FILED SUPREME COURT STATE OF WASHINGTON APRIL 7, 2021 BY SUSAN L. CARLSON CLERK

THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

)

)

)

)

)

)))

IN THE MATTER OF THE SUGGESTED AMENDMENT TO RPC 1.11 cmt. 2—SPECIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST FOR FORMER AND CURRENT GOVERNMENT OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

O R D E R

NO. 25700-A-1337

The Washington State Bar Association's Board of Governors, having recommended the expeditious adoption of the suggested amendment to RPC 1.11 cmt. 2—Special Conflicts of Interest for Former and Current Government Officers and Employees, and the Court having considered the suggested amendment, and having determined that the suggested amendment will aid in the prompt and orderly administration of justice;

Now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED:

(a) That the suggested amendment as attached hereto is expeditiously adopted.

(b) That pursuant to the emergency provisions of GR 9(j)(1), the suggested amendment will be expeditiously published in the Washington Reports and will become effective upon publication.

Page 2 ORDER IN THE MATTER OF THE SUGGESTED AMENDMENT TO RPC 1.11 cmt. 2-SPECIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST FOR FORMER AND CURRENT GOVERNMENT OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

DATED at Olympia, Washington this 7th day of April, 2021.

nzález González, C.

Johnson, J.

Madsen, J.

Owen

Stephens, J.

Gordon McCloud, J.

Montoya-Lewis, J

Whitener, J.

SUGGESTED AMENDMENT TO

RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

2 RPC 1.11 – SPECIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST FOR FORMER AND CURRENT 3 GOVERNMENT OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES

(a) - (e) Unchanged.

7 Comment

1

4

5

6

8

[] [1] Unchanged.

9 [2] [Washington revision] Paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2) and (d)(1) restate the obligations of an 10 individual lawyer who has served or is currently serving as an officer or employee of the 11 government toward a former government or private client. Rule 1.10 is not applicable to the 12 conflicts of interest addressed by this Rule. Rather, paragraph (b) sets forth a special imputation 13 rule for former government lawyers that provides for screening and notice. Because of the 14 special problems raised by imputation within a government agency, paragraph (d) does not 15 impute the conflicts of a lawyer currently serving as an officer or employee of the government 16 to other associated government officers or employees, although ordinarily it will be prudent to 17 screen such lawyers. But see State v. Nickels, 195 Wn.2d 132, 456 P.3d 975 (2020) (holding 18 that an elected county prosecutor's former client conflict is imputed to all attorneys in the 19 prosecuting attorneys' office). 20 [3-10] Unchanged. 21 22 23 24 25

26