer RPC with the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC. In addition, WSBA staff presented the suggested amendments to the WSBA's Committee on Professional Ethics (CPE) in December 2017. The CPE approved of the suggested amendments and the LLLT Board subsequently approved these suggested amendments at its January 2018 meeting. The LLLT Board also presented these changes to the Board of Governors in January 2018. The following describes the LLLT Board's suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC.

#### Lawyer RPC 1.0B

In 1.0B(b), definition of legal practitioner, the suggested amendments would remove "licensed under APR 28" to be consistent with the definition in the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC.

In 1.0B(c), definition of limited license legal technician, the suggested amendments would remove the final sentence because it is no longer accurate under-

the suggested amendments to APR 28. The removed sentence relates to the LLLT scope of practice (found in APR 28(F)) rather than a definition of an LLLT.

#### Lawyer RPC 1.17

The suggested amendments to comment 19 would remove the description of when an LLLT cannot purchase a law practice because the current language is not correct in all circumstances. The substance of that sentence would be rewritten and included in the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC as a new comment 2 to LLLT RPC 1.17. A new reference to that comment would be added to this comment 19.

#### Lawyer RPC 4.3

The suggested amendments to comment 6 would remove language saying that LLLTs shall not negotiate because it will be permitted under certain conditions if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

#### Lawyer RPC 5.8

The suggested amendments to comment 2 would correct the reference to the Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician Conduct (ELLLTC).

#### Lawyer RPC 8.1

The suggested amendments to RPC 8.1 would better reflect the unified admissions, licensing, and disciplinary processes for all license types in Washington now that LLLTs and limited practice officers (LPOs) are members of the WSBA.

#### **Throughout**

References to specific subparts of APR 28 would be removed and replaced with a general reference to APR 28 or a reference to APR 28 and related regulations. This

allows the Lawyer RPC to remain accurate even if specific provisions of APR 28 change.

#### Conclusion

The LLLT Board believes it is important that these suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC be adopted and effective together with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC as soon as possible. If adopted, the suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC, LLLT RPC, and APR 28 will be incorporated into the LLLT family law practice area curriculum and will be tested on the LLLT family law practice area and professional responsibility exams. A mandatory continuing legal education program will be developed to educate LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs about these changes and the impact on their practices. The first LLLT family law practice area and professional responsibility exams to test on these amendments could be held in July 2019.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to prevent delaying implementation of the necessary changes to LLLT education, continuing legal education, and examinations. The goal of the LLLT license is to provide much needed access to justice. Therefore, delay of these amendments also causes continued delay in providing relief to those in need of LLLT services.
- F. <u>Supporting Materials:</u> In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to the Lawyer RPC, a copy of the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the LLLT RPC are also included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real

Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

# SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

1	TITLE
2	RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RPC)
3	RULE 1.0B ADDITIONAL WASHINGTON TERMINOLOGY
4	(a) [NO CHANGES]
5	(b) "Legal practitioner" denotes a lawyer or a limited license legal technician licensed under
6	APR 28.
7	(c) "Limited License Legal Technician" or "LLLT" denotes a person qualified by education,
8	training, and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in
9	approved practice areas of law as specified by APR 28 and related regulations. The LLLT does
10	not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
11	as set forth in APR 28 to a pro se client.
12	(d)-(e) [NO CHANGES]
13	Washington Comments (1-3)
14	[1]-[2] [NO CHANGES]
15	[3] LLLTs are authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in explicitly defined areas.
16	Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT may perform only limited services for a client. See APR 28(F), (H).
17	A lawyer who interacts with an LLLT about the subject matter of that LLLT's representation or
18	who interacts with an otherwise pro se client represented by an LLLT should be aware of the
19	scope of the LLLT's license and the ethical obligations imposed on an LLLT by the LLLT RPC.
20	See APR 28(F) (H); Appendix APR 28 Regulation 2 and related regulations; LLLT RPC 1.2, 1.5
21	4.2, 4.3. See also RPC 5.10.
22	RULE 1.17 SALE OF LAW PRACTICE
23	(a)-(d) [NO CHANGES]
24	Comment
25	[1]-[18] [No Changes]
26	[19] An LLLT is not authorized to purchase a law practice that requires provision of lega

Suggested Amendments to RPC Page 1 – January 19, 2018

Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

#### SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

- services outside the scope of the LLLT's practice. See APR 28(F)-(H); Appendix APR 28 1 2 Regulation 2. Consequently, There are some restrictions on a lawyer's ability to sell a law practice to an LLLT when the legal services provided are outside the scope of the LLLT's 3 practice. As such, a lawyer may not participate in or facilitate such a sale that is in violation of 4 LLLT RPC 1.17. See LLLT RPC 1.17 cmt. [2]; RPC 8.4(f)(2). 5 RULE 4.3 DEALING WITH PERSON NOT REPRESENTED BY A LAWYER 6 [NO CHANGES] 7 Comment 8 [1]-[2] [Unchanged.] -9 Additional Washington Comments (3-6) 10 [3]-[4] [Unchanged.] 11 12 [5] For purposes of this Rule, a person who is assisted by an LLLT is not represented by a lawyer and is an unrepresented person. See APR 28(B)(4). 13 14 [6] When a lawyer communicates with an LLLT who represents an opposing party about the subject of the representation, the lawyer should be guided by an understanding of the limitations 15 imposed on the LLLT by APR 2, related Regulations(H)(6) (an LLLT shall not "negotiate the 16 client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the client's position 17 or convey to the client the position of another party") and the LLLT RPC. The lawyer should 18 further take care not to overreach or intrude into privileged information. APR 28(K)(3) ("The 19 Washington law of attorney-client privilege and law of a lawyer's fiduciary responsibility to the 20 21 client shall apply to the Limited License Legal Technician-client relationship to the same extent as it would apply to an attorney-client relationship"). 22 RULE 5.8 MISCONDUCT INVOLVING LAWYERS AND LLLTS NOT ACTIVELY 23 LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW 24 (a)-(b) [NO CHANGES] 25
  - Suggested Amendments to RPC Page 2 January 19, 2018

Comment

## SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS TO RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

1	[1] [NO CHANGES]
2	
3	[2] The prohibitions in paragraph (b) of this Rule apply to suspensions, revocations, and
4	voluntary cancellations in lieu of discipline under the disciplinary procedural rules applicable to
5	LLLTs. See Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician LLLT Rules for
6	Enforcement of Conduct (RECELLLTC).
7	RULE 8.1 BAR ADMISSION AND DISCIPLINARY MATTERS
8	An applicant for admission to the Bar, or a lawyer in connection with an application for
9	reinstatement or admission to the Bar or a disciplinary matter involving a legal practitioner-ba
10	admission, reinstatement application, or LLLT limited licensure, or in connection with a lawyer of
11	LLLT disciplinary matter, shall not:
12	(a)-(b) [NO CHANGES]
13	Comment
14	[NO CHANGES]
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	·
23	
24	
25	
26	

#### **GR 9 COVER SHEET**

# Suggested Amendments to LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT RPC)

#### Submitted by the Limited License Legal Technician Board

#### A. <u>Name of Proponent:</u>

Limited License Legal Technician (LLLT) Board

Staff Liaison/Contact:
Jean McElroy, Chief Regulatory Counsel
Washington State Bar Association (WSBA)
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600
Seattle, WA 98101-2539 (Phone: 206-727-8277)

#### B. <u>Spokesperson</u>:

Stephen R. Crossland Chair of LLLT Board P.O. Box 566 Cashmere, WA 98815 (Phone: 509-782-4418)

C. Purpose: These suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC are presented in conjunction with suggested amendments to Admission and Practice Rule (APR) 28 and related regulations and the Rules of Professional Conduct (Lawyer RPC). The suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations enhance the scope of the LLLT Family Law Practice Area. The LLLT Board began discussing possible enhancements to the domestic relations practice area in late 2014 in response to questions and concerns from law school professors who were teaching the LLLT practice area classes. Students in the LLLT classes, practicing LLLTs, and lawyers who work with LLLTs also raised several issues and offered ideas for ways in which the domestic relations scope could be improved to allow LLLTs to provide a more cohesive

set of services to their clients. Therefore, the primary purpose of these suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC is to make changes necessary to implement the suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations.

#### **Drafting Process**

The LLLT Board is composed of lawyers in private practice, practicing LLLTs, law school and paralegal educators, legal services providers, members of the public, and paralegal advocates. After developing the suggested amendments to APR 28 to enhance the family law practice area, the LLLT Board requested WSBA staff take the lead in drafting and recommending necessary amendments to the LLLT RPC in order to align the LLLT RPC with suggested amendments to APR 28 and related regulations.

WSBA staff involved were Douglas Ende (Chief Disciplinary Counsel), Jean McElroy (Chief Regulatory Counsel), Jeanne Marie Clavere (Professional Responsibility Counsel), Robert Henry (Associate Director for Regulatory Services), Renata de Carvalho Garcia (Innovative Licensing Programs Manager), and Joe Terrenzio (Limited License Legal Technician Program Lead). The issues that caused the most discussion were the following:

- The scope of an LLLT's enhanced role as an advocate and as a negotiator;
- The interactions between an LLLT's role in advising a pro se client and the rules governing communications with represented and unrepresented parties; and
- The limitations on an LLLT's communications with a tribunal under the enhanced scope of practice.

As in the original drafting of the LLLT RPC, the LLLT RPC mirror the Lawyer RPC with only slight modification. When a Lawyer RPC does not apply in the LLLT context, the rule is reserved. The LLLT Board reviewed successive drafts of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and offered critiques and feedback throughout the process before approving the final suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC at the December 14, 2017, LLLT Board meeting. The LLLT Board also presented these changes to the Board of Governors in January 2018. The following describes the LLLT Board's suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC.

#### **Throughout**

In order to prevent ongoing or future changes to the LLLT RPCs, the suggested amendments would remove large blocks of text copied from APR 28 and replace them with specific or general references to APR 28 and related regulations.

#### **Preamble and Scope**

In paragraph 2, the suggested amendments would remove language stating that an LLLT is not authorized to act as advocate or negotiator. A new clause would be added, stating that to the extent an LLLT is allowed to act as an advocate or as a negotiator under APR 28, an LLLT acts in the best interest of the client.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.0B Additional Terminology**

In (c), the suggested amendments clarify the definition of a lawyer. The former definition stated only that a lawyer was a person who held a license to practice law in any United States jurisdiction. In Washington, LLLTs, limited practice officers, and lawyers hold licenses to practice law, therefore requiring further clarification in the definition of the term "lawyer" in the Washington LLLT RPC. The amended definition

matches the definition of lawyer in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

The suggested amendments to subsection (e) would remove the phrase "licensed under APR 28" from the definition of legal practitioner because the reference to APR 28 already exists in the definition of an LLLT.

The suggested amendments to subsection (f) would remove the final sentence stating that an LLLT does not represent a client in court proceedings or negotiations to match the definition in the suggested amendments to APR 28. The sentence that would be removed relates to scope rather than a definition of an LLLT.

The suggested amendments to subsection (g) would correct the name and acronym for the Rules for Enforcement of Limited License Legal Technician Conduct.

# LLLT RPC 1.2 Scope of Representation and Allocation of Authority between Client and LLLT

The suggested amendments to 1.2(a) would add an additional sentence stating that a LLLT shall abide by a client's decision whether to settle a matter. This addition helps clarify that the client, not the LLLT, has decision making authority in a settlement negotiation.

In comment 2, the suggested amendments would remove the first sentence stating that negotiation is prohibited. The second sentence would be rephrased to align with the suggested amendments to APR 28.

In comment 4, the suggested amendments would clarify an LLLT's obligations when an issue is outside of the authorized scope of practice. In comment 5, a reference to APR 28(G)(2) would be corrected to APR 28(G)(1).

In comment 6, a reference to APR 28(G)(5) would be corrected to APR 28(G)(3).

The suggested amendments to comment 7 would remove and reserve it because the comment is inaccurate and duplicative of the APR 28(G)(4) signature requirement without discussing any professional responsibility matters.

#### LLLT RPC 1.5 Fees

In comment 4, a reference to APR 28(G)(3) would be corrected to APR28(G)(2). The final sentence referencing comment 2 to Rule 1.2 would be removed because it is unnecessary.

In comment 5, a reference to APR 28(G)(3) would be corrected to APR28(G)(2).

#### **LLLT RPC 1.8 Conflict of Interest: Current Clients: Specific Rules**

The suggested amendments to comment 3 would remove the first sentence stating that LLLTs may not advocate for or appear in court on behalf of a client because LLLTs will be permitted to accompany and assist clients at certain hearings if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

The suggested amendments to comment 4 would clarify that an LLLT's scope of practice does not include aggregate settlements.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.15A Safeguarding Property**

Suggested amendments to subsection (i) would correct references to the ELLLTC or refer to the ELC when the referenced provision does not exist in the ELLLTC.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.16 Declining or Termination Representation**

Suggested amendments to comment 1 would match the suggested amendments to APR 28 allowing LLLTs to accompany and assist clients before tribunals. It also would clarify that LLLTs represent pro se clients and accordingly, LLLTs would not file a

notice of appearance.

#### **LLLT RPC 1.17 Sale of a Law Practice**

In subsection (d), the suggested amendments would change "legal and LLLT fees" to "fees."

Suggested amendments to comment 2 would explain that a firm of only LLLTs cannot purchase a law practice that would require they provide services beyond their authorized scope of practice.

#### LLLT RPC 2.3 [Reserved]

Suggested amendments to comment 1 would match the suggested amendments to APR 28 allowing LLLTs to communicate a client's position to a third party. They would also clarify that an LLLT should refer to the Lawyer RPC for guidance if a third party evaluation comes up in the LLLT's scope of practice.

#### LLLT RPC 3.1 Advising and Assisting Clients in Proceedings Before a Tribunal

The suggested amendments in subsection (a) would add the word "engage" to clarify that the rule applies to the LLLT's own behavior before a tribunal because LLLTs will be permitted to accompany and assist clients at certain court hearings if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

The suggested amendments to subsection (a)(6) would add the valid exception for disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal to be consistent with the Lawyer RPC.

The suggested amendments to comment 1 are meant to address an LLLT's role as an advocate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

Comment 2 would be deleted because it will no longer apply under the enhanced scope of practice if the suggested amendments to APR 28 are adopted.

Comment 3 would be renumbered as comment 2, and the reference for Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC would be rephrased for clarity.

#### LLLT RPC 3.6-3.9 [Reserved]

The numbers in the comments would reflect the changes to the suggested amendments to the comments in LLLT RPC 3.1.

#### **LLLT RPC 4.1 Truthfulness in Statements to Others**

Comment 2 would be deleted because the comment repeating the signature requirement in APR 28(G) is unnecessary.

#### LLLT RPC 4.2 Communication with Person Represented by Lawyer

The suggested amendments to comment 1 would delete sentences 6 and 7 and the final clause of sentence 5 because they would no longer be accurate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

#### LLLT RPC 4.3 Dealing with Person Not Represented by Lawyer

Subsection (b) would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the enhanced scope of practice in the suggested amendments to APR 28.

Because (b) would be deleted, comment 2, which had discussed (b), would be deleted and reserved.

In comment 3, the final sentence would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the suggested amendments to APR 28.

In comment 4, the first sentence would be deleted because it would no longer be accurate under the suggested amendments to APR 28.

#### LLLT RPC 5.4 Professional Independence of an LLLT

In several places, "non-LLLT" would be rewritten to eliminate use of the exclusionary and awkward term "non-LLLT".

Comment 2 would be rephrased to make it more active language.

#### LLLT RPC 5.5 Unauthorized Practice of Law

In comment 1, the reference to APR 28(H)(7) would be corrected to APR28(H)(6).

In comment 2, the word "programs" would be deleted for consistency with other language referring to limited licenses. "[N]onlawyers" would be replaced with "limited license practitioners" to eliminate use of the exclusionary and awkward term "nonlawyers."

#### LLLT RPC 8.1 Licensing, Admission, and Disciplinary Matters

The rule's name would be changed from "Limited Licensure and Disciplinary Matters" to "Licensing, Admission, and Disciplinary Matters" to reflect the unified licensing, admissions, and disciplinary processes for all licenses to practice law in Washington.

The rule would be rewritten because LLLTs are now members of the WSBA.

In comment 1, the language highlighting that LLLTs are not admitted to the Bar would be removed because it is no longer accurate. LLLTs are admitted to the practice of law and are members of the WSBA. See APR 5(I) and WSBA Bylaws Art. III sec. (1)(b).

#### **LLLT RPC 8.4 Misconduct**

In (I), the references to the LLLT Rules for Enforcement of Conduct would be

corrected to the ELLLTC.

#### Conclusion

The LLLT Board voted unanimously to approve the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC for submission to the Washington Supreme Court at its December 14, 2017 meeting. The LLLT Board believes it is important that these suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC be adopted and effective together with the suggested amendments to APR 28 and the Lawyer RPC as soon as possible. If adopted, the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC and suggested amendments to APR 28 will be incorporated into the LLLT Family Law Practice Area Curriculum and will be tested on the LLLT Family Law Practice Area and Professional Responsibility Exams. A mandatory continuing legal education program will be developed to educate LLLT candidates and currently licensed LLLTs about these changes and the impact on their practices. The first LLLT Practice Area and Professional Responsibility Exams to test on these amendments could be held in July 2019.

- **D.** <u>Hearing</u>: Because of the outreach conducted and input previously received by the LLLT Board, a hearing is not requested.
- E. <u>Expedited Consideration</u>: Expedited consideration is requested in order to prevent delaying implementation of the necessary changes to LLLT education, continuing legal education, and examinations. The LLLT program's goal is to provide much needed access to justice. Therefore, delay of this program also causes continued delay in providing relief to those in need of LLLT services.
- F. <u>Supporting Materials:</u> In addition to the submission of the suggested amendments to the LLLT RPC, a copy of the suggested amendments to APR 28 and

the Lawyer RPC are also included. The LLLT Board is also providing a sample of a Real Property Disposition Form and the April 3, 2017 letter from the Court to the LLLT Board, which stated, "A majority of the Court voted yes to expanding the family law area."

	TECHNICIAN RULES OF TROFESSIONAL CONDU
1	TITLE

- 2 | LIMITED LICENSE LEGAL TECHNICIAN RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (LLLT
- 3 RPC)
- 4 PREAMBLE
- 5 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 6 [2] As a representative of clients within a limited scope, an LLLT performs various functions.
- 7 | As advisor, an LLLT provides a client with an informed understanding of the client's legal rights
- 8 and obligations and explains their practical implications. As an evaluator, an LLLT acts by
- 9 examining a client's legal affairs and reporting about them to the client or to others. While an
- 10 LLLT is not authorized to act as advocate or negotiator, an LLLT to the extent an LLLT is
- 11 allowed to act as an advocate or as a negotiator under APR 28, an LLLT conscientiously acts in
- 12 | the best interest of the client, and seeks a result that is advantageous to the client but consistent
- with the requirements of honest dealings with others.
- 14 [3]-[13] [NO CHANGES]

#### 15 RULE 1.0B ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY

- 16 (a) "APR" denotes the Washington Supreme Court's Admission to and Practice Rules.
- 17 **(b)** [NO CHANGES]
- 18 (c) "Lawyer" denotes a person licensed as a lawyer and eligible to practice law in any United
- 19 States jurisdiction.
- 20 (d) [NO CHANGES]
- 21 (e) "Legal practitioner" denotes a lawyer or a limited license legal technician licensed under
- 22 APR 28.
- 23 (f) "Limited License Legal Technician" or "LLLT" denotes a person qualified by education,
- 24 training, and work experience who is authorized to engage in the limited practice of law in
- 25 approved practice areas of law as specified by APR 28 and related regulations. The LLLT does

- not represent the client in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance
  as set forth in APR 28 to a pro se client.
- 3 (g) "LLLT RECELLLTC" denotes the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement
- 4 of Limited License Legal Technician Rules for Enforcement of Conduct.
- 5 (h) [NO CHANGES]
- 6 Comment
- 7 [NO CHANGES]
- 8 | RULE 1.2 SCOPE OF REPRESENTATION AND ALLOCATION OF AUTHORITY
- 9 BETWEEN CLIENT AND LLLT
- 10 (a) Subject to paragraphs (c), (d), and (g), an LLLT shall abide by a client's decisions
- 11 | concerning the objectives of representation and, as required by Rule 1.4, shall consult with the
- 12 client as to the means by which they are to be pursued. An LLLT may take such action on behalf
- of the client as is impliedly authorized to carry out the representation. An LLLT shall abide by a
- 14 client's decision whether to settle a matter.
- 15 (b) [NO CHANGES]
- 16 (c) An LLLT must limit the scope of the representation and provide disclosures informing a
- 17 potential client as required by these Rules and APR 28.
- 18 (d)-(g) [NO CHANGES]
- 19 Comment
- 20 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 21 [2] Negotiation on behalf of a client and representation in court are beyond the authorized
- 22 | scope of an LLLT's practice. See APR 28(H). Accordingly, pParagraph (a) was modified from
- 23 the Lawyer RPC to exclude references to settlements and criminal cases, and paragraph (d) was
- 24 | modified from the Lawyer RPC to exclude (and therefore prohibit) an LLLT from discussing with
- 25 | a client the legal consequences of any proposed criminal or fraudulent conduct and assisting a
- 26 client in determining the validity, scope, meaning, or application of the law with respect to any

such conduct. In circumstances where a client has engaged or may engage in conduct that the LLLT knows is criminal or fraudulent, the LLLT shall not provide services related to such conduct and shall inform the client that the client should seek the services of a lawyer.

- [3] Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT may perform only limited services for a client. -Under APR 28(G)(3), bBefore performing any services for a fee, an LLLT must enter into a written contract with the client as required by APR 28(G)(2)., signed by both the client and the LLLT, that includes the following: (a) an explanation of the services to be performed, including a conspicuous statement that the LLLT may not appear or represent the client in court, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process, or negotiate the client's legal rights or responsibilities, unless permitted under GR 24(b); (b) identification of all fees and costs to be charged to the client for the services to be performed; (c) a statement that upon the client's request, the LLLT shall provide to the client any documents submitted by the client to the LLLT; (d) a statement that the LLLT is not a lawyer and may only perform limited legal services (this statement shall be on the first page of the contract in minimum twelve-point bold type print); (e) a statement describing the LLLT's duty to protect the confidentiality of information provided by the client and the LLLT's work product associated with the services sought or provided by the LLLT; (f) a statement that the client has the right to rescind the contract at any time and receive a full refund of unearned fees (this statement shall be conspicuously set forth in the contract); and (g) any other conditions to the LLLT's services that are required by the rules and regulations of the Limited License Legal Technician Board.
- [4] Additional requirements concerning the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice are imposed by APR 28(F). An LLLT must ascertain whether the issue is within the defined practice area for which the LLLT is licensed. If not, the LLLT shall not provide the services required render any legal assistance on the issue and must informadvise the client tothat the client should seek the services of a lawyer. If the issue does lie within the defined practice area for which the LLLT is licensed, then the LLLT is authorized to undertakerender the services that are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

enumerated in APR 28(F). Those services include only the following: (a) obtain relevant facts
and explain the relevancy of such information to the client; (b) inform the client of applicable
procedures, including deadlines, documents which must be filed, and the anticipated course of
the legal proceeding; (c) inform the client of applicable procedures for proper service of process
and filing of legal documents; (d) provide the client with self-help materials prepared by a
Washington lawyer or approved by the Limited License Legal Technician Board, which contain
information about relevant legal requirements, case law basis for the client's claim, and venue
and jurisdiction requirements; (e) review documents or exhibits that the client has received from
the opposing side, and explain them to the client; (f) select, complete, file, and effect service of
forms that have been approved by the State of Washington, either through a governmental
agency or by the Administrative Office of the Courts or the content of which is specified by
statute; federal forms; forms prepared by a Washington lawyer; or forms approved by the
Limited License Legal Technician Board; and advise the client of the significance of the selected
forms to the client's case; (g) perform legal research; (h) draft legal letters and documents
beyond what is permitted in (f) if the work is reviewed and approved by a Washington lawyer;
(i) advise a client as to other documents that may be necessary to the client's case, and explain
how such additional documents or pleadings may affect the client's case; and (j) assist the client
in obtaining necessary documents, such as birth, death, or marriage certificates.
[5] An LLLT must personally perform the authorized services for the client and may not
delegate those services to a person who is not either an LLLT or a lawyer. This prohibition,
however, does not prevent a person who is neither an LLLT nor a lawyer from performing
translation services. APR $28(G)(2\underline{1})$ .
[6] An LLLT may not provide services that exceed the scope of the LLLT's authority under
APR 28. If an issue arises for which the client needs services that exceed the scope of the LLLT's
authority, the LLLT must inform that client that the client should seek the services of a lawyer.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

APR 28(G)(53).

[7] A document that is prepared by an LLLT for the client's signature shall include the LLLT's name, signature, and license number beneath the signature of the client. APR 28(G)(5).[Reserved.]

[8] Certain conduct and services are specifically prohibited to an LLLT by APR 28(H).—In the course of dealing with clients or prospective clients, an LLLT shall not; (a) make any statement that the LLLT can or will obtain special favors from or has special influence with any court or governmental agency; (b) retain any fees or costs for services not performed; (c) refuse to return documents supplied by, prepared by, or paid for by the client, upon the request of the client (the documents must be returned upon request even if there is a fee dispute between the LLLT and the client); (d) represent or advertise, in connection with the provision of services, other legal titles or credentials that could cause a client to believe that the LLLT possesses professional legal skills beyond those authorized by the license held by the LLLT; (e) represent a client in court proceedings, formal administrative adjudicative proceedings, or other formal dispute resolution process, unless permitted by GR 24; (f) negotiate a client's legal rights or responsibilities, or communicate with another person the client's position or convey to the client the position of another party; unless permitted by GR 24(b); (g) provide services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state, unless permitted by the laws of that state to perform such services for the client; (h) represent or otherwise provide legal or law related services to a client, except as permitted by law, APR 28, or associated rules and regulations; or (i) otherwise violate these Rules.

- 21 **RULE 1.5 FEES**
- 22 [NO CHANGES]
- 23 Comment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

- 24 [1]-[3] [NO CHANGES]
  - [4] Unlike a lawyer, an LLLT is required by APR 28(G)(32) to enter into a written contract with the client before the LLLT begins to perform any services for a fee that includes, among

-	·		
1	other things, identification of all fees and costs to be charged to the client for the services to be		
2	performed. The provisions concerning a flat fee described in (f)(2) of this Rule, if applicable		
3	should be included in that contract. The contract must be signed by both the client and the LLLT		
4	before the LLLT begins to perform any services for a fee. See Comment [2] to Rule 1.2 for other		
5	provisions that are to be included in the contract.		
6	[5] [NO CHANGES]		
7	RULE 1.8 CONFLICT OF INTEREST: CURRENT CLIENTS: SPECIFIC RULES		
8	[NO CHANGES]		
9 .	Comment		
.0	[1]-[2] [NO CHANGES]		
1	[3] LLLTs may not advocate for, or appear in court on behalf of, a client. LLLTs will have		
2	no role in class action litigation and Rule 1.8(e)(2) is accordingly reserved in this Rule		
3	LLLT RPC 1.8(e) does not authorize activities that are beyond the scope of the LLLT's		
4	limited license. Nothing in Rule 1.8(e) is intended to prohibit lawyer members of a firm		
15	with which an LLLT is associated from engaging in conduct permitted by Lawyer RPC		
16	1.8(e)(2).		
17	[4] Rule 1.8(g) is reserved. LLLTs are not permitted todo not engage in the making of		
18	aggregate settlements, or aggregated agreements as to guilty or nolo contendere pleas in		
19	criminal cases. Nothing in Rule 1.8(g) is intended to prohibit lawyer members of a firm		
20	with which an LLLT is associated from participating in such settlements if permitted by		
21	the Lawyer RPC.		
22	[5]-[9] [NO CHANGES]		
23	LLLT RPC 1.15A SAFEGUARDING PROPERTY		
24	(a)-(h) [NO CHANGES]		

(i) Trust accounts must be interest-bearing and allow withdrawals or transfers without any delay other than notice periods that are required by law or regulation and meet the requirements

25

of <del>LLLT REC</del> E	ELC 15.7(d) and ELLT REC 15.7(e). 1	In the exercise of ordinary prudence, an
LLLT may sele	ect any financial institution authorized 1	by the Legal Foundation of Washington
(Legal Foundation	on) under <u>LLLT RECELC</u> 15.7(c). In s	selecting the type of trust account for the
purpose of depo	siting and holding funds subject to this	Rule, an LLLT shall apply the following
criteria:		
(1) V	When client or third-person funds will	not produce a positive net return to the

client or third-person funds will not produce a positive net return to the client or third person because the funds are nominal in amount or expected to be held for a short period of time the funds must be placed in a pooled interest-bearing trust account known as an Interest on Limited License Legal Technician's Trust Account or IOLTA. The interest earned on IOLTA accounts shall be paid to, and the IOLTA program shall be administered by, the Legal Foundation of Washington in accordance with <a href="LLLT-RECELLLTC">LLLT RECELLLTC</a> 15.4 and <a href="LLLT-RECELC">LLT RECELL</a> 15.7(e).

#### (2)-(3) [NO CHANGES]

(4) The provisions of paragraph (i) do not relieve an LLLT or law firm from any obligation imposed by these Rules or the <u>LLLT RECELLLTC</u>.

#### Comment

[NO CHANGES]

#### LLLT RPC 1.16 DECLINING OR TERMINATING REPRESENTATION

20 [NO CHANGES]

#### Comment

[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 1.16 with no substantive changes except to reflect that LLLTs the limited scope of representation that an LLLT provides to pro se clients and that a LLLT does not enter a notice of appearance. are not authorized to represent clients in court or to advocate for clients. For this reason, paragraph (c) is reserved and references to litigation

- or proceedings before a tribunal that appear in Lawyer RPC 1.16 do not apply and have been
- 2 omitted from this Rule. Otherwise, this Rule Lawyer RPC 1.16 applies to LLLTs analogously.
- 3 RULE 1.17 SALE OF LAW PRACTICE
- 4 An LLLT, firm of LLLTs, or a law firm with which one or more LLLTs are associated may
- 5 | sell or purchase a law practice, or an area of law practice, including good will, if the
- 6 | following conditions are satisfied:
- 7 (a)-(c) [NO CHANGES]
- 8 (d) The legal fees and LLLT fees charged clients shall not be increased by reason of the sale.
- 9 **Comment**
- 10 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 11 [2] A law firm consisting solely of LLLT owners is not authorized to purchase a law practice
- 12 that includes client matters requiring provision of legal services outside the authorized LLLT
- 13 scope of practice or defined practice area(s). See APR 28 and related Regulations.
- 14 RULE 2.1 ADVISOR
- 15 [NO CHANGES]
- 16 | Comment
- 17 [1] [NO CHANGES]
- 18 [2] This Rule and its requirement regarding the exercise of independent professional
- 19 judgment do not expand the limitations on the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice under APR
- 20 28<del>(H)</del> and related regulations.
- 21 **RULE 2.3** [Reserved]
- 22 | Comment
- Lawyer RPC 2.3 pertains to a lawyer providing an evaluation of a matter affecting a client
- 24 for the use of someone other than the client. Unlike lawyers, LLLTs are not authorized to
- 25 | communicate the client's position to third parties. Drafting an opinion letter for the purposes of
- 26 | its use with a third party is the same as communicating the client's position to a third party and is

- prohibited by APR 28(H)(6). If the need for an evaluation arises in an LLLT's authorized scope
- 2 of practice under APR 28, an LLLT should look to Lawyer RPC 2.3 for guidance. Accordingly,
- 3 this Rule is reserved.
  - RULE 3.1 ADVISING AND ASSISTING CLIENTS IN PROCEEDINGS BEFORE A
- 5 TRIBUNAL

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (a) In a matter reasonably related to a pending or potential proceeding before a tribunal, an LLLT shall not <u>engage</u>, counsel a client to engage, or assist a client, in conduct involving:
  - (1)-(5) [NO CHANGES]
    - (6) knowingly disobeying an obligation under the rules of a tribunal except for an open refusal based on an assertion that no valid obligation exists; or
    - (7) [NO CHANGES]
- (b) [NO CHNAGES]

#### Comment

This Rule is substantially different from Lawyer RPC 3.1 because the role of the LLLTs as an advocate is limited, are not authorized to represent clients in the proceedings of a tribunal. Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC addresses a lawyer's duties as an advocate when representing a client in the proceedings of a tribunal. Because APR 28(H)(5) expressly prohibits an LLLT from representing a client in a court or administrative adjudicative proceeding (unless permitted by GR 24), the Title 3 Rules do not apply directly to the conduct of LLLTs. Nevertheless, a number of the ethical principles located in Title 3 address conduct in connection with a proceeding that would be improper and repugnant whether engaged in by a lawyer or a party. In many instances, an LLLT will be providing assistance to a client who is a party to a court proceeding. In providing such assistance, an LLLT may be authorized within the scope of a specific practice area to accompany and assist a pro se client in certain proceedings. Assistance may include responding to factual and procedural questions from a tribunal. For this reason, as As a member of the legal profession, an LLLT is ethically bound to avoid advising or assisting a client in conduct that

undermines the integrity of the adjudicative process or threatens the fair and orderly administration of justice. As applied to the indirect conduct of LLLTs, the ethical proscriptions of Lawyer RPC 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 are less nuanced. Accordingly, they have been consolidated within Rule 3.1(a) as a prohibition on counseling or assisting the client in such activities. Conduct relating to the impartiality and decorum of a tribunal, Lawyer RPC 3.5, should be prohibited whether engaged in by an LLLT directly or indirectly, and is separately addressed in paragraph (b) of this Rule. Although less comprehensive than Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC, the core Title 3 principles incorporated into Rule 3.1 address the issues likely to be encountered by an LLLT, with supplemental guidance available in the corresponding Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC and commentary thereto. An LLLT acting as a "lay representative authorized by administrative agencies or tribunals" under GR 24(b)(3) would not be acting pursuant to the authority of his or her LLLT license in that context, since such representation would be beyond the scope of LLLT practice authorized by APR 28(F). Should an LLLT engage in conduct as a lay advocate that would otherwise directly violate a Title 3 obligation for example, by knowingly making a false statement of fact to an administrative tribunal such conduct may violate the requirements of other rules. See, e.g., Rule 8.4(c) (prohibiting conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation) and Rule 8.4(d) (prohibiting conduct prejudicial to the administration of iustice).  $[\frac{3}{2}]$ Certain provisions of Title 3 of the Lawyer RPC provisions, such as Lawyer as Witness in Rule 3.7 and the Special Responsibilities of a Prosecutor in Rule 3.8, do not apply to LLLTs. In these instances, the corresponding LLLT RPC has been reserved. Rules 3.6 and 3.9 represent ethical issues that would rarely if ever arise in the context of an LLLT's limited-scope representation. Accordingly, these provisions have been reserved as well, though guidance is available in the corresponding Lawyer RPC in the event that such an ethical dilemma does arise in an LLLT representation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	RULE 3.6
2	[Reserved]
3	Comment
4	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
5	<b>RULE 3.7</b>
6	[Reserved]
7	Comment
8	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
9	LLLT RPC 3.8
10	[Reserved]
11	Comment
12	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
13	LLLT RPC 3.9
14	[Reserved]
15	Comment
16	[1] See Comment [32] to Rule 3.1.
17	RULE 4.1 TRUTHFULNESS IN STATEMENTS TO OTHERS
18	[NO CHANGES]
19	Comment
20	[1] [NO CHANGES]
21	[2]—LLLTs are required by APR 28(G)(5) to include the LLLT's name, signature, and license
22	number beneath the signature of the client on all documents that the LLLT prepares. This will
23	assure that judges and other court personnel, other parties to a matter, and lawyers representing
24	those parties, are informed of the LLLT's role in the matter.
25	RULE 4.2 COMMUNICATION WITH PERSON REPRESENTED BY LAWYER
26	[NO CHANGES]

Suggested Amendments to LLLT RPC Page 11 – January 19, 2018

Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Ave - Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539

#### Comment

[1] A person who has chosen to be represented by a lawyer should be protected against possible overreaching by another lawyer. *See* Lawyer RPC 4.2 and Comments to that rule. Rule 4.2 extends to LLLTs the prohibition on communicating with a person represented by a lawyer. This Rule differs from Lawyer RPC 4.2 in that the prohibition is absolute. While a lawyer may be permitted to communicate directly with a person who is represented by another lawyer with the other lawyer's consent, or if authorized to do so by law or court order, there are no exceptions to the prohibition as it applies to LLLTs, because any such communication would put an LLLT in a position of exceeding the authorized scope of the LLLT's practice under APR 28(H). Specifically, APR 28(H)(6) prohibits negotiating a client's legal rights or responsibilities or communicating with another person the client's position, and APR 28(H)(5) prohibits an LLLT from representing a client in court proceedings. In light of these limitations, there is no circumstance in which an LLLT could communicate with a person represented by a lawyer about the subject matter of the representation without transgressing the APR.

### RULE 4.3 DEALING WITH PERSON NOT REPRESENTED BY LAWYER

- (a) In dealing on behalf of a client with a person who is not represented by a lawyer, an LLLT shall not state or imply that the LLLT is disinterested. When the LLLT knows or reasonably should know that the unrepresented person misunderstands the LLLT's role in the matter, the LLLT shall make reasonable efforts to correct the misunderstanding. The LLLT shall not give legal advice to an unrepresented person, other than the advice to secure the services of another legal practitioner, if the LLLT knows or reasonably should know that the interests of such a person are or have a reasonable possibility of being in conflict with the interests of the client.
- (b) An LLLT shall not communicate about the subject of the representation with another party in the matter.
- 25 | Comment

. 1	[1] <u>I Paragraph (a) of this Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 4.5 with no substantive</u>
2	changes and applies to LLLTs analogously.
3	[2] [Reserved.] Paragraph (b) of this Rule does not appear in the Lawyer RPC. It derives
4	from the limitations on the authorized scope of an LLLT's practice under APR 28(H)(6). See
5	Comment [1] to Rule 4.2 for a discussion of the implications of APR 28(H)(6).
6	[3] The client of an LLLT is an unrepresented person for purposes of Lawyer RPC 4.2 and
. 7	4.3. The definition of an LLLT in APR 28(B)(4) clarifies that an LLLT does not represent a client
8	in court proceedings or negotiations, but provides limited legal assistance to a pro se client.
9	[4] Although an LLLT is strictly prohibited by paragraph (b) from communicating with a
10	party about the subject matter of the LLLT's representation, an An LLLT may have occasion to
11	communicate directly with a nonparty who is assisted by another LLLT. A risk of unwarranted
12	intrusion into a privileged relationship may arise when an LLLT deals with a person who is
13	assisted by another LLLT. Client-LLLT communications, however, are privileged to the same
14	extent as client-lawyer communications. See APR 28(K)(3). An LLLT's ethical duty of
15	confidentiality further protects the LLLT client's right to confidentiality in that professional
16	relationship. See LLLT RPC 1.6(a). When dealing with a person who is assisted by another LLLT,
17	an LLLT must respect these legal rights that protect the client-LLLT relationship.
18	RULE 5.4 PROFESSIONAL INDEPENDENCE OF AN LLLT
19	(a) An LLLT or LLLT firm shall not share legal fees with anyone who is not an non-LLLT,
20	except that:
21	(1)-(2) [NO CHANGES]
22	(3) an LLLT or LLLT firm may include non-LLLT employees who are not LLLTs in
23	a compensation or retirement plan, even though the plan is based in whole or in
24	part on a profit-sharing arrangement; and
25	(4)-(5) [NO CHANGES]
26	

1	(b) An LLLT shall not form a partnership with a non-LLLT anyone who is not an LLLT if any
2	of the activities of the partnership consist of the practice of law.
3	(c) [NO CHANGES]
4	(d) An LLLT shall not practice with or in the form of a professional corporation or association
5	authorized to practice law for a profit, if:
6	(1) a non-LLLT person who is not an LLLT owns any interest therein, except that a
7	fiduciary representative of the estate of an LLLT may hold the stock or interest of
8	the LLLT for a reasonable time during administration;
9	(2) a <u>person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT</u> is a corporate director or officer (other
10	than as secretary or treasurer) thereof or occupies the position of similar
11	responsibility in any form of association other than a corporation; or
12	(3) a <u>person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT</u> has the right to direct or control the
13	professional judgment of an LLLT.
14	Comment
1,5	[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 5.4 with no substantive changes except to
16	change references to a "nonlawyer" to "person who is not an LLLTnon-LLLT" to avoid
17	confusion. It applies to LLLTs analogously.
18	[2] Notwithstanding Rule 5.4 does not prohibit, lawyers and LLLTs may from sharinge fees
19	and forming business structures to the extent permitted by Rule 5.9.
20	RULE 5.5 UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE OF LAW
21	[NO CHANGES]
22	Comment
23	[1] Lawyer RPC 5.5(a) expresses the basic prohibition on a legal practitioner practicing law
24	in a jurisdiction where that individual is not specifically licensed or otherwise authorized to
25	practice law. It reflects the general notion (enforced through criminal-legal prohibitions and
26	other law) that legal services may only be provided by those licensed to do so. This limitation of
	•

the ability to practice law is designed to protect the public against the rendition of legal services by unqualified persons. *See* Comment [2] to Lawyer RPC 5.5.

As applied to LLLTs, this principle should apply with equal force. An actively licensed LLLT should practice law as an LLLT only in a jurisdiction where he or she is licensed to do so, i.e., Washington State. An LLLT must not practice law in a jurisdiction where he or she is not authorized to do so. Unless and until other jurisdictions authorize Washington-licensed LLLTs to practice law, it will be unethical under this Rule for the LLLT to provide or attempt to provide legal services extraterritorially. Relatedly, it is unethical to assist anyone in activities that constitute the unauthorized practice of law in any jurisdiction. *See also* APR 28(H)(76) (prohibiting an LLLT from providing services to a client in connection with a legal matter in another state unless permitted by the laws of that state to perform the services for the client).

Lawyer RPC 5.5(b) through (d) define the circumstances in which lawyers can practice in Washington despite being unlicensed here. For example, lawyers actively licensed elsewhere may provide services on a temporary basis in Washington in association with a lawyer admitted to practice here or when the lawyer's activities "arise out of or are reasonably related to the lawyer's practice in his or her home jurisdiction." These provisions also recognize that certain non-Washington-licensed lawyers may practice here on more than a temporary basis (e.g., lawyers providing services authorized by federal law), and otherwise prohibit non-Washington-licensed lawyers from establishing a systematic and continuous presence in Washington for the practice of law.

These provisions are, at this time, unnecessary in the LLLT RPC because there are no limited licenses programs in other jurisdictions tantamount to Washington's LLLT rules and no need to authorize nonlawyers limited license practitioners in other jurisdictions to practice law in Washington, either temporarily or on an ongoing basis. For this reason, paragraphs (b) through (d) are reserved.

1	RULE 8.1 <del>LIMITED LICENSURE</del> LICENSING, ADMISSION, AND DISCIPLINARY
2	MATTERS
3	An applicant for an LLLT licenselimited licensure, or an LLLT in connection with an
4	application for limited licensure or reinstatement application or , or admission to the Barlawyer's
5	bar admission, or a disciplinary matter involving a legal practitioner in connection with a lawyer
6	or LLLT disciplinary matter, shall not:
7	(a)-(b) [NO CHANGES]
8	Comment
9	[1] This Rule was adapted from Lawyer RPC 8.1 with no substantive changes - except to
10	reflect the difference between admission to the Bar (for a lawyer) and limited licensure (for an
11	LLLT). This Rule applies to LLLTs analogously.
12	RULE 8.4 MISCONDUCT
13	It is professional misconduct for an LLLT to:
14	(a)-(k) [NO CHANGES]
15	(I) violate a duty or sanction imposed by or under the <u>LLLT RECELLLTC</u> in connection
16	with a disciplinary matter; including, but not limited to, the duties catalogued at LLLT
17	RECELLITC 1.5;
18	(m)-(o) [NO CHANGES]
19	Comment
20	[NO CHANGES]
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

From: Renata Garcia
To: Jaimie Patneaude

Subject: FW: Information for LLLTs regarding recent court order rescinding APR 28 amendments

Date: Monday, December 3, 2018 2:04:57 PM

Attachments: image001.png image002.png

Hi – can you please add this email (to LLLTs) to the board meeting materials. I already added to the agenda, just need to save a PDF for the folder. Thanks.



#### Renata de Carvalho Garcia | Innovative Licensing Programs Manager

Washington State Bar Association | 206.733.5912 | renatag@wsba.org

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600 | Seattle, WA 98101-2539 | www.wsba.org

The WSBA is committed to full access and participation by persons with disabilities. If you have questions about accessibility or require accommodation please contact <a href="mailto:barbarao@wsba.org">barbarao@wsba.org</a>.

From: Connor Smith

Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 1:03 PM

To: Executive Management Team; Service Center; Margaret Morgan; Renata Garcia; Jennifer Olegario; Sanjay

Walvekar; Sue Strachan; Colin Rigley; Noel Brady

Subject: FW: Information for LLLTs regarding recent court order rescinding APR 28 amendments

This message was sent today at 1:00 PM to all LLLTs, official (39).



#### **Connor Smith | Communications Coordinator**

Washington State Bar Association | 206.733.5948 | connors@wsba.org

1325 Fourth Ave., #600 | Seattle, WA 98101-2539 | www.wsba.org

The WSBA is committed to full access and participation by persons with disabilities. If you have questions about accessibility or require accommodation please contact Adam Ray at <a href="mailto:adamr@wsba.org">adamr@wsba.org</a>

From: Washington State Bar Association [mailto:noreply@wsba.org]

Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 1:00 PM

To: Connor Smith < Connors@wsba.org>

Subject: Information for LLLTs regarding recent court order rescinding APR 28 amendments

Washington State Bar Association



Dear Limited License Legal Technicians:

You are receiving this email to provide additional information regarding the recently rescinded amendments enhancing the scope of practice for Limited License Legal Technicians (LLLTs). On November 20, 2018, we sent you a statement on behalf of the LLLT Board detailing the required supplemental education for engaging in the enhanced scope of practice. The next day, we were informed that the Washington Supreme Court had rescinded its order adopting the amendments to APR 28. Click <a href="here">here</a> to read the amended order and reformatted versions of the suggested amendments. Due to the formatting errors, the Court has decided to publish a correctly formatted version of the suggested amendments for comment on December 18, 2018. Comments are to be submitted to the Clerk of the Supreme Court no later than February 1, 2019.

Please note that, due to the rescission of the Supreme Court's prior order, the version of APR 28 that was in effect on October 31, 2018 remains in effect. You can find the current version of APR 28 here.

We will keep you updated as we receive additional information. If you have any questions, please contact me at <a href="mailto:renatag@wsba.org">renatag@wsba.org</a>, <a href="mailto:llt@wsba.org">llt@wsba.org</a> or 206-733-5912.

Sincerely,

Renata de Carvalho Garcia Innovative Licensing Programs Manager

#### Washington State Bar Association

1325 Fourth Ave., Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 | Map Toll-free: 800-945-9722

Local: 206-443-9722



#### Official WSBA communication

All members will receive the following email, which is considered official:

- Licensing and licensing-related materials
- Information about the non-CLE work and activities of the sections to which the member belongs
- Mandatory Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) reporting-related notifications
- Election materials (Board of Governors)
- · Selected Executive Director and Board of Governors communications



September 7, 2018

Supreme Court of the State of Washington Temple of Justice P.O. Box 40929 Olympia, WA 98504-0929

#### Transmitted via email

Re: Comment on Proposal Regarding Addition of Practice Area for Limited License Legal Technicians

Dear Justices of the Washington Supreme Court:

I am writing to support the addition of Consumer, Money & Debt as the next practice area available in the Limited License Legal Technician program. I am commenting in my personal capacity, not as a representative of my employer, LAW Advocates, the volunteer lawyer program for Whatcom County. However, my opinion is informed by my three years of experience as full-time executive director of that organization as well as my prior 24 years as a private practice civil lawyer in this community.

I am surprised to hear comments that sufficient resources already exist to assist individuals with legal difficulties involving finances. That is certainly not the case in our community. Although our county's population now exceeds 200,000, we have perhaps a half-dozen private attorneys in the county who handle consumer debt cases. And those practitioners' practices are geared mostly toward bankruptcy filings, where assets usually exist to support an attorney fee. Obviously, it is economically unfeasible for attorneys to take cases where clients have so few assets that attorney fees are out of the question. This leaves most of this work to someone other than experienced creditor/debtor attorneys.

A few for-profit and nonprofit organizations provide various levels of education and general advice on debt and credit issues, but they do not provide legal representation. Ours is the only organization in our county that provides that service. (Northwest Justice Project has an office in Bellingham, but it does virtually no creditor/debtor work other than occasionally as a supplemental service to an existing client.) But while we accept consumer debt cases, all we are able to do in most instances is refer the clients to one particular attorney who has agreed to take a limited number of such cases pro bono. We have been unable to find an attorney to provide a more comprehensive debt clinic as we have done at times in the past. Also, the cases we take must meet our financial qualifications, which restrict our services to those whose income is less than 200 percent of the federal poverty guideline. Individuals with income above that—who often are still low-income by any reasonable definition--have nowhere to turn for legal assistance. Sadly, if we see those individuals again it is usually after they have become entirely indigent and show up in our Homeless Disability or Street Law programs.

We have only one licensed LLLT in our community. Fortunately, she has provided considerable volunteer work for us. She regularly serves at our Street Law clinic, where she is able to answer family law questions within the authority of her license. She sometimes supplements the work of a family law attorney at the clinic and other times is the only family law specialist available. As our community also faces a dramatic shortage of family law attorneys, having a LLLT available in that legal field is extremely valuable for our

organization. A LLLT who was licensed to do similar work in the Consumer, Money & Debt field would be a godsend.

Even before I became executive director of a legal aid organization I believed that innovations such as the LLLT program were essential in providing legal assistance to the vast number of individuals who cannot afford to hire a lawyer in the conventional fashion. I am even more convinced of that from what I see every day at LAW Advocates, where we serve over 1,000 clients per year but are unable to help many times more who need it. We constantly field questions from elderly people struggling with medical debt, young families with exorbitant credit card balances because of home or auto repair, and recent college graduates unable to keep up with student loans. Consumer, Money & Debt is one of the highest areas of demand for services and one of the areas with the lowest supply of attorneys. That is undoubtedly true in our county and I suspect it is true statewide, especially in more rural areas that have even fewer attorneys and other social services available.

I enthusiastically encourage the court to approve Consumer, Money & Debt as the next practice area for the LLLT program.

Sincerely,

Michael Heatherly WSBA #20803

Comment on proposal – p. 2

From: Cameron Fleury

To: Christy Carpenter; Limited License Legal Technician
Subject: Re: Do not expand (or keep) the LLLT program
Date: Sunday, November 18, 2018 12:28:46 PM

Dear Ms. Carpenter and LLLT expansion Board,

Following up on my thoughts, below, I would add that another consideration that people are missing is the *type* of education lawyers get. Law school does not provide the nuts-and-bolts education that LLLTs are getting. Instead, the classes are run as Socratic dialogues using appellate opinions as the topic matter. That's a wholly different kind of education. As we are told repeatedly, it teaches us how to "think like a lawyer." What does that mean? It means we spend 3 years studying lots and lots of edge cases and complex cases, where the answers aren't obvious. It means we are trained in applying principles to fact patterns to create arguments. It means we learn how small changes in the facts can cause complete reversals in the outcome. And because even small details are critical, it teaches us to ask our clients the hard questions, as well as the seemingly trivial questions, to probe the weak spots of the client's case. The research never stops because our cases have tremendous scope (real property, tax, estate planning, debtor/creditor, corporations, criminal, etc. etc.), which is a point missed by virtually everyone who doesn't practice family law. The best metaphor from the medical field is the practice of separating conjoined twins; it's messy, enormously complex and highly emotional. While it takes new attorneys some time to learn the nuts-and-bolts of family law practice, they have the skillset to incorporate that knowledge into, whereas the LLLT's cannot incorporate the "thinking like a lawyer" into their programs.

I will be the first to admit, there are paralegals out there with the knowledge and experience to do a fine job as a Family Law attorney. That is what APR 6 and 9 are for.

I also believe the Court Facilitator programs are wonderful and that would be an appropriate use for LLLT's.

Regards, Cameron

From: DRAWboard@groups.io [mailto:DRAWboard@groups.io] On Behalf Of Cameron Fleury

**Sent:** Friday, November 16, 2018 11:21 AM **To:** Christy Carpenter <christy@mylllt.com>

**Subject:** Re: [DRAWboard] Do not expand (or keep) the LLLT program

Dear Ms. Carpenter,

I appreciate your thoughtful email regarding the LLLT issue. I am very happy to hear your experience is that of assisting low income parties. Unfortunately, not only was the income level restriction removed altogether, but the actual practice seems to reflect that your situation is not common among the LLLT's. In fact, just recently there was a matter on the Temporary Order calendar in King County where a LLLT was requesting an award of \$5,000 for temporary fees, so I am certain your

experience is not that of all LLLT's. To let you know I am not some "rich snobby lawyer", I was awarded the Pierce County Pro Bono attorney of the year award in 2007 for my work with low income parties and setting up processes in the PCSC to assist Pro Se parties in the divorce process. My experience is that, as a group, family law attorneys (who are overall paid much less than other practice areas) are very generous of their time to assist parties with low bono and pro bono work.

Regarding education and testing, etc., it seems to me that having a lower requirement for education and certification to be able to represent any group of individuals must be saying one of two things, either: 1) the requirements in place are too stringent for all and should be lowered, or 2) low income individuals are less deserving of the same protections as higher income parties. Neither of which I believe to be appropriate.

Regarding the current status of the APR's, the RPC's and the LLLT program as a whole, as you have certainly seen recently, the Membership of the Bar have begun to become aware of these issues and have been working to elect representatives to the BOG to address these concerns (and others). In fact, because of the vast divide between those who chose to create the LLLT program (and other issues affecting attorneys) and those who want the BOG to be representative of the constituents, the entire structure of the WSBA is being reviewed and is likely to become bifurcated so that licensing and discipline are separate from other functions. In other words, where the Bar leadership has brought the situation over the last 7 years, or so, is to the brink of destruction of the WSBA as we know it.

Regards, Cameron

From: Christy Carpenter [mailto:christy@mylllt.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2018 7:05 AM

**To:** Cameron Fleury < CJF@mcgavick.com>

**Subject:** Re: Do not expand (or keep) the LLLT program

Dear Mr. Fleury,

I am a member of the WSBA Limited License Legal Technician Board and its New Practice Area Workgroup. Thank you for taking the time to submit your comment to the Board regarding the new practice area that we are currently studying: Consumer, Money, and Debt.

The LLLT Board is mandated by the Washington Supreme Court under APR 28 to continue to recommend practice areas for LLLTs. The workgroup is currently reviewing all comments and considering input from lawyers and other legal service providers regarding the particular consumer, money, and debt issues that we are considering recommending to the Supreme Court that LLLTs may handle in a limited capacity. The workgroup may modify the proposed permitted actions and limitations based on the comments and input submitted.

If you are interested in participating in the workgroup meetings during the remainder of this year, they will be held on Monday, November 19 and Monday, December 10 from 10 a.m. to noon. Please see the <u>LLLT Board page</u> for more information.

As a legal technician who has been practicing for more than one year, I would like to speak to your comment that legal technicians are "not assisting the target market (low income persons with access to justice issues)." In reviewing the clients who have retained me to assist them with their family law matters, I note that more than half have incomes below 200% of the poverty level, and many others are just above that. I charge only flat fees, such as \$900 for a divorce with children, and \$700 for a child support modification. In my 20+ years' experience as a paralegal, these are fees that are well below what an attorney would charge for the same work for a pro se client (drafting the required pleadings, giving legal advice, and assisting with procedural matters). Many of my clients came to me after being turned away from one or more attorneys for whom they could not afford to pay an advance fee deposit. I realize that this is anecdotal information, but in speaking with my fellow legal technicians, I am comfortable making the general statement that the majority of our clients are "low income" and have "access to justice issues."

As to you concern about the "potential harm to the public with allowing under-trained LLLT's into the area" of creditor-debtor law, please be assured that if this practice area were to be recommended to and approved by the Supreme Court of Washington, any legal technician wishing to practice in this area would be required to successfully complete three quarters of practice-specific coursework through the University of Washington School of Law, as well as pass a practice-specific Bar exam as to the same. It's also important to note that it is highly likely that many LLLT-candidates for this potential practice area could include paralegals who already have years of experience doing this type of work.

As LLLTs, we too have "paid our dues in schooling, testing, CLE requirements and disciplinary supervision if/when needed." LLLTs are required to successfully complete one year of core education in paralegal studies as well as the practice-specific education at the Law School. Many of us also hold bachelor's degrees. We must pass a rigorous LLLT bar exam with both practice-specific and professional responsibility components. And, as a requirement of our licensure, we are subject to a background check and a review of character and fitness, just as attorneys are. Finally, we are required to complete continuing legal education and are subject to similar disciplinary actions as attorneys.

I hope that I have been able to successfully address some of your concerns. Please feel free to contact me if you would like to discuss the LLLT profession or the work of the LLLT Board. I am honored to be a pioneer member of this group of legal professionals and I am happy to chat about it.

Sincerely,

Christy Carpenter Limited License Legal Technician



2367 Tacoma Ave S, Tacoma, WA 98402 (253) 457-0967

### christy@myLLLT.com www.myLLLT.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this transmission is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be protected by LLLT/CLIENT PRIVILEGE. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that unauthorized viewing, dissemination, distribution or copying of this transmission is in violation of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 (18 U.S.C. § 2700 et seq.) as well as Domestic and International Laws and Treaties. If you have received the communication in error, please immediately notify the office of myLLLT.com by reply email or by telephone at (253) 457-0967, and delete the email from your inbox and from your recycle/trash folder(s).

From: Cameron Fleury < CJF@mcgavick.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 15, 2018 4:34 PM **To:** Limited License Legal Technician

**Subject:** Do not expand (or keep) the LLLT program

To Whom it May Concern:

Thank you for requesting input from Members.

First, by way of full disclosure, let me say that I am opposed to the entire LLLT program. While it may have been well-intentioned to start, the reality is that the LLLT's are not providing a stop-gap for low income persons to avoid being Pro Se. They are competing directly with, and at the same rates, as attorneys and we are being forced to subsidize them with our Dues. The entire program was "sold" as providing low income assistance, which was almost immediately dropped. Then it was "sold" as being a test that once substantial data had been collected and analyzed, if the program was a "success" then it would be considered to be expanded. The truth is that there has not been anything near enough data to support any conclusions (even whether they are harmful) at this time.

Barreling forward at breakneck speed to expand into as many areas of practice as possible is helping Community Colleges and the WSBA Staff dedicated to the LLLT program. It is not assisting the target market (low income persons with access to justice issues), it is in direct competition with those of us who paid our dues in schooling, testing, CLE requirements and disciplinary supervision if/when needed.

That said, I strongly believe that before even considering whether to expand the LLLT program, it should at least be in existence long enough to support a reliable conclusion it is 1) a benefit to the public, 2) does not financially harm attorneys, and 3) does not harm the public (failure to properly distribute retirements, calculate support deviations, address various consequences of different distributions of a marital estate, etc. etc. etc.).

I do not practice debtor/creditor law, but I can envision many issues with allowing under-trained LLLT's into the area and the potential harm to the public.

Regards,

## Groups.io Links:

You receive all messages sent to this group.

View/Reply Online (#364) | Reply To Group | Reply To Sender | Mute This Topic | New Topic

Your Subscription | Contact Group Owner | Unsubscribe [cjf@mcgavick.com]

## WASHINGTON WAGE CLAIM PROJECT

810 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 500 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104 TELEPHONE: (206) 340-1840

FACSIMILE: (206) 682-2305
EMAIL: DAVID@WASHINGTONWAGECLAIMPROJECT.ORG

November 19, 2018

### By Email Only

Jaimie Patneaude Washington State Bar Association 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98101-2539 jaimiep@wsba.org

Dear LLLT Board,

Regretfully, I am unable to represent the Washington Wage Claim Project (WWCP) at today's November 19th meeting. I wanted to attend because access to justice for low wage workers is our mission. However, this afternoon the WWCP is filing an opposition to a summary judgment motion that raises complicated legal and factual issues requiring all of our efforts. Our clients worked at SeaTac airport for an Avis subcontractor. Coverage under the SeaTac minimum wage ordinance involves a complicated issue of ordinance interpretation. Because of events in a class action and DLI, there are even more complex res judicata and collateral estoppel issues. The case we are working on right now is a good example of why the WWCP has reservations about allowing unsupervised non-lawyers to represent workers in wage and hour matters.

The Washington Wage Claim Project was launched in September 2015 as a non-profit designed to increase access to justice for low wage workers with wage claims. Our mission is consistent with both private counsel representation of wage claims and the LLLT's goal of increasing access to justice. We are in favor of any developments that will be an overall benefit to workers in resolving wage claims.

Wage and hour violations are common in Washington State. For example, immigrant workers performing piece rate construction work — thousands of Washington workers — routinely work 45-60 hour workweeks without receiving overtime premium pay, even though they have an unquestionable right to overtime premium pay. Unpaid overtime is rampant. Off-the-clock work is also a widespread problem.

Wage and hour violations are seldom remedied. Workers may not know about their rights, and current employees are rightfully afraid or retaliation. Workers may also believe it will cost them

Letter to LLLT Board June 14, 2017 Page 2

too much money in order to advance their claims. The WWCP was launched with the goal of increasing representation of low wage workers with wage claims. In 3 years, our 2-3 attorneys have represented many dozens of workers and recovered over \$7 million in wages for unpaid workers. Virtually none of our work involves "routine" claims. We need to draw on a wide range of legal knowledge and the ability to do legal reasoning in every case.

For example, the federal and Washington minimum wage and overtime statutes each have dozens of exemptions. Federal coverage is complicated. Recently, there are complex local government wage ordinances. Even a seemingly simple issue — what is "work" — is quite complex, both legally and with regard to proof issues. These exemption and coverage issues are often dispositive. Damages issues are routinely complex — particularly when dealing with salaried workers who are not paid overtime. Non-hourly workers' damages vary 300% depending on factually and legally nuanced issues. Fairly often, small individual damages issues present appropriate class issues which, if recognized, can lead to one case that resolves wage claims for hundreds or thousands of workers.

Distinguishing between small individual claims and class claims also require significant legal experience. Workers, as a group, would be disserved if individual practitioners missed proper class claims in pursuing small claims. The undersigned's practice since 1995 has been exclusively representing low wage workers with wage claims; I graduated 40 years ago magna cum laude from Cornell Law School. This field of legal practice requires all of my legal experience and knowledge to provide adequate representation. I have also engaged in attorney training on wage and hour issues through dozens of CLEs and authorship of the WSTLA/WSAJ Employment Law Desktop wage and hour chapter. I do not believe LLLTs could be adequately trained to properly represent wage claimants in litigation. The issues are too complicated.

I am also concerned about individuals charging fees to low wage workers. Fee shifting is a part of every wage claim - typically one-way fee shifting is available to workers only. At the WWCP, we represent workers in exchange for assignment of fee claims. We advance all costs and do not take any percentage of wages. I am concerned that LLLTs may ask workers to advance expenses or pay for services they can ill afford. Fee shifting also serves a deterrent function. It means that offending employers bear the cost of wage litigation — not the public and not the workers.

At the WWCP I believe we have done well in representing a large number of low wage workers with a small operation. We have worked with community groups to educate the public. We have also provided mentoring to private counsel who accept these cases.

There have been three major changes in access to justice in King County over the past several years. One, the Seattle Office of Labor Standards has a robust and expanding enforcement arm

Letter to LLLT Board June 14, 2017 Page 3

that has quickly become the gold standard of enforcement. It is large enough and strategic-focused to make a significant dent in Seattle wage and hour violations. The Fair Work Center also has a wage claim function that includes attorneys and law students, which may reap rewards as law students graduate with wage claim skills and interest. With help from the City of Seattle, including work by the WWCP, there are many community organizations that are educating low wage workers and facilitating wage claims.

The Washington Department of Labor & Industries is obligated to handle certain wage payment claims under RCW Chapter 49.48. The claims are limited to minimum wage act and willful violations of statutes, contracts and ordinances under RCW 49.52.050. "Willful" violation claims are defeated by a bona fide dispute, even if the underlying statute, contract or ordinance claim is meritorious. L&I agents seem overworked and often unable to adequately enforce workers' rights. Still, they handle hundreds of claims statewide every year.

I have been thinking about how to have non-attorneys increase low wage worker access to justice. There is room for trained paralegals, who could play a role in intake and document review. But that would need to be supervised by counsel because of the complexity of issues. I do not see a role for unsupervised LLLTs.

Again, I am sorry that I could not attend today's meeting, but complex wage claims prevented my attendance. We are very willing to meet with you to discuss anything related to these issues.

Very Truly Yours,

David N. Mark

Davido Marl